# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**<u>Proposed Action</u>**: Pacific Lamprey Translocation Infrastructure Upgrades

Project No.: 2017-005-00, Contract No. 78040 REL 4, Work Element E

**Project Manager:** Siena Lopez-Johnston

**Location**: Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery (NPTH), Nez Perce Reservation

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.20 Protection of cultural

resources, fish and wildlife habitat.

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to fund the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC) to upgrade Pacific Lamprey translocation infrastructure at the Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery (NPTH). The Pacific Lamprey Conservation Initiative (PLCI) in coordination with the NPT will repair and/or upgrade existing infrastructure (totes, flow alarm system, and holding shed) used to trap, haul, and overwinter adult lamprey captured in the lower Columbia River.

Actions will take place at the NPTH on the Lapwei Creek near the Clearwater River on the Nez Perce Reservation beginning July 1, 2018, with an estimated November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2018 completion date. Actions include the installation of five flow meters at both lamprey adult holding facilities and the enclosure of Lamprey Shed 1 via addition of a fourth, sliding side to the existing structure. Miscellaneous wiring and hardware will also be acquired for assemblies. There will be no ground disturbance associated with any of the proposed actions.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

#### /s/ Tabatha Rood

Tabatha Rood Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Triad Technology Group Reviewed by:

/s/ Chad Hamel

Chad Hamel

**Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist** 

Date: *June 11, 2018* 

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason

Stacy L. Mason

**NEPA Compliance Office** 

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Upgrades to Translocation Infrastructure for Pacific Lamprey

### **Project Site Description**

The Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery is located East of Lapwei Creek on the Clearwater River on the Nez Perce Reservation. The upgrades will occur at two adult lamprey holding sheds with respective coordinates: 46.516821 -116.658681 and 46.519090 -116.659591. The NPTH is bisected by River Rd which runs longitudinally north of the Clearwater River. Lamprey Shed 1 is located in the southern portion, and Lamprey Shed 2 is located in the northern portion of the complex. The complex is well isolated from other structures, and no wetlands are located on site.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<b>V</b>	
	<u>Explanation</u> : There is no ground disturbance association by the properties.	iated with this project.	Therefore, there is no potential to affect
2.	Geology and Soils	<b>V</b>	
	<u>Explanation</u> : There is no ground disturbance associaffect geology and soils.	ciated with this project	. Therefore, there is no potential to
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	•	
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance associaffect plant species.	ciated with this project.	Therefore, there is no potential to
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	•	
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance association existing infrastructure. Therefore, there is no potential to the state of the s		<del>-</del>
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance asso existing infrastructure. Therefore, no water bodies	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6.	Wetlands		
	<u>Explanation</u> : There is no ground disturbance association existing infrastructure. Therefore, there is no pote		·

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>		
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance associated with this project and actions will only affect isolated existing insfrastructure. Therefore, there is no potential to affect groundwater and aquifers.			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas			
	Explanation: There will be no change in land use and no work in specially designated areas.			
9.	Visual Quality	V		
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance associated with this project and actions will only affect isolated existing infrastructure. Therefore, there is no potential to impact visual quality.			
10.	Air Quality	V		
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance associated with this project and actions will only affect isolated existing infrastructure. Therefore, there is no potential to impact air quality.			
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>		
	Explanation: There is no ground disturbance associated with this project and actions will only affect isolated existing infrastructure. Temporary maintenance noise would be generated during daylight hours.			
12.	Human Health and Safety	V		
	<u>Explanation</u> : There is no ground disturbance associal existing infrastructure. There will be no changes to the no potential to affect human health and safety.			
	Evaluation of Other	er Integral Elements		
	Evaluation of Other proposed project would also meet conditions that an eject would not:	-	e categorical exclusion. The	
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that ar	re integral elements of th		
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that an ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulato	re integral elements of th		
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that an eject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulated health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive	re integral elements of thory, or permit requirements Orders.	nts for environment, safety, and	
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that an explication of applicable statutory, regulated health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion	re integral elements of thory, or permit requirements Orders.	nts for environment, safety, and	
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that an explored would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulated health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw	ory, or permit requirements of the Orders.  of waste storage, disposatise categorically excluded	ats for environment, safety, and all, recovery, or treatment d.	
pro	e proposed project would also meet conditions that an eject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulated health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw Explanation, if necessary:  Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamin	ory, or permit requirements of the Orders.  of waste storage, disposatise categorically excluded	ats for environment, safety, and all, recovery, or treatment d.	
pro	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are ject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulated health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherw Explanation, if necessary:  Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamin products that preexist in the environment such that	re integral elements of the ory, or permit requirement Orders.  of waste storage, disposatise categorically excluded there would be uncontrolly be contained or confined on vironment and conductive or the order.	ats for environment, safety, and al, recovery, or treatment d.  I petroleum and natural gas alled or unpermitted releases.  designated noxious weeds, or d in a manner designed and ed in accordance with applicable	

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: No landowner or neighbor engagement necessary; there are no ground disturbing activites and all actions will affect previously-existing infrastructure. All activities are consisten with ongoing operations.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Tabatha Rood Date: June 11, 2018

Tabatha Rood – ECF-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

**Triad Technology Group**