	Ohkay Owingeh, New Mexico 87566
	Minutes
Meetin	ng Attendees
Depart	tment of Energy
1.	Douglas Hintze, Manager, Department of Energy, Environmental Management Los Alamos F Office
2.	Lee Bishop, Co-Deputy Designated Federal Officer
3.	Michael Gardipe, Co-Deputy Designated Federal Officer
4.	Jennifer Hackett, DOE, Environmental Management Los Alamos Field Office
5.	J.R. Stroble, DOE, Carlsbad Field Office
6.	Herb Cruickshank, DOE, Carlsbad Field Office
7.	Paul Strider, DOE, Environmental Management Headquarters
8.	Pete Maggiore, DOE, National Nuclear Security Administration
NNMC	AB Members
1.	Doug Sayre, NNMCAB Chair
2.	Gerard Martínez y Valencia, NNMCAB Vice-Chair
3.	Angelica Gurulé, Chair Waste Management Committee
4.	Carlos Valdez
5.	Diahann Lopez-Cordova
6.	Tessa Jo Mascareñas
7.	Mona Varela
8.	Ashley Sanderson
9.	Carla Abeyta
	. Danny Mayfield
11	. Joey Tiano
12	. Michael Whiting
Studer	nt Representatives
1.	Ashlee Herrera

NNMC	AB Excused Absences
1.	Irene Tse-Pe
2.	Mary Friday
3.	Michael Valerio
4.	Steven Schmelling
NNMC	AB Absences
1.	Alex Puglisi
2.	Josh Madalena
3.	Rod Sanchez
4.	Angel Quintana
5.	Max Baca
NNMC	AB Support Staff
1.	Menice Santistevan, Executive Director
2.	Bridget Maestas, Administrative Assistant
3.	William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach
Guests	
1.	David Swale, BWXT
2.	Bob Dodge, Waste Control Specialists
3.	Betsy Madru, Waste Control Specialists
4.	Scott Kovac, Nuke Watch New Mexico
5.	Andrea Romero, Regional Coalition of LANL Communities
6.	Allison Scott Majure, New Mexico Environment Department
7.	Katie Roberts, New Mexico Environment Department
8.	Jake Naranjo, Santa Clara Pueblo
9.	Shawn Ohato, Santa Clara Pueblo
10.	Randy Erickson, Los Alamos National Security
11.	Shannon Farell, CH2M
12.	Corey Chun, CH2M
13.	Floyd Archuleta, Portage, Inc.
14.	Michele Jacquez-Ortiz, U.S. Senator Tom Udall's Office
	Steven Horak, Project Time and Cost, LLC.
15.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Brian Bosshardt, Los Alamos County
16.	Brian Bosshardt, Los Alamos County Peter Hyde, Los Alamos National Security

\*All NNMCAB meetings are recorded. Audio CD's and Video DVD's have been placed on file for review 38

at the NNMCAB office, 94 Cities of Gold Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87506. The written minutes are 39

intended as a synopsis of the meeting. 40

1	Minutes	
2	Ι.	Call to Order
3		The Meeting of the Northern New Mexico Citizens' Advisory Board (NNMCAB) was held
4		on January 27, 2016 at Ohkay Owingeh Conference Center, Ohkay Owingeh, New Mexico.
5		Mr. Lee Bishop, Co-Deputy Designated Federal Officer (CDDFO) stated that on behalf of the
6		Department of Energy (DOE) the meeting of the NNMCAB was called to order at 1:06 p.m.
7		Mr. Bishop recognized Mr. Doug Sayre, the NNMCAB Chair. Mr. Sayre presided at the
8		meeting.
9 10		The meeting of the NNMCAB was open to the public and posted in The Federal Register in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.
11		
12	١١.	Establishment of a Quorum (11 Needed)
13		a. Roll Call
14 15		Mr. William Alexander conducted roll call as the members arrived. At the call to order 12 members were present.
15 16		order 12 members were present.
10		b. Excused Absences
18		Mr. Alexander recorded that the following members had excused absences: Ms.
19		Irene Tse-Pe, Mr. Michael Valerio, Ms. Mary Friday, and Mr. Steven Schmelling.
20		
21		c. Absences
22		Mr. Alexander recorded that the following members were absent. Mr. Alex Puglisi,
23		Mr. Josh Madalena, Mr. Rod Sanchez, Ms. Angel Quintana, and Mr. Max Baca.
24 25		Welcome and Introductions
26		Mr. Sayre welcomed the members and the public to the meeting. He asked for
27		introductions from the board members and attending guests.
28		
29	IV.	Approval of Agenda
30		The board reviewed the agenda for the January 27, 2016 meeting, Mr. Sayre opened the
31 32		floor for questions or comments.
33		Mr. Valdez made a motion to approve the agenda as presented; Mr. Tiano seconded the
34		motion. The motion to approve the agenda as presented was unanimously passed.
35		
36	٧.	Approval of Minutes
37		The board reviewed the minutes from the November 12, 2015 meeting. By ongoing
38		instruction from DOE Headquarters, the minutes were previously reviewed and certified by
39		the NNMCAB Chair. Mr. Sayre opened the floor for questions or comments.
40		
41		Mr. Whiting made a motion to approve the minutes as presented; Ms. Gurulé seconded
42		the motion. The motion to approve the minutes as presented was unanimously passed.
43		
44		The board reviewed the minutes from the November 18, 2015 meeting. By ongoing
45		instruction from DOE Headquarters, the minutes were previously reviewed and certified by
45 46		the NNMCAB Chair. Mr. Sayre opened the floor for questions or comments.
		THE INTRIVICAD CHAIL, MIL, JAVIE ODELIEU THE HOUL TOL UNESTIMIS OF COMMENDS.

