Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: San Juan Islands Cable System Equipment Upgrade – Fidalgo and Lopez Island Substations

Project Manager: Joshua Ponder TELM-TPP-3

Location: San Juan County, Washington

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.6 Additions and Modifications to Transmission Facilities

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to upgrade the San Juan Islands cable alarm system at Fidalgo and Lopez Island substations. The work includes about 80 feet of trenching within the Fidalgo Substation yard and about 320 feet of trenching within the Lopez Island Substation yard. Both trenches would be about two feet deep within previously excavated material. Conduit, which is required to upgrade the cable alarm system, would be placed in the trenches and covered with rock. All ground disturbance would occur within the previously disturbed substation yards.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Tish Eaton</u> Tish Eaton Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer Date: April 10, 2018

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: San Juan Islands Cable System Equipment Upgrade – Fidalgo and Lopez Island Substations

Project Site Description

The proposed action would occur entirely within the rocked yards of the Fidalgo and Lopez Island substations.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources
--

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation: No potential to effect determination made by BPA contract archaeologist Amy Homan on February 12, 2018. No further Section 106 consultation is required.				
2.	Geology and Soils				
	Explanation: Disturbance of rock and gravel would	l occur during trenchin	g within the substation.		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)				
	Explanation: No vegetation is present; all work wo	ould be withing the roc	ked substation yards.		
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: No special-status species are present	or known to occur at	the substations.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)				
	Explanation: None present.				
6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: None present.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	Explanation: No potential to effect.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				

Explanation: There would be no change in land use and no specially designated areas are present.

9.	Visual Quality			
	Explanation: The trenching would be within the yards an yards.	d when finished would look the sar	ne as the existing	
10.	Air Quality			
	Explanation: Short term dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction.			
11.	Noise			
	Explanation: Temporary construction noise would occur during daylight hours. No long-term impacts are anticipated.			
12.	Human Health and Safety			
	Explanation: No impact.			

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: None required.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Tish Eaton</u> Tish Eaton ECT-4 Date: *April 10, 2018*