Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Bonneville Captive Brood Facility Roof Replacement

Project No.: 2007-404-00

Project Manager: Jamie Cleveland

Location: Multnomah County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA propose to replace the Bonneville Captive Brood facility's aging roof. The facility was constructed in the mid-1990s near the Bonneville Hatchery and was fully funded by BPA. BPA owns the facility and all associated fish rearing equipment and continues to fund the maintenance of the facility even when fish are not being reared.

The roof of the Bonneville Captive Brood facility is coming to the end of its working life and is in need of replacement. The proposed action is to replace the roof so that the facility will remain functional, be ready to handle fish as needed, and safe working conditions are not compromised.

The project does not involve any ground excavation.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Israel Duran

Israel Duran Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Salient/CRGT Reviewed by:

/s/ Chad Hamel

Chad Hamel Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Date: August 28, 2018

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason Stacy L. Mason

NEPA Compliance Office

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Bonneville Captive Brood Facility Roof Replacement

Project Site Description

The Bonneville Captive Brood facility was constructed in the mid-1990s on Bonneville Hatchery grounds just west of Cascade Locks, Oregon. The roof replacement would occur on an existing structure located within the existing hatchery grounds. The site has been heavily modified to accommodate the Bonneville Dam and the Bonneville Hatchery prior to construction of the Bonneville Captive Brood facility.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: The building itself was constructed in will not cause any additional ground disturbance.	the 1990's (it is not cult	curally significant) and the action itself
2.	Geology and Soils		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The facility roof replacement will not built environment.	affect the geology or so	oils as all activity will occur in an already
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: There will not be any further disturbance activitieies will occur on/near the facility, with no		e roof replacement. All of the
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: There will not be any further disturbance activities will occur on hatchery grounds, with no a	_	he roof replacement. All of the
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : This activity will not occur closer that house fish. Additionally, BMPs are in place to redumaterials.		
6.	Wetlands	V	
	Explanation: There are not any known wetlands in implemented on Bonneville Dam grounds. BMP's we the replacement are contained and removed during	will be in place to ensur	e that if any hazardous materials from

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Roof replacement will not affect groundwa if any hazardous materials from the replacement are ren					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas					
	Explanation: This facility roof replacement occurs at Bonneville Dam, but is not in any specially designated area.					
9.	Visual Quality	<u> </u>				
	Explanation: The facility is not visible from any viewpoints, and the new roof itself will not be different from the old roof.					
10.	Air Quality	<u> </u>				
	Explanation: The replacement of the roof at the facility is not expected to impact air quality.					
11.	Noise	<u>~</u>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : While there will be some noise associated with the removal and replacement of the facility roof, the noise will not likely rise above levels normally associated with normal construction work, operations at the dam, or continue for excessive amounts of time.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : There may be some potential for safety and health issues while replacing the roof, there are BMPs in place to protect the workers,					
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
	Evaluation of Other In	ntegral Elements				
	Evaluation of Other In expression project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not:		exclusion. The			
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in	tegral elements of the categorical of				
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or	tegral elements of the categorical of				
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orde	tegral elements of the categorical of the categoric	iment, safety, and			
pro	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in eject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orden Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that their	tegral elements of the categorical of the permit requirements for environers. Taste storage, disposal, recovery, or categorically excluded.	r treatment			
pro	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in eject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Ordon Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants	tegral elements of the categorical of the permit requirements for environers. Taste storage, disposal, recovery, or categorically excluded.	r treatment			
pro	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in eject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orden Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that their	tegral elements of the categorical of the permit requirements for environments. The permit requirements for environments. The permit requirements for environments. The permit requirements for environments of the categorical environment and conducted in accordance on the categorical elements.	r treatment Ind natural gas mitted releases. Exious weeds, or designed and natural gables.			

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: None

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Israel Duran</u> Date: <u>August 28, 2018</u>

Israel Duran

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Salient/CRGT