# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Wireless Communication Upgrade at AT&T's Indian Trail Site

**Project Manager:** Jonathan Toobian—TELP-TPP-3

**Location**: Spokane County, Washington

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.19 Microwave, meteorological and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to allow AT&T to upgrade their antennas and equipment at their existing wireless site called Indian Trail on a BPA transmission tower in eastern Washington. The work would consist of removing six panel antennas and replacing those with three new antennas. Additionally, six remote radio heads (RRH) would be removed and replaced with three new RRHs; and nine tower mounted amplifiers (TMA) would be removed and replaced with six TMAs. To ensure safety, BPA workers and their subcontractors would complete the wireless antenna installation work. The project would not involve any ground excavation.

The upgrade would occur at the following location:

AT&T's Indian Trail site: Spokane County, WA. Section 16, Township 26 North, Range 42 East. Grand Coulee-Bell No. 3 Transmission Line.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

#### /s/ Beth Belanger

Beth Belanger Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Motus Staffing & Recruiting Reviewed by:

/s/ Dave Kennedy FOR

Nancy Wittpenn Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Date: September 7, 2018

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel

Sarah T. Biegel

NEPA Compliance Office

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Wireless Communication Upgrade at AT&T's Indian Trail Site

## **Project Site Description**

The AT&T antenna and equipment replacements would occur on an existing transmission structure located within an existing transmission line right-of-way. The structure is located near a residential housing subdivision. The site has an existing access road to the tower base. The project area has prior ground disturbance.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: BPA Cultural staff have reviewed the proposed activities and determined there is no potential to cause effects to cultural properties. The project area has been extensively disturbed from development of the utility corridor and the structure is easily accessible by an existing access road. Additionally, the area was previously surveyed in 2012 and no cultural resources were identified. The BPA Historian also reviewed the proposed project and determined that this undertaking has no potential to cause effect to historic properties. No further review under the National Historic Preservation Act is required.				
2.	Geology and Soils	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: The proposed project does not involve may occur from bucket trucks driving around the s	_	Some insignificant compaction of soils		
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)				
	Explanation: The project location has been previous. The project would have no impacts to any special-solution. Note: To prevent the spread of noxious weeds, co area.	status plants.			
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: No special-status species or habitats impacts to special-status wildlife.  Note: If any active nests are found on the structur the nest is unoccupied.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V			
	Explanation: The project area does not have any would be no impacts to these resources.	vater bodies, floodplai	ns, or listed fish species; therefore, there		

6.	Wetlands	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: The project is not in or near wetlands; there	efore, there would be no impact to	wetlands.			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project does not involve any ground di groundwater and aquifers.	sturbance; therefore, there would l	be no impact to			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>V</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : There would be no change to land use at th areas at the project location.	e project location. There are no sp	ecially designated			
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: The wireless antennas and equipment are of	consistent with the existing use of t	he utility corridor.			
10.	Air Quality	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction; however, there would be no significant changes to air quality during or after construction.					
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Construction noise would be temporary an would not change.	d would occur during daylight hour	s. Operational noise			
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: There would be no impact to human health	and safety.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	explanation, if necessary:					
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of		treatment			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that there		_			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biole invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be coperated to prevent unauthorized release into the environments, such as those of the Department of Agricu	ontained or confined in a manner conment and conducted in accordan	designed and ace with applicable			

National Institutes of Health.

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: The project proponent is responsible for acquiring and maintaining easements for their facilities from underlying landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Beth Belanger</u> Date: <u>September 7, 2018</u>

Beth Belanger, ECT-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Motus Staffing & Recruiting