1 2		Ms. Gurulé made a motion to approve the minutes as presented; Ms. Varela seconded
3		the motion. The motion to approve the minutes as presented was unanimously passed.
4 5	VI.	Old Business
6		a. Written Reports
7		Mr. Sayre opened the floor for questions on the written reports. Mr. Sayre gave a
8		brief overview of the chairs written report. He noted that the members should also
9		review the Executive Director's report for important dates of upcoming meetings and
10		events, in addition to an update on the membership and NNMCAB activities.
11		
12		b. Other Items
13		Mr. Sayre opened the floor for discussion on old business that the members might
14		have.
15		
16		Mr. Valdez noted that on the budget recommendation that he was asked to
17		address, he was looking at addressing activities that need to be completed, not
18		specific dollars. He asked that the members provide him with comments for the
19		recommendation.
20		
21		Mr. Bishop noted that DOE does not yet know what the FY'17 Budget will be so a
22		recommendation addressing priorities might be a better path to follow.
23		
24		Mr. Hintze noted that in his presentation he would be addressing some of the FY'17
25		Budget.
26 27		May Course a start that the party other recommendation on the table was on
27 28		Mr. Sayre noted that the only other recommendation on the table was on
28		chromium. He noted that the NNMCAB would be waiting on the resolution of public
29 20		comments in the Chromium Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), before completing that draft.
30 31		
31		Mr. Bishop responded that the Chromium EIS response document had been issued
32 33		and that a finding of No Significant Impact had been issued for the chromium interim
33 34		measure.
		measure.
35 36	VII.	New Business
37		Mr. Sayre opened the floor for discussion on any new business that the members might
38		have.
39		
40		The members asked if they could have additional information at the February 10, 2016
41		Combined Committee meeting on the Corrective Action Plan for Remediated Nitrate Salts.
42		

		Approved at the March 50, 2010 Board Weeting
1		Mr. Bishop responded that an additional presentation on the corrective actions could be
2		arranged for the February meeting.
3		
4	VIII.	Update from the Deputy Designated Federal Officer
5		Mr. Bishop noted that with all the Liaisons present today he would defer the DDFO time
6		to them, since they would be covering many of the same topics. Additionally, he noted that
7		he would like to have Ms. Betsy Madru from Waste Control Specialists (WCS) give a brief
8		introduction.
9		
10		Ms. Madru stated that WCS owns and operates a low level waste disposal facility in
11		Andrews, Texas. She noted that WCS is trying to attend each Citizens' Advisory Board and
12		introduce themselves to the members. She noted that she would be around during the
13		meeting to answer any questions that the members may have. Ms. Madru stated that
14		Energy Solutions had announced during December of 2015 its intent to purchase WCS. She
15		noted that the purchase contracts are currently with the Department of Justice pending a
16		review of the legality of the purchase.
17		
18	IX.	Consideration and Action on Draft Recommendation.
19		Mr. Sayre opened the floor for consideration and action on Draft Recommendation
20		2016-01. Mr. Sayre asked Mr. Valdez if he would like to provide an overview of the
21		recommendation to the board.
22		
23		Mr. Valdez stated that the intent of the recommendation was to provide DOE with a
24		realistic approach to address the remaining clean-up at Los Alamos. Additionally, noting that
25		it was intended to maximize time and effort and taxpayer dollars.
26		
27		Mr. Sayre noted that if the members did not have any additional questions he would like
28		to call for a motion to approve the draft recommendation.
29		
30		Ms. Lopez-Cordova made a motion to approve Draft Recommendation 2016-01
31		"Campaign Approach", as presented; Mr. Martínez y Valencia seconded the motion. The
32		motion to approve the Draft Recommendation 2016-01 was unanimously passed.
33		
34	Х.	Presentations
35		a. Environmental Management Los Alamos Filed Office
36		Budget Analyst, Ms. Genna Hackett and Site Manager, Mr. Hintze, DOE,
37		Environmental Management Los Alamos Filed Office (EM-LA); gave a presentation to
38		the NNMCAB on "Fiscal Year 2016 Budget." An electronic copy of the presentation
39		may be obtained from the NNMCAB website; http://www.energy.gov/em/nnmcab.
40		Video of the presentation is also available on the NNMCAB's YouTube Channel
41		(NNMCAB).
42		

