Paying for the Project

Three Major Costs to Develop a Project

- Feasibility – this is the project potential analysis
- Preconstruction – permitting, environmental
- Construction – engineering, procurement of equipment and actual construction of plant

PV panels installed on Grand Ronde Tribal Housing Authority carport. 42 kW: Combination of tribal funds and state incentives
Photo from GRTHA, NREL 31797
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Financing Options and Sources of Capital

- Internal tribal funds
- Grants (e.g. TEP, USDA, etc.)
- Incentives (state, local, utility)
- Debt/loans
- Energy saving performance contacts (ESPCs)
- Tax equity incentives
- Monetizing green attributes (RECs)

Project will likely involve a combination of sources of capital
Project Ownership

Financing structure is highly dependent on size of the project and the capital available for a given project:

- Tribe owns the project
- Tribe hosts the project and buys the electricity (PPA)
- Tribe partners with private sector and co-develops the project
Direct Ownership Structure

The Tribe is the owner in this structure and self-generates its electricity. The Tribe purchases a renewable energy system with its own funding and possibly other sources like grants. Over time, investment recouped from utility bill savings primarily for facility and community-scale projects. The Tribe and Electricity Users make payments to the Utility, while the Utility pays the Remaining Energy Needs of the Tribe.
Direct Ownership Using Tribal Funds

Advantages

• Maximum control over a project: design, operations, and risks
• Low or no financing costs
• Material reduction in electricity bills
• May own renewable energy certificates (RECs) and can choose to retain or monetize
• Might be only option for small projects

Disadvantages

• Requires upfront financial resources
• Don’t fully benefit from available tax incentives given tax-exempt status
• Responsibilities of ownership (operations & maintenance)
• Opportunity costs of not using the cash for other competing investments such as housing, gaming, or other interests
Grants

Advantages

• No repayment (free money)

• No financing costs

• Material reduction in electricity bills

• Might be only option for small projects

Disadvantages

• Typically must be used for a specific purpose and may require match funding of some sort

• Eligibility requirements may limit the applicant pool

• Issued via competitive solicitations

• Application process may be difficult, costly, and time consuming or based on a funding cycle that can delay project

• Likely to involve significant reporting and monitoring efforts
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>State/Territory</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Policy/Incentive Type</th>
<th>Created</th>
<th>Last Updated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Energy Program Grant</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Grant Program</td>
<td>05/01/2003</td>
<td>05/21/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Grant Program</td>
<td>03/31/2015</td>
<td>03/31/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Grant Program</td>
<td>03/16/2015</td>
<td>03/16/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA - Rural Energy for America Program (REAP) Energy Audit and Renewable Energy Development Assistance (EA/REDA) Program</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Grant Program</td>
<td>02/18/2015</td>
<td>02/19/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA - Repowering Assistance Biorefinery Program</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Grant Program</td>
<td>10/08/2012</td>
<td>02/06/2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Incentives and rebates

Advantages

• Reduce either the upfront cost of project or assist in repaying construction costs.
  – $/Watt (Capacity based)
  – Cents per kWh (Production based)

• Reduces need for debt or equity capital from other sources.

Disadvantages

• Availability of incentives can vary based on demand, technology, market sector and available funds.

• Often capped at a certain level (kW) which might lead to smaller projects than what is optimal or sub-dividing projects.

• Tend to decline or step down over time.

• May reduce taxable basis which can impacts certain tax incentives.
### DSIRE Tool: Incentives and Rebates

**Filter Options**

- **Category:** Financial Incentive
- **State/Territory:** New Mexico
- **Program Type:** Rebate Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>State/Territory</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Policy/Incentive Type</th>
<th>Created</th>
<th>Last Updated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central New Mexico Electric Cooperative - Residential Energy Efficiency Rebate Program</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Rebate Program</td>
<td>06/06/2007</td>
<td>03/19/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNM - Residential Energy Efficiency Rebate Program</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Rebate Program</td>
<td>07/03/2008</td>
<td>12/09/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico Gas Company - Residential Efficiency Programs</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Rebate Program</td>
<td>02/09/2011</td>
<td>03/17/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico Gas Company - Commercial Efficiency Programs</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Rebate Program</td>
<td>02/09/2011</td>
<td>03/17/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso Electric Company - Commercial Efficiency Program</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Rebate Program</td>
<td>12/15/2009</td>
<td>02/20/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xcel Energy (Electric) - Commercial Energy Efficiency Rebate Program</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Financial Incentive</td>
<td>Rebate Program</td>
<td>07/05/2012</td>
<td>10/15/2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[www.dsireusa.org](http://www.dsireusa.org)
Debt/Loans

