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The Woody Crop’s Goal

• Address knowledge gaps limiting the deployment of woody resources as an economically viable feedstock for fuels and energy production

Goal Statement

• Development of more accurate cost supply information and improved communication with partners in the feedstock supply chain
  • Replicated field trials across regions to determine the impact of residue removal on future grain yield.
  • Replicated field trials to develop energy crops within geographical regions.
  • Regional assessment of feedstock resources which can be used to determine supply curves.
Quad Chart Overview

Timeline
- Start date – 10/1/2007
- End date – 9/30/2013
- 90 percent complete

Budget
- Funding for FY11: DOE- $928,596
  - Cost Share - $232,149
- Funding for FY12: $0
- Funding for FY13: ?
- Funded for 4 years at $631,579/yr

Barriers
- Ft-A: Resource availability & cost
- Ft-B: Sustainable production
- Ft-C: Crop Genetics

Partners
- Land-grant universities
- Tree genetics industries
- USDA-FS
- Oak Ridge National Lab
- SRWC-Operations Working Group
• DOE and the Sun Grant Initiative formed the **Regional Biomass Feedstock Partnership** in 2007
• Regional Biomass Feedstock Workshops
• Conduct a literature review to establish the current state of technology for major woody crop candidates
• Establish field trials to evaluate new varieties on representative sites around the country
• Produce new, elite genotypes for improved process performance
• Assess yield data, including long-term production patterns
• Data is fed into the KDF, which provides informed decisions for consumers, policy makers, and private industry
Woody crops (poplar & willow) offer significant genetic variation to draw on for advancement. Presents the prospect of tailoring crops for optimal conversion.

Woody crops fulfill the need for a portfolio of feedstock sources to:
1) Address varied landowner interests
2) Maximize ecological and environmental benefits

Woody crops provide an important approach to address annual supply issues.
The supply chain infrastructure is in place due to FPI.

Woody crops provide material for diverse markets.
Flex management targets the range of landowner interests and objectives.
Approach
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1. Advance genetics & breeding program
2. Establish replicated field trials for new varieties (poplar & willow)
3. Incorporate existing field trials for current baseline yields
4. Populate the KDF with current yield data
The Woody Crops Field Trial Network

68 Total Sites
- Genetics tests
- Yield trials
- Nurseries

SunGrant Initiative
Evaluating New Genetic Material

Consolidated Clone Tests – assemble genetic material from cooperators programs to evaluate adaptability and productivity in various environments

- 80 clones per test, 20 from each of four groups, six reps/genotype
- Belle River, MN; New Madrid, MO; Pontotoc, MS; Bellville, GA; Westport, OR

Overall survival >80%

West Coast Tests:
propagation step due to Septoria concerns

All sites successfully established
New Madrid Clone Test, 2010

- Average ht: 23 ft., New Madrid, MO; 17 ft Pontotoc, MS
- Within-source variation high – AG rank from 1 to 70
- Dominated by pure-deltoides from Deep/Mid-South – AG and MS State
Two Year AG Clone Test – Randolf, AL

- 2-yr height up to 24 ft – best clone
- Question on effect of drought in 2012
- Year 3 measurements to be made in spring of 2013 but observation is that height growth recovered
Advances In Biomass Yield

Avg. for 6 clone trials, MN
71 clones/site planted, 2008
Top 10 clones: NM6 = 1.8

Large yield trials, MN
Schultz site planted in 2007
Top 8 clones: NM6 = 1.5
**P. Nigra Clone Testing**

*P. nigra* an important species in breeding and yield improvement of poplar as an energy crop

Collections made in 2005 by University of Minnesota and GreenWood Resources

Cross with fast growing *P. deltoides* to increase rooting percentage leading to less expensive commercial plantings and potential for higher yield

*P. nigra* has been planted in archives and clone tests in:
- Minnesota – in long-term breeding archive and clone tests
- Oregon – long-term breeding archive
- New Clone Test at: VA Tech near Martinsville, VA, Clemson University near Florence SC, University of Tennessee near Knoxville TN

Seedlings for two trials of *P. nigra* to Mississippi State for planting on two sites in Mississippi

Established an excellent trial base of *P. nigra* in the SE US.
Breeding and Genetic Improvement

- Capitalize on existing programs in MN, OR and the South to expand deployment of new clones to the entire U.S.

