## Document Metadata: DOE-HQ-2017-0004-DRAFT-0036

## **Document Details**

**Docket ID:** DOE-HQ-2017-0004 **⑤** 

**Docket Title:** Requests for Information: Approaches Involving Private

Initiatives for Consolidated Interim Storage Facilities \*\square

**Document File:** 

**Docket Phase:** Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR)

Phase Sequence: 1

Original Document ID: DOE\_FRDOC\_0001-DRAFT-0452

Current Document ID: DOE-HQ-2017-0004-DRAFT-0036

Title: Comment on FR Doc # 2016-26018 \( \cdot \)

**Number of Attachments:** 0

**Document Type:** PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS \*

**Document Subtype:** Public Comment §

Comment on Document ID: DOE-HQ-2017-0004-0001 \( \bigcirc

Comment on Document Title: Requests for Information: Approaches Involving Private

Initiatives for Consolidated Interim Storage Facilities (§)

Status: Pending\_Post \( \cdot \)

**Received Date:** 01/25/2017 \* 0

Date Posted:

**Posting Restriction:** No restrictions **(S)** 

**Submission Type:** Web

Number of Submissions: 1 \*

## **Document Optional Details**

## **Submitter Info**

**Comment:** DOE seeks information in the following area: 2. How could a PI

benefit: a. the local community and state or Tribe in which an

ISF [Interim Storage Facility] is sited? b. neighboring communities? Here is our response: Certainly pro-nuclear Republican U.S. Senators, during a related Energy and Natural Resources Committee hearing in summer 2013, have joked openly

about the "incentives" (legalized bribes, and other

"inducements," such as promises of jobs, for low income, often people of color communities; however, as Keith Lewis of the uranium mining and milling devastated Serpent River First Nation of Ontario put it, "There is nothing moral about tempting a starving man with money.") that cut to the heart of tempting communities to consider "consenting" to "host" de facto permanent parking lot dumps. But what about the harms to communities, states, Tribes and neighboring communities that would be caused by de facto permanent parking lot dumps? For starters, low income people of color communities must be taken off the target list, as a basic Environmental Justice principle. To do otherwise would mean radioactive racism. Even people of color communities which are no longer low income should not be targeted, given the historical oppression they have already endured in the United States. Neither should majority white low income communities be targeted. Radioactive stigma impacts should be addressed and accounted for, from the start. Even if a release of hazardous radioactivity into the environment does not occur, property values will be significantly decreased at and near a centralized interim storage site, as well as along transport corridor routes. Radioactive stigma will even mean that products from the area of the centralized interim storage facility will be avoided by a significant share of consumers, causing economic losses. So too would other economic development be deterred from the region of the de facto permanent parking lot dump. And if a release of hazardous radioactivity does occur, the radioactive stigma impacts to the economy will be all the worse. Neighboring communities can expect to get the worst of both worlds. The host community will reap the income, tax revenues, and jobs, while neighboring communities will get the short end of the stick -- which would include radioactive stigma impacts, but also the potential for hazardous radioactivity release into air, surface waters, and groundwaters if they happen to be located downwind and downstream. Native American "Tribes" -- Indigenous Nations -- should not be targeted at all for such hazardous high-level radioactive waste storage facilities. To the contrary, Indigenous Nations have been disproportionately targeted, for decades, an environmental injustice and form of radioactive racism. <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20160131160214/http://www.nirs.or">https://web.archive.org/web/20160131160214/http://www.nirs.or</a> g/radwaste/scullvalley/historynativecommunitiesnuclearwaste0614 2005.pdf> Beyond Nuclear and others pleaded with the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future (BRC), from its opening meeting onwards, to no longer target Indigenous Nations. President Obama's Women's History Month, 2009 proclamation honoring Grace Thorpe of the Sauk and Fox Nation in Oklahoma for her work to stop centralized interim storage sites targeted at her reservation community, and scores more, was cited to the BRC.

<a href="http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/356082/27179664/14703346">http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/356082/27179664/14703346</a> 15933/Obama+proclamation+on+Grace+Thorpe.pdf?token=vjRoz aUfimoor%2Ftbi3YONhTaVQ0%3D> Such comments fell on deaf ears at the BRC, and DOE is still targeting Indigenous Nations, to the present day.

