Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Ponderosa Substation Interconnection Equipment for PacifiCorp

Project No.: 3,836

Project Manager: Emmanuel Jaramillo, TEP-TPP-1

Location: Crook County and Deschutes County. Oregon

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.6 Additions and modifications to transmission facilities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to install and upgrade equipment at its Ponderosa Substation, as well as at its LaPine and Redmond substations. The equipment additions would respond to a request from PacifiCorp (PAC) for the interconnection equipment to help accommodate their electric loads in the area.

The proposed work at the Ponderosa Substation would include installing equipment (cabinets for line and UR relays, RAS controllers, SCADA, etc.) within the control house and installing underground fiber optic cable within the fenced substation yard between Control House 1 and 2 and to a vault near the fence line. The work may also include new footings and the installation of two circuit breakers and three disconnects within the substation yard.

In addition, the La Pine Substation and Redmond Substation would require installation of equipment (UR relays) inside the existing control houses.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Michelle Colletti</u> Michelle Colletti Physical Scientist, EPR-4

Concur:

/s/ <u>Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: *April 26, 2018*

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Ponderosa Substation Interconnection Equipment for PacifiCorp

Project Site Description

All work would occur on previously disturbed soils within substation fence lines or in existing substation control houses. The surrounding areas are low density rural and agricultural land.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	\boxtimes	
	Explanation: According to the scope of the proportion changes in the character or use of historic proposubstation yard. In the event of an inadvertent and BPA archaeologist will be notified.	erties. All work would be	e conducted within the existing
2.	Geology and Soils	\boxtimes	
	Explanation: Minimal soil disturbance will occu Erosion control Best Management Practices (Bit construction.		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special- status species)		
	Explanation: No special-status species are pres	ent.	
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	\boxtimes	
	Explanation: No special-status species or design substation yard.	nated habitats are prese	ent. All work would be performed in the
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	\boxtimes	
	Explanation: There are no federal special status	s species, water bodies,	or flood plains within the project area.
6.	Wetlands	\boxtimes	
	Explanation: No wetlands are present in the pro	oject area.	

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	\bowtie				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No wells or use of groundwater propose site during construction.	ed. Spill prevention measures would	d be present on the			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas					
	Explanation: No land use would be affected and the work would not be in specially-designated areas.					
9.	Visual Quality					
	Explanation: Addition of equipment will be visually consistent with the existing substation.					
10.	Air Quality					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Small amounts of dust and vehicle emissions due to construction. Dust abatement BMPS would be used to control construction impacts.					
11.	Noise					
	Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary and localized.					
12.	Human Health and Safety					
Explanation: No impact to human health and safety is anticipated.						
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.						
	Explanation, if necessary:					
\boxtimes	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contamina products that preexist in the environment such that		_			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic be invasive species, unless the proposed activity would operated to prevent unauthorized release into the enguirements, such as those of the Department of Agnational Institutes of Health.	be contained or confined in a manr nvironment and conducted in accor	ner designed and rdance with applicable			

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: All work would take place on BPA fee-owned land and adjacent landowners would not be affected.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Michelle Colletti Date: April 26, 2018

Michelle Colletti, EPR-4 Physical Scientist