Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Gate Installation and Fence Replacement on the North Bonneville-Midway No. 1

Transmission Line

LURR Number: 20170278

Project Manager: Harley Canaday, TERR-3

Location: Klickitat County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of powerline

rights-of-way

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to allow a landowner to install a gate at the entrance of the landowner's driveway and replace field-fencing, both of which are located within the BPA rights-of-way. The project area is located between structures 30/2 and 30/3 of the North Bonneville-Midway No. 1 transmission line. The approximate 130-linear feet of field-fencing parallels Spencer Hill Road, in White Salmon, Washington. The driveway gate would be installed immediately north of the fencing, and would continue the same north-south line that the fence followed.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NFPA review.

/s/ Becky Hill

Becky Hill
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Flux Resources, LLC

_		
ROV	iewed	hw
1161	icvvcu	DV.

/s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard

Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Date: July 9, 2018

Concur:

/s/ Stacy Mason
Stacy Mason

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts to environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Gate Installation and Fence Replacement on the North Bonneville-Midway No. 1 Transmission Line (LURR20170278)

Project Site Description

The project area is located on BPA fee-owned property between structures 30/2 and 30/3 on the North Bonneville-Midway No. 1 transmission line, in White Salmon, Washington. In Township 3 North, Range 11 East, Section 5, the project area is surrounded by rural residences, agricultural crops, pastures and forested lands.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The BPA archaeologist conducted a sit impact historic properties, and no further review ur	nder the National Histo	oric Preservation Act is needed.
	Should any cultural resources be discovered during lead should be notified immediately.	project activities, ther	i all project work must stop, and the EC
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: Erosion and sediment control best many vegetation and ground disturbing activities.	anagement practices (BMPs) would be implemented prior to
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: There are no documented occurrence therefore, the proposed project would not have are be disturbed as a result of project activities include crop that appears to be wheat, grass seed or hay.	n effect on ESA-listed p	plant species. The vegetation that could
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: There are no documented occurrence area; therefore, the proposed project would not have	·	
5.	Water bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : There are no water bodies, floodplain water bodies, floodplains or fish habitat would be	•	· · ·

	Creek located approximately 0.3 miles southwest of the project area.				
6.	Wetlands	V			
	<u>Explanation</u> : There are no wetlands present in the project. The nearest wetland is located approximately 1	The state of the s	uld be impacted by the		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	Explanation: No new wells or use of groundwater are p	roposed with this project.			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: There are no specially-designated land use areas in the project area.				
9.	Visual Quality				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The new fencing would replace existing fencing, and the addition of a new driveway gate would not significantly change the visual quality of the site and would be visually consistent with other properties in the area.				
	Air Quality				
	Explanation: Temporary dust and vehicle emissions could increase in the local area during construction activities.				
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: Temporary noise could increase in the local area during construction activities.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	V			
12.	Human Health and Safety Explanation: No impacts to health and human safety as				
12.		a result of this project.			
The	Explanation: No impacts to health and human safety as	a result of this project. ntegral Elements	exclusion. The		
The	Explanation: No impacts to health and human safety as Evaluation of Other In the proposed project would also meet conditions that are in	a result of this project. ntegral Elements stegral elements of the categorical elements of the categorical elements of the categorical elements.			
The	Explanation: No impacts to health and human safety as Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory,	a result of this project. ntegral Elements stegral elements of the categorical elements of the categorical elements of the categorical elements.			
The	Explanation: No impacts to health and human safety as Evaluation of Other In Proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Ord Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise or	a result of this project. ntegral Elements stegral elements of the categorical elements for environers.	ment, safety, and		
The	Explanation: No impacts to health and human safety as Evaluation of Other In e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Ord Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of w	a result of this project. ntegral Elements stegral elements of the categorical elements for environers.	ment, safety, and		
The	Explanation: No impacts to health and human safety as Evaluation of Other In Proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Ord Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise or	a result of this project. Integral Elements Integral elements of the categorical elements for environments. In a standard second sec	ment, safety, and treatment d natural gas		

invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable

requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The project area is located on BPA fee owned property and BPA is coordinating with the landowner requesting the gate installation and fence replacement; adjacent landowners would not be affected by the action.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Becky Hill Date: July 9, 2018

Becky Hill, ECT-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Flux Resources, LLC