First Name: Kevin \*

Middle Name:

Last Name: Kamps \*\square

Mailing Address: Radioactive Waste Watchdog, Beyond Nuclear \*\*

City:	Mount Rainier *©
Country:	United States
State or Province:	Maryland 🕓
ZIP/Postal Code:	20912 *3
Email Address:	kevin@beyondnuclear.org 🕓
Phone Number:	240-462-3216 🕓
Fax Number:	301-270-4000 🕓
Organization Name:	Beyond Nuclear 🕓
Submitter's Representative:	Kevin Kamps, Radioactive Waste Watchdog (9)
Government Agency Type:	©
Government Agency:	©
Cover Page:	нтиц
<b>Document Optional Detail</b>	s
<b>Status Set Date:</b>	02/13/2017
<b>Current Assignee:</b>	Bacon, Cuttie (DOE)
Status Set By:	Freeman, Yohanna (DOE)
<b>Comment Start Date:</b>	©
<b>Comment Due Date:</b>	©
Legacy ID:	
Tracking Number:	1k1-8ud7-llpu 🕓
Total Page Count Including Attachments:	1
<b>Submitter Info</b>	
Comment:	DOE seeks information in the following area: 2. How could a PI benefit: a. the local community and state or Tribe in which an ISF [Interim Storage Facility] is sited? b. neighboring communities? Here is our response: Certainly pro-nuclear Republican U.S. Senators, during a related Energy and Natural Resources Committee hearing in summer 2013, have joked openly about the "incentives" (legalized bribes, and other "inducements," such as promises of jobs, for low income, often people of color communities; however, as Keith Lewis of the uranium mining and milling devastated Serpent River First Nation of Ontario put it, "There is nothing moral about tempting a starving man with money.") that cut to the heart of

**Mailing Address 2:** 

tempting communities to consider "consenting" to "host" de facto permanent parking lot dumps. But what about the harms to communities, states, Tribes and neighboring communities that would be caused by de facto permanent parking lot dumps? For starters, low income people of color communities must be taken off the target list, as a basic Environmental Justice principle. To do otherwise would mean radioactive racism. Even people of color communities which are no longer low income should not be targeted, given the historical oppression they have already endured in the United States. Neither should majority white low income communities be targeted. Radioactive stigma impacts should be addressed and accounted for, from the start. Even if a release of hazardous radioactivity into the environment does not occur, property values will be significantly decreased at and near a centralized interim storage site, as well as along transport corridor routes. Radioactive stigma will even mean that products from the area of the centralized interim storage facility will be avoided by a significant share of consumers, causing economic losses. So too would other economic development be deterred from the region of the de facto permanent parking lot dump. And if a release of hazardous radioactivity does occur, the radioactive stigma impacts to the economy will be all the worse. Neighboring communities can expect to get the worst of both worlds. The host community will reap the income, tax revenues, and jobs, while neighboring communities will get the short end of the stick -- which would include radioactive stigma impacts, but also the potential for hazardous radioactivity release into air, surface waters, and groundwaters if they happen to be located downwind and downstream. Native American "Tribes" -- Indigenous Nations -- should not be targeted at all for such hazardous high-level radioactive waste storage facilities. To the contrary, Indigenous Nations have been disproportionately targeted, for decades, an environmental injustice and form of radioactive racism. <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20160131160214/http://www.nirs.or">https://web.archive.org/web/20160131160214/http://www.nirs.or</a> g/radwaste/scullvalley/historynativecommunitiesnuclearwaste0614 2005.pdf> Beyond Nuclear and others pleaded with the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future (BRC), from its opening meeting onwards, to no longer target Indigenous Nations. President Obama's Women's History Month, 2009 proclamation honoring Grace Thorpe of the Sauk and Fox Nation in Oklahoma for her work to stop centralized interim storage sites targeted at her reservation community, and scores more, was cited to the BRC.

<a href="http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/356082/27179664/14703346">http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/356082/27179664/14703346</a> 15933/Obama+proclamation+on+Grace+Thorpe.pdf?token=vjRoz aUfimoor%2Ftbi3YONhTaVQ0%3D> Such comments fell on deaf ears at the BRC, and DOE is still targeting Indigenous Nations, to the present day. \*\bigsecond{squares}

First Name: Kevin \*S

Middle Name:

Last Name: Kamps \*

Mailing Address: Radioactive Waste Watchdog, Beyond Nuclear \*\*

Mailing Address 2: 6930 Carroll Street, Suite 400 \*

Mount Rainier *0
United States (5)
Maryland ()
20912 **
kevin@beyondnuclear.org 🛇
240-462-3216 🕓
301-270-4000 🕓
Beyond Nuclear (§
Kevin Kamps, Radioactive Waste Watchdog 0
нти