## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Wireless Communication Upgrades at T-Mobile Carson

**Project Manager:** Jonathan Toobian—TELP-TPP-3

**Location:** Skamania County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological

and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to allow T-Mobile to upgrade its antennas and equipment at an existing wireless site on a BPA transmission tower, located in Carson, Washington on the North Bonneville-Midway No. 1 transmission line. The work would consist of removing three existing panel antennas and replacing those with three new antennas. Additionally, four new remote radio units (RRU) and two new coaxial cables would also be installed. Equipment upgrades would also occur in T-Mobile's equipment compound. To ensure safety, BPA workers and their subcontractors would complete the wireless antenna and coaxial cable installation work. The project would not involve any ground excavation.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

#### /s/ Beth Belanger

Beth Belanger Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Motus Staffing & Recruiting

Reviewed by:

### /s/ Katey Grange

**Katey Grange** 

**Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist** 

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Date: *December 13, 2018* 

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Wireless Communication Upgrades at T-Mobile Carson				
Project Site Description				

Antenna equipment replacements would occur on the North Bonneville-Midway No. 1 transmission line, tower 10/2 in Skamania County, Washington. The project area is in Section 28, Township 3 North, Range 8 East. The underlying land is owned by BPA; however, it is leased out for agricultural production and is currently used as a hay field.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
Historic and Cultural Resources		
cause effects to historic properties because the pro	ject area has been pre	eviously surveyed with negative results,
Geology and Soils		
Explanation: The proposed project does not involve to bucket trucks driving around the site.	ve ground disturbance	. Minor soil compaction may occur due
<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	V	
The project would have no impacts to any special-	status or Endangered	Species Act (ESA)-listed plants.
Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	<b>V</b>	
<u>Explanation</u> : No special-status species or habitats impacts to special-status or ESA-listed wildlife.	are present at the pro	pject location. The project would have no
If any active nests are found on the structure prior nests are unoccupied.	r to construction, the o	construction would be delayed until the
Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
Explanation: The project area does not have any would be no impacts to these resources.	water bodies, floodpla	ins, or listed fish species; therefore, there
	Impacts  Historic and Cultural Resources  Explanation: BPA cultural staff have reviewed the product of the prod	Impacts  Explanation: BPA cultural staff have reviewed the proposed activities and cause effects to historic properties because the project area has been pre and because there is no soil excavation associated with the project. No find Preservation Act is required.  Geology and Soils  Explanation: The proposed project does not involve ground disturbance to bucket trucks driving around the site.  Plants (including federal/state special-status species)  Explanation: The project location has been previously disturbed and natified project would have no impacts to any special-status or Endangered. To prevent the spread of noxious weeds, the construction vehicles would entering a new project location.  Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)  Explanation: No special-status species or habitats are present at the project area does on the structure prior to construction, the construction federal/state special-status or ESA-listed wildlife.  If any active nests are found on the structure prior to construction, the construction federal/state special-status species and ESUs)  Explanation: The project area does not have any water bodies, floodplate in the project area does not have any water bodies, floodplates, floo

6.	Wetlands	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: The project is not in or near wet	lands; therefore, there would b	pe no impact to wetlands.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project does not involve any ground disturbance; therefore, there would be no impact to groundwater and aquifers.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: There would be no change to land use at the project locations. The project is within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, in a designated Urban Area for which there are no special management considerations.				
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>			
Explanation: The wireless antennas and equipment are consistent with the existing use of the utility corridor.					
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction; however, there would be no significant changes to air quality during or after construction.				
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Construction noise would be terwould not change.	mporary and would occur durin	ng daylight hours. Operational noise		
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: There would be no impact to hu	ıman health and safety.			
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: The utility right-of-way is BPA fee-owned. No landowner notification would be required for this project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Beth Belanger</u> Date: <u>December 13, 2018</u>

Beth Belanger, ECT-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Motus Staffing & Recruiting