1	b.	Questions
2		Mr. Valdez asked what happened with the dollars budgeted for Transuranic (TRU)
3		Waste in FY'15.
4		
5		Ms. Hackett responded that while money was not spent on shipping as it was not
6		occurring in FY'15, the budget for TRU waste was used to address the corrective
7		actions and judgments of need that were presented in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
8		(WIPP) incident reports.
9		
10		Mr. Bishop additionally noted that some of that budget was spent on the storage
11		and prep costs associated with the nitrate salt bearing wastes, in addition to the
12		research necessary to treat the waste.
13		
14		Mr. Valdez asked where the money for fines comes from.
15		
16		Ms. Hackett responded that the fines for the settlement agreements will be coming
17		out of FY'15 budget not the FY'16 budget.
18		
19		Mr. Hintze noted that there is no fund that is set aside for fines, the money for
20		fines is derived out of the program budget.
21		
22		Mr. Martínez y Valencia asked if some of the funding for the fines had come from
23		the TRU waste shipping budget.
24		
25		Mr. Maggiore responded that the funding for the fines had not come out of the
26		clean-up budget for Los Alamos, it was derived from unearned fee that LANS did not
27		receive and re-appropriated moneys from Congress.
28		
29		Mr. Mayfield stated that a priority for the NNMCAB should be to work towards
30		getting an approved Life Cycle Baseline for clean-up.
31		
32		Mr. Valdez asked if the chromium project was going to be starting back up soon.
33		
34		Mr. Hintze responded that yes, the extraction and injection wells are in the process
35		of being put in and pump and treat will resume.
36		
37		Mr. Valdez asked about the Royal Demolition Explosive (RDX) plume project.
38		
39		Mr. Hintze stated that characterization and investigation for the best process for
40		remediation of the RDX plume is planned in the near term.
41		NAL MATHE AND A DATE OF THE ANALYSIS OF THE
42		Mr. Valdez asked what the follow on acquisition activities are.

1	Mr. Hintze responded that the follow on acquisition activities are the development
2	of the long term contract that needs to be put in place at the end of the Bridge
3	Contract.
4	
5	c. Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
6	Mr. J. R. Stroble, DOE, Carlsbad Field Office; provided a presentation on "Waste
7	Isolation Pilot Plant Recovery." An electronic copy of the presentation may be
8	obtained from the NNMCAB website; http://www.energy.gov/em/nnmcab. Video of
9	the presentation is also available on the NNMCAB's YouTube Channel (NNMCAB).
.0	
1	d. Questions
.2	Mr. Sayre asked if the WIPP reopening was going to be a soft reopening.
.3	
.4	Mr. Stroble responded that the reopening will be a form operation readiness
.5	review, being characterized as a crawl, noting that the entire facility will be evaluated
.6	and formally evaluated for safety and training purposes.
.7	
8	Mr. Valdez noted that he thought that the new ventilation system was going to be
9	installed in a different location than the old system.
0	
1	Mr. Stroble responded that the location for the new system has not been
2	determined yet. Additionally, noting that safety analysis and capability alternative
3	analysis needs to be conducted and approved.
4	
5	Mr. Sayre asked if the new system would allow for the use of diesel equipment in
6	the mine.
7	
8	Mr. Stroble responded that an analysis had been conducted and where applicable
9	diesel equipment is incorporated. He noted that an example of this is the hybrid rock-
0	bolter that uses both electric and diesel power for operation.
1	
2	Mr. Whiting asked if an uncertainty cushion had been factored into the recovery
3	plan for WIPP.
4	
5	Mr. Stroble responded that yes, risks are identified and uncertainty associated with
6	those risks must be incorporated. Additionally, noting that uncertainty had been
7	factored into the schedule and that DOE has confidence in the proposed date of
8	December 18, 2016 for resumption of operations.

