Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Maple Valley - Duwamish No. 1 Impairment Removal

PP&A No.: 4060

Project Manager: Cynthia Rounds - TEP-TPP-1

Location: King County, Washington

Township	Range	Section	County, State	Ownership
23N	5E	20	King County, WA	Private Owners

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to remove one critical line impairment where the distance from the conductor to the ground surface does not have adequate clearance for safe and reliable operation, at structure 1/3 of the Maple Valley-Duwamish No. 1 transmission line in King County, Washington. Ground to conductor clearance will be achieved by installing a 2-pole wooden prop structure located approximately 450 feet ahead online (west) of the existing structure 1/3 within the BPA right-of-way. The prop structure would stand 65 feet in height above ground and would be buried 10 feet deep. A drill would be used to create boreholes where the structure poles would be placed. No other ground disturbance is proposed for the project. General equipment used for this type of project includes cranes and heavy duty trucks.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: *September 12, 2018*

/s/ <u>Chad Browning</u>
Chad Browning
Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Maple Valley - Duwamish No. 1 Impairment Removal

Project Site Description

Proposed construction activities are located in a segment of right-of-way (ROW) for the Maple Valley-Duwamish No. 1 Transmission Line, between structures 1/3 and 1/4, approximately ¾ mile southeast of Renton, WA, and 5 miles east of Seattle-Tacoma International Airport. There are no National Wetland Inventory mapped wetlands that intersect the project area — the nearest mapped wetland is mapped 0.84 miles west of the project area. Vegetation in the project area primarily consists of grasses and shrubs. The project area is privately owned and includes natural land cover. Land use in the surrounding area includes suburban development and other utility corridors.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	<u>xplanation</u> : On July 24, 2018, BPA initiated consultation with the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, the Tulalip Tribes, the noqualmie Indian Tribe, and the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP).					
	A cultural resources review was conducted by Bonneville Power Administration on August 22, 2018; Washington DAHP concurrence on a Determination of No Historic Properties Affected was received Aug 29, 2018. The Puyallup Tribe of Indians, the Tulalip Tribes, and the Snoqualmie Indian Tribe were consulted. No additional comments were received.					
	In the event any archaeological material is encountered during project activities, BPA would stop work in the vicinity and immediately notify the BPA environmental lead, archaeologist, and project manager; interested tribed DAHP; and the appropriate local, state and Federal agencies. BPA would implement reasonable measures the protect the discovery site, including any appropriate stabilization or covering, and take reasonable steps to ensure the confidentiality of the discovery site, including restricting access.					
2.	Geology and Soils	V				
	Explanation: Soil disturbance would occur within structure that would be buried 10 feet deep. A coworld be placed. Excavated soil from the boreho covered. Best Management Practices (BMPs) wowould be reseeded. No prime or unique farmland.	drill would be used to crea ole would be spread in an ould be used to prevent er	te boreholes where the structure poles upland area on site, seeded, and			
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)					
	Explanation: Vegetation removal would be limited as described in the Soils section, above. No Fe project area. All areas of soil disturbance would entry into the project area to prevent the sprea	deral or state special-stat d be reseeded. Vehicles a	tus plant species are mapped within the and equipment would be washed prior to			

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	~				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No suitable habitat is present in the project area for listed wildlife species. Low-quality habitat typical of the area would be disturbed. No state or federally-listed wildlife species are mapped within the project area.					
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	<u>~</u>				
	Explanation: No waterbodies were mapped within the project area during a June 12, 2018, site visit conducted by a BPA scientist.					
6.	Wetlands					
	Explanation: There are no National Wetland Inventory mapped wetlands that intersect the project area – the nearest mapped wetland is mapped 0.84 miles west of the project area. No wetlands were observed within the project area during a June 12, 2018, site visit conducted by a BPA scientist.					
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers					
	Explanation: Groundwater would not be affected by proposed road improvement activities; no new groundwater wells or use of ground water proposed.					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas					
	Explanation: No land use changes are proposed; no specially-designated areas have been identified.					
9.	Visual Quality	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : All improvements will be at ground level and are limited to the existing ROW and the area immediately surrounding the transmission lines.					
10.	Air Quality	V				
	Explanation: Any fugitive dust or similar air-quality impacts during project construction are expected to be temporary and minimal.					
11.	Noise					
	Explanation: Construction noise from typical utility line equipment would be temporary and localized.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	V				
	Explanation: Project activities would not impact houtage times and maintain reliable power in the re		The proposed action would help reduce			

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Chad Browning

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The project is privately owned. Property owners would be notified prior to construction.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Chad Browning</u> Date: <u>September 12, 2018</u>

Physical Scientist (Environmental)