Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Franklin-Badger Canyon No. 2 Transmission Line Structure 1/6 Impairment Remedy

Project No.: 3,900

Project Manager: Olga Hagensen, TELF-TPP-3

Location: Franklin County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to remedy an impairment along the Franklin-Badger Canyon No. 2 corridor ahead on line (AOL) from structure 1/6. Impairments occur when the distance between a surface and the overhead conductor are not sufficient to meet safety and reliability standards. BPA is proposing to repair the impairment along the Franklin-Badger Canyon No. 2 corridor by excavating earth, using dozers and excavators. Ground disturbance may occur outside the impaired area in order to achieve soil stabilization. The site will be accessed using existing access roads.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Michelle Colletti</u> Michelle Colletti Physical Scientist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: *October 29, 2018*

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Franklin-Badger Canyon No. 2 Transmission Line Structure 1/6 Impairment Remedy

Project Site Description

The proposed project is located in Franklin County, WA in a suburban industrial area, and includes work along the Franklin-Badger Canyon No. 2 transmission line.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	\boxtimes			
	 Explanation: A cultural survey was conducted at the Franklin-Badger Canyon No.2 impairment. Report findings indicated no historic properties affected by the undertaking. The Washington State DAHP concurred with BPA's No Historic Properties Affected determination for the Franklin-Badger Canyon No.2 impairment project location listed in this CX on August 29, 2018. Crews and equipment are to use existing access roads to and from each work site. 				
			covered during project activities, work in HPO and the environmental and cultural		
2.	Geology and Soils	\boxtimes			
	Explanation: The project would require about soil would be spread onsite and restabilized.	·	·		
	 Best Management Practices (BMPs) in implemented. 	for erosion and sediment	controls for soil stabilization would be		
	✓ Vehicles would be limited to access r	roads and immediate wo	rk areas.		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	\boxtimes			
	Explanation: No federal/special status plant s would be restored as necessary.	species are present withi	n the project areas. Disturbed areas		
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	\boxtimes			
	Explanation: No special-status species or designation	gnated habitats are pres	ent.		

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)					
	Explanation: There are no federal/state speciproject area.	al status species, water boo	lies, or flood plains found within the			
6.	Wetlands	\boxtimes				
	Explanation: No wetlands are present in the p	project area.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	\boxtimes				
	Explanation: No wells or use of groundwater	proposed.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	\boxtimes				
<u>Explanation</u> : The project area is located primarily in existing transmission line right-of-way. No special restrictions apply and no additional land use evaluation has occurred.						
9.	Visual Quality	\boxtimes				
	Explanation: : Proposed action is on existing right of way and would not alter or effect visual quality					
10.	Air Quality	\boxtimes				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Small amounts of dust and vehicle emissions due to construction. Dust abatement BMPS would be used to control construction impacts.					
11.	Noise	\boxtimes				
	Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary and localized.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	\boxtimes				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
	Explanation: No impact to human health and	safety is anticipated.	t <u>s</u>			
		safety is anticipated. of Other Integral Elemen				
pro	Evaluation of Evaluation of Evaluation of Evaluation of Evaluation of Evaluations	safety is anticipated. of Other Integral Elemen that are integral elements egulatory, or permit require	of the categorical exclusion. The			
pro	Evaluation of applicable statutory, re	safety is anticipated. of Other Integral Elemen that are integral elements egulatory, or permit require	of the categorical exclusion. The			
pro	Evaluation of proposed project would also meet conditions ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, respectively, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Explanation, if necessary:	safety is anticipated. of Other Integral Elements that are integral elements egulatory, or permit require cutive Orders.	of the categorical exclusion. The ements for environment, safety, and			
pro	Evaluation of proposed project would also meet conditions ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, rehealth, or similar requirements of DOE or Exe Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion.	safety is anticipated. of Other Integral Elements that are integral elements egulatory, or permit require cutive Orders.	of the categorical exclusion. The ements for environment, safety, and			
pro	Evaluation of proposed project would also meet conditions ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, rehealth, or similar requirements of DOE or Exe Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expa (including incinerators) that are not otherwise.	safety is anticipated. of Other Integral Elements that are integral elements egulatory, or permit require cutive Orders. ansion of waste storage, dis e categorically excluded.	of the categorical exclusion. The ements for environment, safety, and posal, recovery, or treatment facilities uded petroleum and natural gas			

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA Realty will make the necessary landowner notifications.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Michelle Colletti</u> Date: <u>October 29, 2018</u>

Michelle Colletti Physical Scientist - EPR