Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Wood Pole Replacement on the LaPine-Chiloquin Transmission line 2018.

PP&A Project No.: 3624

Project Manager: Gerri Colburn – TEPO-TPP-1

Location: Klamath County, OR

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace deteriorating wood-pole structures (including cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) on the La Pine - Chiloquin transmission line. Replacement would be in-kind, having the same height and configuration as the original. New poles would either be placed back in existing holes, or an auger attached to a backhoe would be used to drill new holes approximately 5 feet ahead or back on-line, from existing poles. Unless further limited to protect sensitive plants, ground disturbance would not extend beyond the width of the right-of-way and 100 feet on each side of the structure. Maintenance or improvement of landings may be required to allow for safe working conditions.

Heavy equipment would be used to conduct the work and would include the following: pole truck, dump truck with trailer, backhoe, crane, boom truck, bucket truck, work trucks with trailers, and bulldozer. All heavy equipment would be cleaned prior to entering Forest Service lands to ensure they are free of soil, seeds, and plant propagules. Equipment and materials would be staged only in designated areas agreed to in advance with the Forest Service. Once work is completed all equipment and debris would be removed from the project area.

No unauthorized construction activities would occur in any water body including streams, ponds, or wetlands.

The proposed action would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work would be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

Transmission Line/ROW	Structure #	Township	Range	Section(s)	County	Ownership/Land Use
LaPine- Chiloquin No. 1	23/6, 24/5, 24/6, 24/8, 25/1, 25/2, 25/3, 25/4, 25/5, 25/7,26/2	258	09E	29, 30, 31	Klamath	USFS/Forest
	26/7, 27/1	25S	08E	36	Klamath	USFS/Forest

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Fred Walasavage</u>
Fred Walasavage
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist Effects Determination for T&E Species Date: *June 12, 2018*

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Wood Pole Replacement on the LaPine-Chiloquin Transmission Line

Project Site Description

The project activities would be conducted on BPA Transmission line rights-of-way. The project is primarily located on the Deschutes and Winema National Forests. The project area consists of rolling terrain. Vegetation on the rights-of-way consists of grasses and steppe shrubs.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource	No Potential for	No Potential for Significance, with	
	Impacts	Significance	Conditions	
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~		
	Section 106 documentation was submitted by the USFS to SHPO regarding the 2018 Pole Replacement Project on April 20, 2018. It was received and processed by SHPO on April 22, 2018 and assigned Case# 18-0442. SHPO concurred with the <i>No Adverse Effect</i> determination regarding above-ground resources (the transmission line itself) on May 10, 2018. As of June 12, 2018, no additional correspondence has been received from SHPO regarding this project, particularly relating to below-ground resources. As the 30-day consultation window ended on May 22, 2018, concurrence from SHPO is assumed. Klamath Tribes, Burns Paiute Tribe, and Warm Springs Tribes consulted—no response received. In the event of an inadvertent discovery, work would immediately cease and the SHPO and BPA archaeologist would be notified.			
2.	Geology and Soils	V		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project would require minimal ground-disturbing activities. No prime or unique farmlands would be affected. On Forest Service land, use appropriate native seed mixtures for low-growing species, as designated by Forest Service revegetation specialists, provided that Forest Service revegetation specialists may allow non-invasive, non-native species to be used when they deem it necessary.			
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	~		
	Explanation: The four miles of transmission line within the proj moonwort (Botrychium pumicola), a Forest Servic species. Populations are localized within this area 25/5, 26/2, 27/1) do not contain suitable habitate sites are located away from the disturbance footp	e R6 Sensitive species a a. The majority of struc or known viable popula	and State-listed (for Oregon) Threatened stures (24/5, 24/6, 24/8, 25/1, 25/4, ations. Because the pumice moonwort	

directly impact any of these sites. As the sites are either fenced or flagged, there would also be no impact from

	equipment being inadvertently staged on top of these protected areas.				
	Structures 25/3 and 26/7 do have known populations. Due to a large population surrounding structure 26/7, the structure would be relocated 300 feet ahead on line. Impacts to the pumice moonwort would likely be encountered during the retirement of the existing structure at 26/7. To minimize impacts, poles would be cut at ground surface with butts left in place. Anchor cables would be removed and the below ground footing would be left in place. The Forest Service would mark out two vehicle approach areas necessary for structure removal that would represent the least amount of impact to the pumice moonwort. The Forest Service would be responsible for flagging pumice moonwort within the work area so they are visible and can be avoided by workers. Work would be conducted in the fall timeframe. Structure 25/3 has habitat at 56′ to the north and 90′ to the south. The Forest Service would be responsible for flagging pumice moonwort within the work area so they are visible and can be avoided by workers. Access to the structures within the proposed project area would be limited to existing roadways and landings. Staging areas would be on previously-disturbed areas with no known populations of pumice moonwort or in areas as approved by the Forest Service.				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: The following are excerpts from the 2017 B Wildlife Report prepared by the Forest Service on April 1.		Replacement Project		
	There is no habitat for any Threatened and Endangered species except the gray wolf. The proposed project would not alter deer or elk habitat nor change how wolves would use the area. There would be no effect to any Threatened and Endangered species.				
	The FS assessed the potential impact to FS sensitive species and determined that the proposed action would have no effect on bats and may impact individuals or habitat, but will not likely contribute to a trend toward federal listing or loss of viability to the population or species of white-headed woodpeckers, Johnson's hairstreak, western Morrison, and Suckley cuckoo bumble bee. There is no habitat for any other sensitive species. Implementation of the project would have no impact to any other FS sensitive species.				
	To prevent impacts to nesting hawks, work would not tak August 31st for redtail hawks and goshawks and April 1st				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)				
	Explanation: None present in project area.				
6.	Wetlands	V			
	Explanation: None present in project area.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V			
	Explanation: No contact with groundwater. The propose disturbance would be about 10 feet below ground surface	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	num depth of		

8. Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	~				
Explanation: No change in land use and no speciall	y designated areas ide	ntified.			
9. Visual Quality	V				
Explanation: New wood poles would be similar to existing structures.	existing structures and	would not be noticeably different than			
10. Air Quality	V				
Explanation: Any fugitive dust or similar during prominimal.	ject implementation is	expected to be temporary and			
11. Noise	V				
Explanation: Construction noise would be temporar	ry and localized.				
12. Human Health and Safety	~				
Explanation: Project activities would not impact hu	Explanation: Project activities would not impact human health or safety.				
Evaluation of Oth	ner Integral Elements	5			
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulat health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive		ments for environment, safety, and			
Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw	• • •	•			
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamin products that preexist in the environment such that					
_					
Involve genetically engineered organisms, syntheti invasive species, unless the proposed activity would operated to prevent unauthorized release into the requirements, such as those of the Department of A	d be contained or confi environment and cond	ined in a manner designed and lucted in accordance with applicable			

National Institutes of Health.

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

The BPA Project Manager has been in contact with the Forest Service throughout the planning phase and the Forest Service would be notified once the official start date has been determined.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Date: *June 12, 2018*

Signed: /s/ Fred Walasavage

Fred Walasavage

Environmental Protection Specialist