# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**<u>Proposed Action</u>**: LSN Ross Franklin Fiber Optic Vault and Cable Installation

**Project No.:** LURR 20180311

**Project Manager:** James Hall

Location: Klickitat County, WA

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.9 Multiple use powerline

rights-of-way and B4.7 Fiber Optic Cable

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration proposes to allow LS Networks (LSN) to install an underground 7' x 7' utility vault approximately 10 feet from an existing BPA vault near the McNary-Ross No. 1 transmission line structure 116/3 in Klickitat County, WA. LS Networks would install underground conduit from the BPA vault to the new LSN customer vault, and continue trenching approximately 385 feet of underground conduit to an existing Klickitat Public Utility District pole south of the BPA right-of-way to connect to the LSN fiber optic network.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: November 9, 2018

<u>/s/ Christopher H. Furey</u>
Christopher H. Furey
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Ross Franklin Fiber Optic Vault and Cable Installation

## **Project Site Description**

The fiber optic cable and vault installation would be on maintained BPA right-of-way. The site is located in Township 3 North, Range 11 East, and Section 7. The project area consists of the area near the McNary-Ross No. 1 transmission line structure 116/3 with some low grasses and shrub vegetation at an elevation of approximately 1,440 feet. The surrounding topography consists of rolling land and flat areas with neighboring parcels comprised of forested areas, some developed rural homesites, and some farmed land. A review of the National Wetland Inventory, soil information, topography, and aerial photos did not reveal any wetlands or waterbodies at the site. A freshwater pond and freshwater emergent wetlands are located over 800 feet northwest of the project location. Jewett Creek and an identified unnamed creek are located approximately over 700 feet to the east and south of the project location. The city of White Salmon, WA is located about 2 miles south of the project location.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions	
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources			
	<u>Explanation</u> : BPA archaeologist reviewed proposed area for the installation of the fiber optic cable and cultural resources.			
2.	Geology and Soils			
	Explanation: There would be some soil disturbance digging is expected for the installation. All work is Note: Use BMPs for limiting soil erosion and dispe	occurring in the establ		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V		
	Explanation: The project would be occurring in the BPA right-of-way that is currently managed for low-growing vegetation. In addition, there are no listed or special-status species present.			
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V		
	Explanation: The work would be in established BP spotted owl habitat or nesting sites. Installation of with limited to no effect to wildlife species, include	f the vault and cable is	expected to occur during daytime hours	

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The nearest freshwater pond is located over 800 feet northwest of the project location. Jewett Creek and an unnamed creek are located over 700 feet to the east and south of the project location and would not be impacted by the vault and fiber optic cable installation. There would be no in-water work occurring and project activities would not be near the pond or creek.				
6.	Wetlands	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: No wetlands are present in the project area 800 feet northwest of the project location.	. There are freshwater emergent v	wetlands located over		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<u> </u>			
	Explanation: The shallow digging for the project would not impact groundwater or aquifers.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<u> </u>			
	Explanation: There would be no impact to land use or specially designated areas. The National Gorge Scenic Area is located 2,500 feet west of the project area.				
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : There would be limited visual changes to the project area or surrounding environment by installation of the vault next to the transmission structure and existing BPA vault in the BPA right-of-way.				
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissio	ns would occur during installation.			
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>			
<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary construction noise would occur during daylight hours. No ongoing noise incepected.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	<u> </u>			
	Explanation: No direct impact.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of wa facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise constructions.		treatment		
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, products that preexist in the environment such that there		=		
	Explanation, if necessary:				

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

**Explanation, if necessary:** 

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: BPA Realty in coordination with LS Networks for this project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Christopher H. Furey</u> Date: <u>November 9, 2018</u>

Christopher H. Furey, ECT-4