1	XI.	Up	date from Liaisons
2		a.	Update from U.S. Department of Energy
3			Mr. Hintze noted that he only had two quick topics to cover. The first was that the
4			Defense Nuclear Safety Board was scheduled to hold a hearing associated with
5			Material Disposal Area G, in March. Mr. Hintze noted that as additional information
6			becomes available he would share it with the NNMCAB. The second topic was that the
7			new EM-LA office was still in the process of hiring personnel to fill positions; he noted
8			that the EM-LA office would be filling 18 positions, over the course of the next year.
9			
10		b.	Update from Los Alamos National Security
11			Mr. Randy Erickson noted that he would like to further the board's knowledge on
12			the safe storage of nitrate salt waste. He stated that extensive small scale testing of
13			surrogate materials was conducted, to replicate what occurred in the nitrate salt
14			bearing waste drum at WIPP. Additionally, noting that full scale drum tests were also
15			conducted. These tests provide technical information on how the materials behave,
16			and possible new safety measures that could be taken to increase safety of drum
17			storage: such as temperature control. Mr. Erickson noted that when the processing of
18			the waste begins in the latter part of FY'17, temperature control will be incorporated
19			into the remediation process.
20			Mr. Erickson stated that extensive outside testing in addition to peer review of the
21			work had been conducted, to ensure that LANL is on the correct path.
22			······································
23			Mr. Sayre asked where the testing had taken place.
24			
25			Mr. Erickson responded that the Southwest Research Institute had been
26			conducting the testing for Los Alamos.
27			
28		c.	Update from New Mexico Environment Department
29			Ms. Katie Roberts stated that the New Mexico Environment Department was
30			happy to announce that the Settlement Agreements had been signed on Friday
31			January 22, 2016. She noted that the Agreements consisted of \$74 Million dollars
32			covering 8 supplemental environmental projects, at Los Alamos and Carlsbad. She
33			stated that the goal is to have the supplemental environmental projects completed
34			within two years, with the exception of the communication plan which will be
35			ongoing.
36			Ms. Roberts noted that the NMED will be the final sign off on whether WIPP will
37			be opened on time and how the remediation of the nitrate salts will take place.
38			Additionally, noting that NMED has been very involved in both processes and will
39			continue to be.
40			Ms. Roberts noted that the NMED is moving to look at Consent Order
41			negotiations. She advised the NNMCAB that her staff is currently working on drafting
42			a new Consent Order. Ms. Roberts stated that NMED values the input of the NNMCAB

1		and that the NNMCAB will have valuable input in the drafting of the new Consent
2		Order. Ms. Roberts noted the four major concepts in the new Consent Order: Cleanup
3		vs. investigation, annual work planning process, campaign approach, and data quality
4		objectives. Ms. Roberts stated that there will be more public input into this Consent
5		Order than in the previous 2005 document. Additionally, noting that she is unsure
6		what course that input will take.
7		
8		Ms. Gurulé asked for more information on the Supplemental Environmental
9		Projects (SEP) and the storm water aspect.
10		
11		Ms. Roberts responded that of the eight SEPs five of them will be conducted at
12		LANL. Ms. Roberts noted that two of those projects are enhanced engineering
13		controls for storm water runoff in the canyons, and additional sampling capabilities
14		for surface water. Additionally, stating that money for those two projects has already
15		been appropriated.
16		
17		Mr. Mayfield asked what NMEDs position was on the DOE Life Cycle Baseline.
18		
19		Ms. Roberts noted that the campaign approach and the annual work plan process
20		feed into the Life Cycle Baseline; however, the Life Cycle Base line is not a document
21		that NMED has input on or approves.
22		
23		Mr. Valdez asked if any of the eight SEPs would be a campaign approach.
24		
25		Ms. Roberts responded that the SEPs would not be completed using a campaign
26		approach.
27		
28		Dublic Comment
29	XII.	Public Comment
30 21		Mr. Sayre opened the floor for public comment at 4:03 p.m. he invited Mr. Scott Kovac
31		from Nuke Watch New Mexico to address the board.
32		Mr. Kouse stated that the estimated cast of environmental deepure screep all the DOC
33 24		Mr. Kovac stated that the estimated cost of environmental cleanup across all the DOE
34 25		environmental sites is over \$240 billion. Additionally, noting that the cost goes up each year.
35		He stated that we need to keep funding these liabilities and a larger yearly budget should be
36		requested.
37 20		Mr. Kovac noted that he had a request on WIPP, and the baseline costs. He noted that
38		he did not believe that DOE had made public the new CD-1, cost schedule, or underground
39		contamination levels at WIPP, stating that he was requesting that DOE make these available.
40		With no additional comments Mr. Course alocad the public comment at 4.05 and
41		With no additional comments Mr. Sayre closed the public comment at 4:05 p.m.
42		

