Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Spring Creek-Wine Country Transmission Line Impairment Remedy

PP&A No.: 3905

Project Manager: Mark Korsness

Location: Klickitat County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to remedy one impairment on the Spring Creek-Wine Country transmission line. An impairment is an area where the distance from the conductor to the ground surface is inadequate, per National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) standards, resulting in a threat to line reliability and posing a risk to public health and safety. The proposed work is necessary to ensure the line meets current NESC and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) standards.

The impairment on the Spring Creek-Wine Country transmission line is located near structure 3/1. The impairment is classified as requiring urgent repair; however, because of the additional time needed for further design and execution, the impairment cannot be permanently remedied at this time. As a temporary remedy, BPA is proposing to install fencing around the impairment to restrict human, livestock, and wildlife access and lessen potential safety concerns until the permanent fix can be implemented. Installation of the fence may require minimal ground disturbance such as digging post holes.

Table 1. Impairment Remedy Location

Structures	Township, Range, Section	County, State	Ownership	USGS Quad Name		
Spring Creek	Spring Creek-Wine Country					
3/1	T6N R20E Section 19	Klickitat, WA	Private	Bickleton		

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: *October 1, 2018*

/s/ <u>Laura Roberts</u> Laura Roberts Biological Scientist

Concur:

/s/ *Sarah T. Biegel*Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s):

Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Spring Creek-Wine Country 3/1 Impairment Remedy

Project Site Description

The Spring Creek-Wine Country impairment remedy work would be located on existing right-of-way on private range land in eastern Washington.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	<u>xplanation</u> : Because of the minimal to no disturba roject would have no potential to effect historic p		osed site, BPA has determined the			
2.	Geology and Soils	~				
<u>E</u> >	rplanation: Little to no disturbance to the soil wo	uld result from the propose	ed project.			
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)					
<u>E</u> >	<u>xplanation</u> : No federal/state special-status plant	species are present within	the project area.			
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	~				
<u>Ex</u>	olanation: No federal/state special-status wildlife	e are present within the pro	oject area.			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)					
Explanation: There are no federal/state special-status species, water bodies, or flood plains found within the project area.						
6.	Wetlands					
Explanation: There are no wetlands found within the project area.						

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V					
_	anation: Spill prevention measures would be utilized during project activities. The project would not result in groundwater withdrawals nor provide a pathway for groundwater contamination.						
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	~					
Ex	planation: No specially designated areas would be impac	ted by the project.					
9.	Visual Quality	~					
<u>Ex</u>	planation: There would be little to no change to the visual	al quality as a result of the proposed	d impairment remedy.				
10.	Air Quality	V					
Explanation: There would be no long-term change to the air quality as a result of the proposed impairment reme							
11.	Noise	V					
<u>Ex</u>	planation: There would be no long-term change to noise	levels as a result of the proposed in	mpairment remedy.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	V					
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed action would provide public safety and maintain reliable power in the region until a permanent remedy for the impairment can be implemented.						
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements							
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:							
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safe health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.							
	Explanation, if necessary:						
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.							
	Explanation, if necessary:						
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases							
	Explanation, if necessary:						
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biol invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be coperated to prevent unauthorized release into the environments, such as those of the Department of Agricu National Institutes of Health.	contained or confined in a manner of contained or confined in a manner of conducted in accordary	designed and nce with applicable				

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: BPA Realty would make the necessary landowner notifications.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Laura Roberts Date: October 1, 2018

Laura Roberts Biological Scientist