- 2012 Breeding – ca. 24,000 new genotypes produced to be planted in nurseries in 2013 in MN and OR

- 2013 Breeding – selected mid-South cottonwood and MN *P. nigra* (MS State and UM-NRRI)

- Breeding is underway in U MN lab (pollinations completed in April)
Poplar Yield Projections

- "Near-term" – next 10 years with genetic improvement
- "Future" – genetic improvement and application of genomics
- Midwest and Pacific Northwest – DT, DN, DM
- Deep/Mid-South – D, DN, (likely hybrid aspens in the mix)
Critical Success Factors

- Overall – demonstration of a cost-effective, efficient liquid fuels conversion system using biomass (market pull)
- Genetic improvement of poplar leading to regionally-adapted pool of diverse set of high-yielding clonal material
- Nationalization of demonstrated success in the PNW and Midwest and continued yield improvement in all regions underway
- Demonstration of coppice production systems using improved clones selected from the program (yield potential)
- Yields of minimum of 5 to 7 tons in unirrigated Midwest to South and 8 to 10 tons under irrigation in the PNW
Plans for Upcoming Year

- Distribute parent populations (*P. nigra*) to expand regional field test network
- Breed new clones and distribute for field testing
  - Broaden breeding using Southern, Mid, Northern *P. deltoides* as parents with University of Minnesota and GWR *P. nigra* collection
  - DXN focus to improve rooting of *P. deltoides* (cottonwood)
- Continued yield analysis in South and Mid-South
- Measurement of Trial Network (clone tests, large genetics tests, yield blocks)
- Continued submission of information to KDF
Willow Field Trial Network

- Network of 20 willow biomass crop yield trials
- 2 trials of older varieties to determine long term production
- 8 trials with new willow varieties planted before the start of project
- 10 new trials established with new willow varieties in this project

Network of willow biomass trials across 10 states
Two different factors needed to project willow biomass yields, both of which this project is providing from the network of trials:

- Changes in production over multiple rotations from trials planted in 1990s
- Improvements in production with new willow varieties across a range of sites

Combine the two sets of data to project yield estimates for willow biomass crops.

Three year old willow trial in Middlebury, VT prior to harvesting.
• Changes over multiple rotations
  • Data of commercially available varieties across 5 rotations shows yield increases 21.6% from 1\textsuperscript{st} to 2\textsuperscript{nd} rotation and 30.8% from 1\textsuperscript{st} to 4\textsuperscript{th} rotation

• Improvements with new willow varieties
  • 13.9% for best three varieties compared to reference varieties

• Combine the two sets of data to project yield estimates for willow biomass crops

Harvesting willow from long term trial in Tully, NY
### Importance of Improved Varieties and Long Term Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean Yield from First Rotation in New Yield Trials</th>
<th>Mean Yield Over 7 Rotations Only Using Increase from 1st – 2(^{nd}) Rotations</th>
<th>Mean Yield Over 7 Rotations with Increase from 1st – 2(^{nd}) and 1(^{st}) – 4(^{th}) Rotations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top variety</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 3 varieties</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Top 5 varieties</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.9</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 3 New varieties</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Willow Yield Impacts

Willow Cash Flow Over 7 Rotations

- Payback is one rotation sooner
- IRR changes from 3.3% to 7.4%
Baseline yield is 11.5 odt ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (5.1 odt ac\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\))
- Baseline yield (1% growth rate) in 2030 is 13.7 odt ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (6.1 odt ac\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\))
- Current projections of 14.1 odt ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) with new varieties has exceeded the base case 2030 projection

