



## Document Details

<b>Docket ID:</b>	DOE-HQ-2017-0004
<b>Docket Title:</b>	Requests for Information: Approaches Involving Private Initiatives for Consolidated Interim Storage Facilities *
<b>Document File:</b>	
<b>Docket Phase:</b>	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR)
<b>Phase Sequence:</b>	1
<b>Original Document ID:</b>	DOE_FRDOC_0001-DRAFT-0460
<b>Current Document ID:</b>	DOE-HQ-2017-0004-DRAFT-0011
<b>Title:</b>	Comment on FR Doc # 2016-26018
<b>Number of Attachments:</b>	0
<b>Document Type:</b>	PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS *
<b>Document Subtype:</b>	Public Comment
<b>Comment on Document ID:</b>	DOE-HQ-2017-0004-0001
<b>Comment on Document Title:</b>	Requests for Information: Approaches Involving Private Initiatives for Consolidated Interim Storage Facilities
<b>Status:</b>	Pending_Post
<b>Received Date:</b>	01/25/2017 *
<b>Date Posted:</b>	
<b>Posting Restriction:</b>	No restrictions
<b>Submission Type:</b>	Web
<b>Number of Submissions:</b>	1 *

## Document Optional Details

### Submitter Info

<b>Comment:</b>	DOE has requested comments in response to this question: 9. How can the Government continue to explore or implement the PI concept in a fair, open and transparent manner going forward? Here is our response/comment: As will be mentioned in our response to DOE's twelfth question, PI centralized interim storage is illegal under the terms of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, as Amended. Thus, DOE should not go forward with this private initiative proceeding, or any other, as to do so would violate its legal authority. DOE should cease and desist from any further exploration of the PI concept, and should certainly not enter into PI contracts, as at WCS, TX. Besides
-----------------	--

that, any undertaking of this significance should only happen under the strictest terms of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and also in compliance with the Atomic Energy Act (AEA) and Administrative Procedures Act (APA). This current Request for Information proceeding, by contrast, does not formally comply with NEPA, just as DOE's 2016 "consent-based siting" public comment proceeding did not comply with NEPA. This is unacceptable, and in fact illegal. \*🌐

**First Name:** Kevin \*🌐

**Middle Name:** 🌐

**Last Name:** Kamps \*🌐

**Mailing Address:** Radioactive Waste Watchdog, Beyond Nuclear \*🌐

**Mailing Address 2:** 6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 400 \*🌐

**City:** Takoma Park \*🌐

**Country:** United States 🌐

**State or Province:** Maryland 🌐

**ZIP/Postal Code:** 20912 \*🌐

**Email Address:** kevin@beyondnuclear.org 🌐

**Phone Number:** 240-462-3216 🌐


**Fax Number:** 301-270-4000 🌐

**Organization Name:** Beyond Nuclear 🌐

**Submitter's Representative:** Kevin Kamps, Radioactive Waste Watchdog 🌐

**Government Agency Type:** 🌐

**Government Agency:** 🌐

**Cover Page:** 

## Document Optional Details

**Status Set Date:** 02/13/2017

**Current Assignee:** Bacon, Cuttie (DOE)

**Status Set By:** Freeman, Yohanna (DOE)

**Comment Start Date:** 🌐

**Comment Due Date:** 🌐

**Legacy ID:**

**Tracking Number:** 1k1-8ud8-d3qq 🌐

## Submitter Info

**Comment:** DOE has requested comments in response to this question: 9. How can the Government continue to explore or implement the PI concept in a fair, open and transparent manner going forward? Here is our response/comment: As will be mentioned in our response to DOE's twelfth question, PI centralized interim storage is illegal under the terms of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, as Amended. Thus, DOE should not go forward with this private initiative proceeding, or any other, as to do so would violate its legal authority. DOE should cease and desist from any further exploration of the PI concept, and should certainly not enter into PI contracts, as at WCS, TX. Besides that, any undertaking of this significance should only happen under the strictest terms of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and also in compliance with the Atomic Energy Act (AEA) and Administrative Procedures Act (APA). This current Request for Information proceeding, by contrast, does not formally comply with NEPA, just as DOE's 2016 "consent-based siting" public comment proceeding did not comply with NEPA. This is unacceptable, and in fact illegal. \*🌐

**First Name:** Kevin \*🌐

**Middle Name:** 🌐

**Last Name:** Kamps \*🌐

**Mailing Address:** Radioactive Waste Watchdog, Beyond Nuclear \*🌐

**Mailing Address 2:** 6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 400 \*🌐

**City:** Takoma Park \*🌐

**Country:** United States 🌐

**State or Province:** Maryland 🌐

**ZIP/Postal Code:** 20912 \*🌐

**Email Address:** kevin@beyondnuclear.org 🌐

**Phone Number:** 240-462-3216 🌐

**Fax Number:** 301-270-4000 🌐

**Organization Name:** Beyond Nuclear 🌐

**Submitter's Representative:** Kevin Kamps, Radioactive Waste Watchdog 🌐

**Government Agency Type:** 🌐

**Government Agency:** 🌐

**Cover Page:** 