Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Verizon Franklin Park Antenna Upgrade and Vault Installation

Project Manager: Jonathan Toobian

Location: Clark County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological

and radio towers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to allow Verizon to remove and replace six antennas at their Franklin Park wireless site, which is on tower 2/3 of BPA's Ross-Alcoa-No. 3 transmission line. Six remote radio units (RRUs) would also be removed and replaced with nine new RRUs. Finally, a new 17-inch by 30-inch by 36-inch vault would be installed in the right-of-way, approximately 15-feet west of the transmission tower.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Beth Belanger</u>

Beth Belanger Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Motus Staffing & Recruiting

Reviewed by:

/s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard

Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Date: May 17, 2018

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Verizon Franklin Park Antenna Upgrade and Vault Installation

Project Site Description

The project site is located within Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) Ross-Alcoa-No. 3 transmission right-of-way in Vancouver, WA. The site is in Section 10, Township 2 North, Range 1 East. The surrounding area consists mainly of urban residential housing.

The vegetation in the project area consists of invasive Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*), Queen Anne's lace (*Daucus carota*) and unidentified grasses.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

| | Environmental Resource Impacts | No Potential for Significance | No Potential for Significance, with Conditions |
|----|--|---|---|
| 1. | Historic and Cultural Resources | | |
| | <u>Explanation</u> : BPA has reviewed the proposed proje potential to cause effects to historic properties, per previously surveyed and no cultural resources were or near, any known archaeological sites; therefore, cultural resources. | 36 CFR 800.3 (a). Add identified during those | litionally, the project location has been e surveys. The project is not located in, |
| 2. | Geology and Soils | V | |
| | Explanation: There would be minimal soil disturbation would be used to prevent erosion of soils. There we | | |
| 3. | Plants (including federal/state special-status species) | | |
| | <u>Explanation</u> : There are no special-status plants in not have a native plant community. To prevent th cleaned before and after the project. | · · · | |
| 4. | Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats) | V | |
| | Explanation: There are no special-status wildlife surrounded by residential subdivisions. | pecies or habitats prese | ent at the project location. The site is |
| 5. | Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs) | | |
| | Explanation: The project area does not have any v | vater bodies, floodplair | ns, or listed fish species; therefore, there |

| would be no impacts. Best management practices would be utilized to avoid erosion during construction. | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Wetlands | | | | | |
| Explanation: The project area does not contain wetlands; therefore, there would be no impact to wetlands. | | | | | |
| Groundwater and Aquifers | | | | | |
| Explanation: The project would not impact groundwater or aquifers because the maximum depth of disturbance would be 3 feet. | | | | | |
| Land Use and Specially Designated Areas | | | | | |
| <u>Explanation</u> : There would be no change to land use at designated areas near the project site. | the project location. Additionally, t | here are no specially | | | |
| Visual Quality | | | | | |
| Explanation: The wireless antennas and equipment are | e consistent with the existing use of | the utility corridor. | | | |
| Air Quality | | | | | |
| Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction; however, there would be no significant changes to air quality during or after construction. | | | | | |
| Noise | | | | | |
| <u>Explanation</u> : Construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours. Operation noise would not change. | | | | | |
| Human Health and Safety | V | | | | |
| Explanation: There would be no impact to human heal | th and safety. | | | | |
| Evaluation of Other Integral Elements | | | | | |
| The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: | | | | | |
| Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. | | | | | |
| Explanation, if necessary: | | | | | |
| | | r treatment | | | |
| Explanation, if necessary: | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | _ | | | | |
| | Explanation: The project area does not contain wetland Groundwater and Aquifers Explanation: The project would not impact groundwater would be 3 feet. Land Use and Specially Designated Areas Explanation: There would be no change to land use at designated areas near the project site. Visual Quality Explanation: The wireless antennas and equipment are would be no significant changes to air quality during or Noise Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary a would not change. Human Health and Safety Explanation: There would be no impact to human health are inject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Ord Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminant products that preexist in the environment such that the | Explanation: The project area does not contain wetlands; therefore, there would be no im Groundwater and Aquifers Explanation: The project would not impact groundwater or aquifers because the maximum would be 3 feet. Land Use and Specially Designated Areas Explanation: There would be no change to land use at the project location. Additionally, to designated areas near the project site. Visual Quality Explanation: The wireless antennas and equipment are consistent with the existing use of Air Quality Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction would be no significant changes to air quality during or after construction. Noise Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight how would not change. Human Health and Safety Explanation: There would be no impact to human health and safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements Proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environ health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum a products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unper | | | |

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: The project would occur on BPA owned property and would not require landowner notification or coordination.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Date: May 17, 2018

Signed: /s/ Beth Belanger

Beth Belanger, ECT-4

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Motus Recruiting and Staffing