Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Knight-Ostrander No. 1 Access Road Improvement Project (Line Miles 48 & 49)

PP&A No.: 3,949

<u>Project Manager</u>: Scott Williams – TFDF-The Dalles

Location: Skamania County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):

B1.3 Routine Maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action:

Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) owns and operates the Knight-Ostrander No. 1 500 kV high voltage transmission line that runs from Knight Substation in Klickitat Co., WA, to Ostrander Substation in Clackamas Co., OR. To provide safe and reliable access to transmission structures, BPA maintains a network of access roads along the transmission line. The access roads in line miles 48 and 49 of the Knight-Ostrander No. 1 line, near Carson, WA in Skamania County, are degraded and in need of repair. BPA is proposing to rock and grade the existing access roads. Approximately 1.75 miles of road work is planned. BPA would place and compact rock within the access road footprint. Some degraded areas would be bladed, then rocked and compacted. In other locations, low-growing vegetation currently in the road footprint would be cleared. All road work would be conducted in the existing access road footprint on existing easements. No new roads are planned, and except for utilizing ford crossings incidental to construction, no in-water work or culvert installation work is planned. The work would be conducted using heavy machinery such as dump trucks and a backhoe.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Aaron Siemers</u>
Aaron <u>Siemers</u>
Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist Date: July 20, 2018

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Knight-Ostrander No. 1 Access Road Improvement Project (Line Miles 48 & 49)

Project Site Description

The project is located in the Wind River watershed, Skamania County, Washington. The township, section, and range information is detailed below:

Township		Range		Section County	Ownership	
3	N	8	Ε	16	Skamania, WA	State of Washington

The project area is located in the low elevation slopes of the Columbia River Gorge, in the Western Cascades Lowlands and Valleys ecoregion. Some of the project area overlaps with land within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, which is managed by the U.S. Forest Service. The ecoregion is dominated by western hemlock and Douglas-fir forests in a low elevation alpine environment. Western red cedar is also present in the project area. The underbrush contains vine maple, sword fern, lady fern, Oregon grape, thimbleberry, grasses, and small shrubs. Cutleaf blackberry, Himalayan blackberry, and Scotch broom are also present in the cleared transmission right-of-way. The forest in the project area provides habitat to large mammals like deer and elk, and raptors such as eagles and falcons as well as smaller birds such as Steller's jay. Northern spotted owl critical habitat is present within 500 ft. of the project site.

The area shows signs of historical logging, with remnant stumps, and most Douglas-fir and western hemlock are less than 24 inches dbh. Some mature western red cedar of approximately 36 inches to 48 inches dbh are present to the northeast of the project area.

Brush Creek, a small tributary to the Wind River, is present in the project area, as are other unidentified tributaries to Brush Creek.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation:				
	Bonneville Power Administration engaged in consultation with the Cowlitz Tribe, the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon, the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Reservation, the Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and the Washington Dept. of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP). A cultural survey was conducted of the area of potential effect. On July 24 th , 2017, BPA issued a report that documented a determination of No Historic Properties Affected. DAHP issued a concurrence letter August 28 th , 2017. No other consulted parties responded to BPA's determination. Therefore, BPA has fulfilled the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, and no further investigation or survey is necessary. In the event archaeological or historic materials are discovered during project activities, BPA would immediately cease work, secure the area, and notify the proper authorities.				
2.	Geology and Soils	~			
	Explanation: The Knight-Ostrander No. 1 Access Road Improve some lite blading, re-grading, and rocking would be required. Disturbed, un-rocked soils outside of erosion and sedimentation. The project has no	be necessary, no significant the road prism would b	ant road cuts or earth movement would e seeded and stabilized to minimize risk		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V			
	Explanation: There are no special-status plant species present and Scotch broom, to common ferns, Oregon gradder, vine maple, and western hemlock. Work is disturbed areas would be revegetated upon project has no potential for significant impacts to	ape, salal, and common c s to be conducted in an ex ject completion with a cli	oniferous tree species like Douglas fir, kisting access road footprint. The		
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: Gray wolf, North American wolverine, northern	spotted owl (NSO) and w	ellow-hilled cuckoo are listed in the		
	project area. Northern spotted owl critical habitathe north of the work area.	•			
	BPA has conducted an effects determination and American wolverine, gray wolf, and yellow-billed are there any documented sitings of these species.	d cuckoo. The project area			
	In addition, BPA has determined that the project habitat. The work would not be conducted in NS canopy old-growth trees). The forest in the project	60 critical habitat, or habi	tat preferred by NSO (large, dense		

