

**From:** Leonard and Ellen Zablow <zablow@me.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 13, 2017 1:30 PM  
**To:** PrivatelSF  
**Subject:** Response to RFI on Private Initiatives to Develop Consolidated SNF Storage Facilities  
**Attachments:** Response to RFI on Private Initiatives to Develop Consolidated SNF Storage Facilities.pdf

To whom it may concern,

We, as a retired biophysicist and retired science teacher respectively, are extremely perturbed that the Department of Energy is considering giving private contractors the responsibility of storing high level nuclear waste temporarily in above ground sites. We have already seen that even NRC controlled above ground storage, such as at Hanford, has caused problems in the surround! Leaving such problems to a profit driven private company may be a prelude to a disaster. Other examples of the disregard of public safety abound from coal companies and oil companies, all trying to save on costs.

We agree that "***Due to risks of radioactive contamination from leaks or accidents or potential terrorist actions, nuclear waste should only be moved once, and only when a deep underground permanent repository is in place that could safely isolate the dangerous waste for the million years that it will remain hazardous.***" One of us has, long ago, even written about the probability of accidents occurring via rail transit based on the statistics of such events

***"By requiring a permanent deep geological repository to be operating before centralized interim storage [whether private or federal government owned/operated], Congress wanted to prevent the very real danger of a de facto permanent parking lot dump -- a high-level radioactive waste storage site that would be designed for the short-term but be there forever. WCS, for example, is a cynical shell game and taxpayers are sure to lose. Congress was right that liability for the costs for storing commercial irradiated nuclear fuel belong with the generators and should not be shifted onto the backs of the American public."*** This quotation should be strongly agreed to since Congress made these rules as the representatives of the majority of the people. An agency such as the DOE, that has in many cases kowtowed to the needs of the nuclear industry, should not attempt to overrule it.

Sincerely,

Leonard and Ellen Zablow  
305 W. 28 St., Apt.18H  
New York, NY 10001-7935

**From:** Leonard and Ellen Zablow [zablow@me.com](mailto:zablow@me.com)  
**Subject:** Response to RFI on Private Initiatives to Develop Consolidated SNF Storage Facilities  
**Date:** January 13, 2017 at 4:23 PM  
**To:** PrivateISF@hq.doe.gov.



To whom it may concern,

We, as a retired biophysicist and retired science teacher respectively, are extremely perturbed that the Department of Energy is considering giving private contractors the responsibility of storing high level nuclear waste temporarily in above ground sites. We have already seen that even NRC controlled above ground storage, such as at Hanford, has caused problems in the surround! Leaving such problems to a profit driven private company may be a prelude to a disaster. Other examples of the disregard of public safety abound from coal companies and oil companies, all trying to save on costs.

We agree that **"Due to risks of radioactive contamination from leaks or accidents or potential terrorist actions, nuclear waste should only be moved once, and only when a deep underground permanent repository is in place that could safely isolate the dangerous waste for the million years \_\_\_\_\_ that it will remain hazardous."** One of us has, long ago, even written about the probability of accidents occurring via rail transit based on the statistics of such events

**"By requiring a permanent deep geological repository to be operating before centralized interim storage [whether private or federal government owned/operated], Congress wanted to prevent the very real danger of a de facto permanent parking lot dump -- a high-level radioactive waste storage site that would be designed for the short-term but be there forever. WCS, for example, is a cynical shell game and taxpayers are sure to lose. Congress was right that liability for the costs for storing commercial irradiated nuclear fuel belong with the generators and should not be shifted onto the backs of the American public."** This quotation should be strongly agreed to since Congress made these rules as the representatives of the majority of the people. An agency such as the DOE, that has in many cases kowtowed to the needs of the nuclear industry, should not attempt to overrule it.

Sincerely,

Leonard and Ellen Zablow  
305 W. 28 St., Apt.18H  
New York, NY 10001-7935