Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Swift Creek Land Acquisition Funding

Fish and Wildlife Project No. and Contract No.: 2008-800-00, BPA-010360

Project Manager: Cecilia Brown – EWM-4

Location: Flathead County, MT

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.25 Real property transfers for cultural resources protection, habitat preservation, and wildlife management

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to fund Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (MDNRC) to purchase approximately 3,180 acres of riparian and upland habitats along Swift Creek in Flathead County, Montana. BPA will hold a conservation easement on the property and it will be managed to permanently protect, mitigate, and enhance fish and wildlife and their habitat.

Funding the acquisition is in partial fulfillment of BPA's commitments to the State of Montana in accordance with the historic Columbia Basin Fish Accords in 2008 and the Resident Fish Memorandum of Agreement in 2010. Acquisition of the conservation easement will serve as partial mitigation for the construction and operation of the Hungry Horse hydroelectric facility on the South Fork of the Flathead River, and as part of BPA's ongoing efforts to protect, restore, and enhance habitat for resident fish, including bull trout and westslope cutthroat trout, and wildlife in Montana.

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation will provide long-term stewardship for the land and will develop a management plan to guide the protection and enhancement of habitat on the property. The management plan will be reviewed by BPA for consistency with the purpose of the conservation easement and land acquisition. If BPA proposes to fund any additional activities on the property, environmental review may be necessary.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix A of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist;
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: <u>August 28, 2018</u>

/s/ Brenda Aguirre

Brenda Aguirre Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason
Stacy L. Mason
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Swift Creek Land Acquisition Funding

Project Site Description

The 3,180-acre Swift Creek property is currently privately owned by Weyerhaeuser. BPA will fund its purchase by the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation. The property is forested with associated streams/rivers and wetlands.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The purchase of property or conservat conservation in the state of Montanan has no poter		
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No soil disturbance proposed – conse	ervation easement only.	
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V	
	Explanation: No plant disturbance proposed – con	servation easement on	y.
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: No disturbance to wildlife – conserva	tion easement only.	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: No disturbance – conservation easen	nent only.	
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: No disturbance to wetlands – conserv	vation easement only.	
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V	
	Explanation: No change to groundwater or aquifer	r – conservation easeme	ent only.
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas		
	Explanation: No change to land use – conservation	n easement only.	

9.	Visual Quality	V				
	Explanation: No change to visual quality – conservation	easement only.				
10.	Air Quality	V				
•	Explanation: No change to air quality – conservation eas	ement only.				
11.	Noise	V				
	Explanation: No change to noise levels – conservation ea	asement only.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	V				
	Explanation: No change to human health and safety – co	onservation easement only.				
	Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
	The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, as health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminant products that preexist in the environment such that the		_			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic bid invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environments, such as those of the Department of Agric National Institutes of Health.	contained or confined in a manner ironment and conducted in accorda	designed and ance with applicable			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
_						
	Landowner Notification Invo	luomant or Coordination				

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: Notification letters will be sent to adjacent landowners and other interested parties at a minimum of 15 days before the closing date (proposed closing date 9/26/18) of the sale. Ads will also be posted in local newspapers, and information will be posted on BPA's public website prior to closing.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: <u>/s/ Brenda Aguirre</u> Date: <u>August 28, 2018</u>

Brenda Aguirre ECF-4

Environmental Protection Specialist