	stated that it was an interesting meeting and they appreciated all of the input. Additionally,
	they thanked the presenters for the informative presentations and staff for the meeting
	preparation.
	Mr. Whiting and Ms. Varela, noted that they were looking forward to getting to
	prioritize the clean-up projects, Additionally, Mr. Whiting asked that DOE present its
	information in an unbiased way to the greatest extent possible.
	Mr. Valdez noted that he would work on the budget recommendation and get it out to
	the NNMCAB members for review as soon as possible. He thanked everyone for a great
	meeting.
	KIV. Adjournment
,	Mr. Sayre noted that the next Combined Committee meeting would be at the NNMCAB
	office in Pojoaque on February 10, 2016. He thanked the presenters and members for attending
	today's meeting.
	Mr. Bishop and Mr. Gardipe thanked the members for volunteering their time. With no
	additional business to discuss, Mr. Gardipe adjourned the meeting at 4:12 p.m.
Deer	ectfully Submitted,
Resp	cettany Submitted,
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Da	ugles M. Sayre
Doug	g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB
Doug	ugles M. Sayre
Doug *Mir	g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB
Doug *Mir	g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB nutes prepared by William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach, NNMCAB
Doug *Mir	aghan M. Sayre g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB nutes prepared by William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach, NNMCAB chments
Doug *Mir Attac 1.	g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB nutes prepared by William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach, NNMCAB chments Final NNMCAB Meeting Agenda for 01/27/2016
Doug *Mir Attac 1. 2.	g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB nutes prepared by William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach, NNMCAB chments Final NNMCAB Meeting Agenda for 01/27/2016 Final NNMCAB Meeting Minutes for 11/12/2015
Doug *Mir Attac 1. 2. 3.	g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB nutes prepared by William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach, NNMCAB chments Final NNMCAB Meeting Agenda for 01/27/2016 Final NNMCAB Meeting Minutes for 11/12/2015 Final NNMCAB Meeting Minutes for 11/18/2015
Doug *Mir Attao 1. 2. 3. 4.	g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB nutes prepared by William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach, NNMCAB chments Final NNMCAB Meeting Agenda for 01/27/2016 Final NNMCAB Meeting Minutes for 11/12/2015 Final NNMCAB Meeting Minutes for 11/18/2015 Report from the Chair, Doug Sayre
Doug *Mir Attac 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB nutes prepared by William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach, NNMCAB chments Final NNMCAB Meeting Agenda for 01/27/2016 Final NNMCAB Meeting Minutes for 11/12/2015 Final NNMCAB Meeting Minutes for 11/18/2015 Report from the Chair, Doug Sayre Report from the Executive Director, Menice Santistevan
Doug *Mir Attac 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	g Sayre, Chair, NNMCAB nutes prepared by William Alexander, Technical Programs and Outreach, NNMCAB chments Final NNMCAB Meeting Agenda for 01/27/2016 Final NNMCAB Meeting Minutes for 11/12/2015 Final NNMCAB Meeting Minutes for 11/18/2015 Report from the Chair, Doug Sayre Report from the Executive Director, Menice Santistevan NNMCAB Bi-Monthly Meeting Schedule for CY'16

Ms. Abeyta, Mr. Mayfield, Mr. Tiano, Mr. Martínez y Valencia, Ms. Sanderson, Mr.

Valerio, Ms. Mascareñas, Ms. Herrera, Ms. Lopez-Cordova, Mr. Sayre, and Ms. Gurulé;

1

2

3

XIII.

Wrap-up and Comments

- 1 **Public Notice:**
- 2 \*All NNMCAB meetings are recorded. Audio CD's and Video DVD's have been placed on file for review
- 3 at the NNMCAB office, 94 Cities of Gold Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87506. The written minutes are
- 4 intended as a synopsis of the meeting.

5