• Tribal Economic Development Bonds
• Commercial bank loans
  – Third party guarantees
  – Interest rate subsidy
• Others
  – Clean Renewable Energy Bonds (CREBs)
  – Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds (QECBS)
## Debt: Government-Sponsored Loan Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Indian Affairs Loan Guaranty, Insurance, and Interest Subsidy Program (BIA) | Guarantee    | • Max 90%; Interest subsidy covers the difference between the lender’s rate and the Indian Financing Act rate  
• Requirements: Borrower must have 20% tangible equity in the project. This is for business development. |
| Rural Energy for America Loan Guarantee Program (USDA)                  | Guarantee    | • Up to 85% of loan amount  
• Requirements: Borrower must be rural small business or agricultural producer  
• Technology: Biomass, solar, wind, hydro, hydrogen, geothermal  
• Applications: equipment, construction, permitting, professional service fees, feasibility studies, business plans, land acquisition |

Find more with the Federal Energy Development Assistance Tool:  
[www.energy.gov/indianenergy/fedprograms](http://www.energy.gov/indianenergy/fedprograms)
# Federal Energy Development Assistance Tool

[Image of the Federal Energy Development Assistance Tool]

[Table showing different programs, agencies, descriptions, types of assistance, eligibility, and phases]

- **Programs**:
  - 504 Loan Program
  - Advanced Biofuel Payment Program
  - Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy (ARPA-E)

- **Agencies**:
  - Small Business Administration
  - Department of Agriculture: Rural Development
  - Department of Energy: ARPA-E

- **Descriptions**:
  - Provides growing businesses with long-term, fixed-rate financing for major fixed assets, such as land and buildings.
  - Provides payments to eligible producers to support and expand production of advanced biofuels refined from sources other than corn kernel starch.
  - Empowers America’s energy researchers with funding, technical assistance, and market roadmaps to accelerate the pace of energy.

- **Types of Assistance**:
  - Loan and loan guarantee programs

- **Eligibility**:
  - Federally recognized Tribes and tribal governments; Alaska Native and tribal corporations; Alaska Native villages; Tribal universities, utilities, and other organized tribal groups; State-recognized-only Tribes; Tribal universities, utilities, and other organized tribal groups; Tribal nonprofit organizations (501-(C)(3)); Tribal energy resource development organizations

- **Phases**:
  - Phase 1
  - Phase 2
  - Phase 3
  - Phase 4

[Website link for more information: www.energy.gov/indianenergy/fedprograms]
Debt/Loan

Advantages

• Can provide a significant portion of the cost of a project, reducing the amount of the Tribe’s invested capital
• Can be a low cost source of capital
• Retain ownership in project
• Might be able to benefit from a third party guarantee.

Disadvantages

• Requires repayment with interest
• Terms (maturity, interest rate, etc.) can vary and default penalties can be punitive
• May require the Tribe to offer a limited sovereignty waiver or pledge other assets as collateral
• May require the borrower to demonstrate a strong financial position itself
Third-Party Power Purchase Agreement (PPA)

The customer agrees to **host** the system and **purchase** the electricity worth ~50% of the cost of a solar system.

- **Host (Tribal Entity)**
- **Local Utility**
- **Renewable Energy Developer and Financial Partner (tax equity)**
- **Tax Benefits**

Revenue from electricity sales:
- Renewable electricity at fixed prices
- Various project finance structures

Worth ~50% of the cost of a solar system.
Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) Considerations

Advantages
- No/low up-front costs
- No O&M
- Benefit from tax incentives
- Locked-in energy price
- Path to ownership

Disadvantages
- May not beat current electricity rates
- Tough economics for small projects
- Higher transaction costs
- REC and project ownership requirements
So Why Seek a Tax-Equity Finance Partner?

- Tax incentives such as Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery System (MACRS) and either Production Tax Credit (PTC) or Investment Tax Credit (ITC) can represent up to half the project value, or reduce project capital costs by ~50%

- Tax incentives can help to achieve a competitive price of power
Third-Party (i.e., Tax Equity) vs. Tribal Ownership

