Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: North Bonneville-Ross No. 1 and North Bonneville-Troutdale No.1 Access Road

Upgrade

LURR No.: 20180044

Project Manager: Dawneen Dostert, TERR-ROSS

Location: Clark County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple Use of

Transmission Line Rights-of-Way

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to allow the Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to reconstruct one segment of existing access road and construct one segment of new access road on BPA-fee owned land within the North Bonneville-Ross No. 1 and North Bonneville-Troutdale No.1 transmission line corridor in Clark County, Washington. The road work would provide DNR access to a planned timber sale.

Approximately 150 feet of road would be reconstructed and about 80 feet of new road would be constructed.

- Road reconstruction would include widening the existing road surface and subgrade by about 2 to 3 feet (to 16 feet wide subgrade with a 12 foot wide driving surface); excavating a 3 foot wide ditch along the north side of the road; grading; shaping; and applying rock. No trees would be removed during reconstruction of the existing road.
- New road construction would include felling one 20 foot tall service berry shrub and one
 12 foot tall cherry tree; vegetative debris disposal; excavation and embankment for the subgrade; application of rock; and compaction of earthwork and rock. The new road would have a
 14 foot wide subgrade and 12 foot wide driving surface with a 5 foot wide cleared area on each
 side.

Road reconstruction and construction would disturb about 0.13 acres of soil.

DNR has completed a SEPA process and has designed the road work in compliance with the Washington State Forest Practices Act.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and

(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: April 9, 2018

/s/ Tish Eaton

Tish Eaton Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: North Bonneville-Ross No. 1 and North Bonneville-Troutdale No.1 Access Road Upgrade

Project Site Description

The proposed road work would occur in mile 18 of the North Bonneville-Ross No.1 and the North Bonneville-Troutdale No.1 transmission lines on fee-owned right-of-way. The transmission line corridor crosses through hilly terrain in rural Clark County at an approximate elevation of 1,040 feet above sea level. Corridor vegetation consists of grasses and low growing shrubs with forested areas along the corridor edges. Part of the corridor is used to graze cattle with little vegetation remaining. Streams cross the corridor to the east and west of the project site but not within.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	<u>xplanation</u> : No adverse effect to historic properties determination made by BPA on February 6, 2018. Ashington SHPO concurrence received on February 6, 2018.					
2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: About 0.08 acre of soil would be disturbance would occur from new road construction any excess soil would be re-contoured on site and	ion. This disturbance v				
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No special-status species are present would be removed along the edge of the existing a road.					
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V				
	Explanation: No special-status species are present may possibly nest in the two trees slated for remo The birds would likely find other trees immediately	val, the work would o	ccur in the fall when young have fledged.			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)					
	Explanation: None present.					

6.	Wetlands						
	Explanation: None present.						
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V					
	Explanation: None present.						
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<u>~</u>					
	Explanation: There would be no change in land use and no specially designated areas are present.						
9.	Visual Quality	<u>~</u>					
	<u>Explanation</u> : The reconstructed segment of road would look similar to the existing road. For the new road segment, one tree and one shrub would be cleared at the edge of the transmission line right-of-way as the road leaves BPA-owned land. The newly cleared road would be visible from the transmission line corridor only. There are no residences located adjacent to the corridor in this area.						
10.	Air Quality						
	Explanation: Short term dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction.						
11.	Noise	V					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary construction noise would occur during daylight hours. No long-term impacts are anticipated.						
12.	Human Health and Safety	<u>~</u>					
	Explanation: No impact.						
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements							
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:							
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.							
	Explanation, if necessary:						
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.							
	Explanation, if necessary:						
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted release.							
	Explanation, if necessary:						
~	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biol invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be coperated to prevent unauthorized release into the envir	contained or confined in a manner of	designed and				

requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the

National Institutes of Health.

		_		
-,,,,,	lanation,	: f ~		
- x [)	ianaiion	11 1	16(677	H V

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: None required.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Tish Eaton</u> Date: <u>April 9, 2018</u>

Tish Eaton ECT-4