Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: PGE Conductor Installation

Project No.: LURR 20180098

Project Manager: Marvin Thomas

Location: Washington County, OR

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of powerline rights-of-way

Description of the Proposed Action: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to allow Portland General Electric (PGE) to install a new 115-kV overhead conductor on its Keeler-Allston No. 1 fee-owned right-of-way (ROW) in Washington County, Oregon. The conductor would span the width of the ROW and would entail one new wood pole installation. No additional ground disturbance is proposed.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u> Elizabeth Siping Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC

Reviewed by:

<u>/s/ Chad Hamel FOR</u> Nancy Wittpen Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist Concur:

Date: August 9, 2018

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: PGE Conductor Installation

Project Site Description

The project area is located next to NW Jacobsen road within BPA's Keeler-Allston No. 1 right-of-way in Washington County, Oregon. The project area is vegetated with blackberries and various forbs in previously disturbed utility corridor, in a light industrial area of Hillsboro.

disturbed utility corridor, in a light industrial area of Hillsboro.						
Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources						
	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	Explanation: Oregon State Historic Preservation office concurrence on no adverse effect determination was made on July 3, 2018. The Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde and the Confederated Tribes of the Siletz were contacted; however, no response was received.					
	In the event any archaeological or historic materials are encountered during project activities, the following actions should be taken:					
	 Stop work in the vicinity and immediately Appropriate BPA project staff, interested Federal agencies should also be notified. Implement reasonable measures to protect covering. Take reasonable steps to ensure the configuration. 	Tribes, Oregon SHPO, a	nd the appropriate county, state, and cluding any appropriate stabilization or			
2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: The project would impact approximation in previously disturbed soil.	ately 100 square feet fo	r the installation of the new wood pole			
	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	v				
	Explanation: Minor impacts to roadside vegetation known to occur at the site.	on may occur. No federa	al or state special-status species are			
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)					
	Explanation: No impacts to wildlife would be anti	cipated.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V				
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fish	are present.				

c						
6.	Wetlands	v				
7.	Explanation: No wetlands are present. Groundwater and Aquifers					
	Explanation: No new wells or use of groundwater is proposed.					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	~				
	Explanation: No change to land use is anticipated. There are no specially designated areas.					
9.	Visual Quality	v				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project would result in the addition of the 115-kV overhead conductor along NW Jacobson Road in Hillsboro.					
10.	Air Quality					
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction activities.					
11.	Noise	v				
	Explanation: Temporary increase in noise would occur during construction.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	\checkmark				
	Explanation: Proposed activities would have no impact of	on human health and safety.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
>	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biolo invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be co operated to prevent unauthorized release into the enviro requirements, such as those of the Department of Agricu	ontained or confined in a manner of onment and conducted in accordan	lesigned and ce with applicable			

National Institutes of Health. Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: No notification needed. The proposed work would take place on BPA fee-owned property.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u> Elizabeth Siping Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC Date: August 9, 2018