Tribal Owned (Without Incentives)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Energy</td>
<td>37,230,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA price</td>
<td>29.36 ¢/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCOE Nominal</td>
<td>27.22 ¢/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCOE Real</td>
<td>22.11 ¢/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal rate of return (%)</td>
<td>12.00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum DSCR</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net present value ($)</td>
<td>5,238,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated ppa escalation (%)</td>
<td>1.00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated debt fraction (%)</td>
<td>50.00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Factor</td>
<td>21.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First year kWhac/kWdc</td>
<td>1,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System performance factor (%)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Third-Party Owned (With Incentives)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Energy</td>
<td>37,230,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA price</td>
<td>12.62 ¢/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCOE Nominal</td>
<td>13.55 ¢/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCOE Real</td>
<td>11.00 ¢/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal rate of return (%)</td>
<td>21.11 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum DSCR</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net present value ($)</td>
<td>6,525,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated ppa escalation (%)</td>
<td>1.00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated debt fraction (%)</td>
<td>50.00 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Factor</td>
<td>21.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First year kWhac/kWdc</td>
<td>1,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System performance factor (%)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50% decrease
## Comparison of Tax Incentives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PTC</th>
<th>ITC</th>
<th>Accelerated Depreciation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value</strong></td>
<td>Tax credit of 2.3¢/kWh or 1.1¢/kWh, depending on tech</td>
<td>Tax credit of 10% or 30% of project costs, depending on tech</td>
<td>Depreciation of eligible costs (not all project costs qualify)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Select Qualifying Technologies** | • Wind  
• Geothermal  
• Biomass  
• Hydro | • Solar  
• Fuel cells  
• Small wind  
• Geothermal | Depreciation can be taken with either PTC or ITC |
| **Basis**            | Energy produced over 10-year period. Can be combined with depreciation. | Eligible project cost. Credit taken at the time the project is placed in service. Can be combined with depreciation. | **MACRS**: 5-year depreciation schedule     |
| **Expiration/Step Down** | Start construction before 12/31/2014 | Placed in service before 1/1/2017* | **MACRS**: None |
Key Concept: Tax-Equity Partnerships

1. Tribe can benefit from tax-equity incentives without being taxable.

2. A taxable tribal entity may be able to monetize the tax credits directly and eliminate the need for a tax equity partner.
   - One tribal entity selling power to another tribal entity under a PPA

3. Tribes can partner with third-party tax investors and/or developers to gain this incentive/advantage
   - Recent IRS PLR supports Tribal partnerships with third-party tax equity
Federal Renewable Energy Tax Incentives

Advantages

• Can be worth up to approximately half of the project’s costs

• Not competitively issued – awarded when project is built and producing energy

• No federal cap on amount of incentives that can be received

Disadvantages

• When tax-based (e.g. tax credits and depreciation), they are not easily monetized by tribal entities with special tax status

• Involves complex negotiations with outside investors and relinquishing a certain level of control and economic return during the early years of the project

• Certain current tax incentives set to expire or be reduced, reducing their value for projects.
Energy Savings Performance Contract (ESPC)

An ESPC is a **no up-front cost** contracting mechanism between a site customer and an energy service company (ESCO). Energy conservation measures and on-site generation are financed and implemented by an ESCO, which is **repaid through energy savings**.

Over 90 DOE-Qualified ESCOs, including:

- Ameresco
- Solar
- McKinstry
- Chevron
- Siemens
- Honeywell
- Tetra Tech
- Johnson Controls
- Trane

View the full DOE ESPCs list at: [energy.gov/eere/femp/doe-qualified-energy-service-companies](energy.gov/eere/femp/doe-qualified-energy-service-companies)
ESPCs Reallocate Current and Future Energy Spending

- **Customer's Cash Flow**
  - No ESPC
  - During ESPC
  - After ESPC

- **Customer's Savings**
- **ESCO Services Fee and Financing**
- **Energy and Operations and Maintenance Costs**
Typical ESPC Measures

- Lighting: indoor, outdoor, street lights
- Heating, ventilating, and air conditioning (HVAC)
- Energy management systems
- Motors and variable speed drives
- Building envelope measures
- Water conservation measures
- Distributed generation and combined heat and power—renewable or fossil fuel

Photo from Kathie Brosemer, Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians
ESPC Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages
- Typically, little to no upfront cost for the building owner
- Pays for the project via energy savings of new equipment
- Can cover a bundle of upgrades in one financing, from energy efficiency to renewable energy installations
- May offer some modest cost savings including monthly payment from the onset

Disadvantages
- Energy savings calculations depend on initial assumptions, and may not accurately reflect actual savings
- Typically requires a minimum expenditure threshold (around $1 million of projects bundled)
- Building owner receives the majority of the economic savings only after the contract is fully paid off
Monetizing Green Attributes: Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs)

• 1 REC created when 1 MWh of renewable electricity is produced

• Used to track renewable energy production for state renewable portfolio standards (RPS)

• Utilities will purchase RECs to fulfill state RPS requirements

• Voluntary REC markets
Renewable Energy Certificates (REC)

Source: http://www.epa.gov/greenpower/gpmarket/rec_chart.htm
REC Advantages and Disadvantages

**Advantages**

- Creates an additional source of revenue for renewable projects, based on the projects’ “green attributes”
- Can make the difference between installing the project or not.
- Allows entities to “green up” their use of electricity.

**Disadvantages**

- Not available everywhere
- Compensation depends on a market price which might be too low to make a difference in getting project installed.
- Finding a buyer in marketplace can be difficult without a broker or without selling to a third-party at a discount
- Project lenders may value these at a low price (even zero) without a long term contract in place which is often unavailable
REC Video

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=opJMrzNauFQ