High yield scenarios
- Current yield estimates match 2022 projections for 2% growth and 2017 for 4% growth
Data from Sun Grant trials provided essential information for landowners, investors and USDA

- Almost 1,200 acres enrolled with over $1.2 million allocated for landowners
- Yield trial data is the basis for variety recommendations for willow expansion
- 2 year outreach project supported by NYSERDA
Willow – Chemical Analysis

- Stem nutrient analysis completed for a range of willow varieties in different trials
- Generally differences found among varieties and across sites
  - Ash: 0.9 – 2.8%, with most varieties <2%
  - N: 0.2 – 0.5%
  - P: 496 – 703 mg/kg
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>Cellulose (%)</th>
<th>Hemicellulose (%)</th>
<th>Lignin (%)</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>Extractives (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42.53 (0.50)</td>
<td>18.17 (0.11)</td>
<td>27.79 (0.24)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.04)</td>
<td>6.22 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>43.41 (0.07)</td>
<td>16.86 (0.07)</td>
<td>28.12 (0.38)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.07)</td>
<td>5.31 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>44.45 (0.12)</td>
<td>17.31 (0.17)</td>
<td>27.95 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.02)</td>
<td>5.25 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>44.44 (0.24)</td>
<td>17.71 (0.06)</td>
<td>28.25 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.02)</td>
<td>5.15 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>44.27 (0.24)</td>
<td>20.19 (0.13)</td>
<td>25.76 (0.23)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.03)</td>
<td>3.92 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.45 (0.13)</td>
<td>17.70 (0.14)</td>
<td>28.86 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.03)</td>
<td>4.43 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>42.58 (0.18)</td>
<td>18.47 (0.14)</td>
<td>28.49 (0.36)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.02)</td>
<td>4.22 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.60 (0.29)</td>
<td>17.88 (0.17)</td>
<td>29.40 (0.35)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.04)</td>
<td>4.44 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>46.04 (0.24)</td>
<td>18.12 (0.16)</td>
<td>27.43 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.05)</td>
<td>4.82 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>47.17 (0.39)</td>
<td>17.65 (0.31)</td>
<td>27.51 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.04)</td>
<td>4.03 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>44.14 (0.18)</td>
<td>17.68 (0.09)</td>
<td>28.65 (0.12)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.01)</td>
<td>4.42 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>44.76 (0.51)</td>
<td>18.37 (0.14)</td>
<td>29.25 (0.26)</td>
<td>1.45 (0.00)</td>
<td>4.19 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>43.39 (0.23)</td>
<td>17.32 (0.07)</td>
<td>27.32 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.01)</td>
<td>6.18 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Samples were pyrolyzed at 450°C for 12 seconds. Lignin content, %S, %G, %H and S/G ratio were calculated based on the Py-GC/MS data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Py-GC/MS Analysis data</th>
<th>Lignin content by wet chemistry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Lignin</td>
<td>%S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D109</td>
<td>25.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>19.1 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D945</td>
<td>28.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>17.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN2</td>
<td>27.6 (0.7)</td>
<td>17.8 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Woody Crops Team – Next Steps

- Measure trial network and submit KDF uploads
- Develop regional production maps
- Determine chemical composition for INL database

### Poplar Specific

- Distribute *P. nigra* parents
  - Breed new *deltoides* clones and distribute for field testing
- **DxN to improve *P. deltoides* rooting**

### Willow Specific

- 1<sup>st</sup> rotation data from 14 RFP trials
- Compile data from related trials outside RFP
- Develop long-term productivity projections (old and new varieties.)
The RFP’s Woody Crops Team is defining today’s state-of-the-art with an eye on tomorrow’s targets.

From the Billion Ton Report to BCAP and the KDF, the team is informing policy and science with data created through its innovative protocol.