involves improving existing access road corridors. There are no known recent reports of NSO in the In addition, the road work would be conducted in August, relatively late in the bird nesting season. has no potential for significant impacts to wildlife.				
	Please review BPA's Knight-Ostrander No. 1 Access Road information regarding the project's effects to endangered		emo for more	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		~	
	affic would be utilizing tributary to Brush tream. However, in med that Brush Creek the stream as it termined that the			
	Resident rainbow trout may be present in the stream, lik sedimentation into the creek and limit damage to the exi emplace several steel plates over both ford crossings, an crossings over the fords. Work will also be conducted in t	sting ford crossings during construded plan the construction to limit the	ction, BPA will amount of necessary	
	No floodplains are present in the project area. With mitig significant impacts to water bodies, floodplains, and fish.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	potential for	
6.	Wetlands	V		
	Explanation:			
	There are no known wetlands present in the project area. Some wetland indicators (such as hydrology and vegetation) are present in various isolated locations within the footprint of the existing access roads in certain locations on slopes, as the existing road cuts intercept natural slope drainages and can form seasonal seeps and wet areas on the slope. While the wetland status of these seeps is undetermined, regardless, any potential access road improvements in existing serviceable road footprint would be exempt from Clean Water Act dredge and fill regulations by the maintenance exemption (Clean Water Act, Section 404 F 1 b). All road work improvements would be conducted in existing access road footprint. The project has no potential for significant impacts to wetlands.			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V		
	Explanation: The work would not involve new groundwater wells or grouper than no potential for significant impacts to ground	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ct aquifers. The	
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V		
	Explanation:			
	The project is located in the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, which is managed by the U.S. Forest Service The project area is located in a Special Management Area (SMA). BPA consulted with the Forest Service regard the proposed actions. An email polification and project review application was provided to the USES on June 2			

than 24 inches dbh. No mature tree removal is planned during the road improvement project, as the road work

	018. In an email on July 5 th , 2018, the USFS concurred with BPA that the proposed action was consistent with ne Savings Provision of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. The project has no potential for gnificant impacts to existing land use or specially designated areas.							
9.	Visual Quality							
	Explanation: The proposed work would be conducted in existing access road footprint in rural, forested areas. No significent impacts tree removal or change in the viewshed is planned. The project has no potential for significant impacts quality.							
10.	Air Quality	~						
	Explanation: The project would create temporary dust and vehicle emission due to construction; however, no significant impacts would occur.							
11.	Noise	V						
	Explanation: The project would create temporary noise due to construction; however, no significant impacts would occur.							
12.	Human Health and Safety	V						
	Explanation: During project construction activity, all standard safety protocols would be followed. Post-construction, road improvements in this remote area would reduce the risk to BPA employees and other users of the remote access road system, improving human health and safety. Other than that point, the project would not have significant impacts on human health or safety.							
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements								
	ne proposed project would also meet condition of coject would not:	ns that are integral elements of	f the categorical exclusion. The					
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or E		nents for environment, safety, and					
	Explanation, if necessary: N/A							
V	Require siting and construction or major ex (including incinerators) that are not otherw		osal, recovery, or treatment facilities					
	Explanation, if necessary: N/A							
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, or products that preexist in the environment s		·					

Explanation, if necessary: N/A



Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary: N/A

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

The proposed project is located on BPA access road easements, on land owned by the State of Washington, managed by the WA Dept. of Natural Resources, within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, which is managed by the U.S. Forest Service. BPA has notified the WA Dept. of Natural Resources and the USFS of the planned activity and proposed construction schedule. BPA would continue ongoing coordination with the landowners and land management agencies during project activities.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Aaron Siemers Date: July 20, 2018

Aaron Siemers

Physical Scientist (Environmental)