## **DOE/OE Transmission Reliability Program**

#### Management of Risk and Uncertainty through Optimized Co-operation of Transmission Systems and Microgrids with Responsive Loads

June 14, 2017 Washington, DC

C. Lindsay Anderson Cornell University cla28@cornell.edu Judith B. Cardell Smith College jcardell@smith.edu







#### **Presentation Overview**



Progress Update: Phases I and II

Summary of deliverables

Looking Forward: Phases III and IV







# **Project Overview**



Development of a comprehensive co-optimization framework that incorporates the generation and transmission system with the distribution system and microgrids to include responsive loads, distributed generation, and storage.

The overarching objective of this work will be achieved through the pursuit of four key phases







#### **Project Phases**

Phase I: Characterizing uncertainty in renewables

Phase II: Modeling demand side resources, interactive effects Phase III: Modeling system interactions Phase IV: Cooptimization framework









Timeline for the project was delineated in the updated PMP (Deliverable 1), summarized as follows:



# **Phase I: Characterizing Uncertainty**

Seek to identify best methods for representing *multiple correlated wind farms* 

Main contribution: review of multi-area wind modeling methods with the comprehensive comparison

Comparison:

- Ability of generated scenarios to replicate statistical properties of the historical data;
- Quality (stability) of the solutions obtained for an economic dispatch problem.



Lead: Cornell, with Anderson & Zéphyr





# **Classification of assessed methods**

- Statistical moment matching (MM)
- Improved statistical moment matching (MMCC)
- Hybrid optimization and simulation (FARMA, MARMA)
- Monte Carlo simulation (MSIMUL)
- Artificial neural network (ANN)
- Time series methods (GDFM)

For details of each method, see Zéphyr & Anderson (under review -email cla28@cornell.edu for draft)







# **Sample Results: Statistical**

# Statistics on the deviation from historical hourly means



# **Sample Results: Statistical**

# Statistics on the deviation from historical hourly correlations



■ Min ■ Max ■ Mean ■ Std. dev.







# Sample Results: Economic dispatch



The "best" method should provide the dispatch solution closest to the one provided by the full dataset







# Sample Results: Economic Dispatch

Statistics pertaining to the deviation from the ED cost using the entire dataset and 100 scenarios



# Summary

Methods that seek that reproduce statistical properties of the historical data will

- ✓ generate more reliable scenarios, and
- ✓ better dispatch decisions

#### Next steps will

- compare performance on different types of problems,
- assess importance of correlation to specific solutions, and
- test scalability with increasing number of wind farms







# **Phase II: Demand Side Resources**

Phase II focuses on the development of various categories of demand-side resources, addressing

- modeling existing DR programs,
- integration in energy management system, and
- validation and testing to assess performance from various perspectives.

Lead: Smith College, Cardell with support from Cornell







### **Progress to date:**

- Representing specific load types and response characteristics
- Developed stochastic rolling horizon model for microgrid with DR, storage and renewables
- Empirical analysis of DR capabilities by class







### **Demand Response (DR)**



Incorporating these various resources requires a "look-ahead", flexible decision structure







# Sample results: Thermostatically controlled loads (TCL)



Moderate temperature sample day

High temperature sample day







#### Sample results: Deferrable loads (DL)



Effective Cost Reduction Case

Mis-forecast (cost increase) Case







# **Cost reduction by load type**



Different load classes provide load reductions under different conditions







# Conclusions

System model incorporates:

- microgrid with renewables, storage and DR
- combined DR programs for specific load classes
- stochastic rolling horizon with forecasts
- analysis of performance of various DR classes

This framework illustrates that various classes of demand response add value to the energy management strategy







# **Deliverables for 2016/17**

#### **Project Start: October 2016**

- 1. Development and release of project website (scheduled for 12/31/16, complete)
- 2. Data is available to develop and validate models (ongoing for renewables and DR programs)
- Submission of peer-reviewed journal publication: two papers (scheduled for 09/31/17, in progress)
  - Multi-area wind scenarios paper
    (for Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews submitted)
  - Microgrid and DR paper (for IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid – in final review before submission)







# **Accepted publications/presentations**

- Cardell, J.B., Zephyr, L., & Anderson, C.L. (2017) A Vision for Cooptimized T&D: System Interaction with Renewables and Demand Response. Proceedings of the 50<sup>th</sup> Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences.
- Liu, J., Martínez, M.G., & Anderson, C.L. (2016) Quantifying The Impact Of Microgrid Location And Behavior On Transmission Network Congestion. Proceedings of the 2016 Winter Simulation Conference.







#### Looking Forward Phase III: System Co-optimization

**Key focus of 2017/18**: Impact of interaction schemes on transmission and distribution/micro-grid systems: Development of candidate co-optimization models to

- study the interactive effect of micro-grid and transmission system behaviors
- assess the importance of microgrid location in conjunction with co-operation strategies

Co-Lead by PI Team, Cornell & Smith College







# Looking Forward: Phase IV Validation and Scaling

- 1. Selection of most promising candidate from Phase III for the stochastic unit commitment (SUC) problem
- Integration of approximate dynamic programming (ADP) to efficiently and accurately solve economic dispatch
- 3. Integration of SUC and ED components into cooptimization framework
- 4. Numerical case studies and scalability testing

Lead: Cornell, with Anderson & Zéphyr with support from Smith College







#### **Questions?**