The coordinated national structure enables one-of-a-kind data, information, and knowledge on woody crop genetics.

The RFP’s Woody Crops Team continues to develop new germplasm for deployment while monitoring and maintaining existing trials.

Chemical information is supplementing yield data to add more value and populate the INL database.
Questions
**Reviewer Comment**: The short rotation woody crops, poplar and willow, are led by northern universities while the potential for wood crops is more in the SE. Why is this so?

**Presenter Response**: A diversity of feedstocks for all areas is in the best interest of the overall program due to significant differences in feedstock harvest timing, logistics and chemical characteristics. We have begun a more comprehensive breeding program using the considerable genetic resources of the collective Sun Grant poplar group to expand the range of climatic adaptability of poplar hybrids to a wider region with particular emphasis on creation of hybrids of southerly-derived cottonwood crossed with other species with the aim to create new hybrid clones adapted to more southerly regions. The large collections of cottonwood clones available at Stoneville, MS and in the Mid-South are being used as a base for these crosses. Crosses of southern P. deltoides X nigra are currently underway in our facilities in Minnesota. Seed arising from these crosses will be distributed to the southern partners (Mississippi State and ArborGen) for field testing. While the work is being coordinated in the north, strong partners across the country are contributing expertise targeted to their specific regional experience. In part, the leadership of the northern universities reflects the strong breeding programs and extensive history that they have in the genetics of poplar, and willow.
**Reviewer Comment**: During Q&A the presenters commented that aspen, a species that is not included in their work, is likely to be better for use in Tennessee than the poplar or willow they chose to include. Presenters did not offer any suggestion that aspen would be added in response to industry siting of conversion facilities. This raises a question about how species are chosen by researchers with or without consideration of the siting of early biorefineries.

**Presenter Response**: Our consideration of aspen has been limited at this time because aspen must be propagated by root cuttings (clonal) or through seed (not clonal). This limits the ability for rapid yield improvement versus clonal propagation and increases establishment costs of aspen plant material. It is being evaluated at a site in east Tennessee through another program.
Reviewer Comment: How many years to establish steady state yields? How will they make estimates on what these yields before the project ends?

Presenter Response: Through research done on closed-canopy plantations over the years, we have developed an understanding of yield curves and expected annual increments (in terms of stand basal area and biomass) through time. Once canopy closure occurs, annual increments are generally stable and can be used as an indicator of ultimate realized total yield. As a result, we are able to understand the significance of yield improvement using new clones by comparing the early-rotation growth patterns of new field tests to growth curves of long-term yield tests using currently available commercial hybrids. For example, stand basal area targets (a metric linearly related to aboveground biomass) are well established in the Midwest and Pacific Northwest. We can use these data to determine the relative gain in yield in new yield studies and estimate the likely yield and final harvest.
**Reviewer Comment:** No tech transfer mentioned. How will they transfer the results to producers or forest industry companies?

**Presenter Response:** The program, particularly the poplar team, has industrial practitioners (GreenWood Resources, Verso Paper, ArboGen) as project cooperators or actual team members (in the case of GWR in the Pacific Northwest and ArborGen). This provides a valuable direct link to practitioners through the network of forest products companies and energy concerns. Also, we have recently published a paper describing the commercial poplar programs in the U.S. and the research history associated with these programs as part of the national Soil and Water Conservation Society’s meeting. This paper is entitled “Commercial Development of Poplar in the United States” describing the program history, biomass yields, economics and future research direction in the Pacific Northwest and Lakes States was written and will be published as part of the “Sustainable Feedstocks for Advanced Biofuels Workshop” proceedings recently held in Atlanta, GA. Tech transfer is occurring through participation at extension meetings in the South (Mississippi State) and field tours in the Midwest (Minnesota). An important technology transfer effort is through the SRWCOWG's conference, which was held at Knoxville in 2012. Also, as new data begins to flow from the RFP trials we will develop manuscripts for publication, as well.
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