DOE Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO) 2015 Project Peer Review

# Scale-up of Algal Biofuel Production Using Waste Nutrients

March 25, 2015 DOE Bioenergy Production Technologies Office Algae R&D Activities Peer Review

PI: Tryg Lundquist, Ph.D., P.E.



Civil and Environmental Engineering California Polytechnic State University San Luis Obispo, California



*MicroBio Engineering, Inc. San Luis Obispo, California* 

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

## **Phase 1 Goal Statement**

- Develop the capability for 2500 gal/ac-yr of biofuel intermediates via HTL from microalgae grown at an existing raceway wastewater treatment facility in California's San Joaquin Valley.
- Determine productivity with CO<sub>2</sub> addition and demonstrate bioflocculation/settling harvesting.
- Model the process, TEA, and LCA.
- Plan for Phase 2 in collaboration with the facility owner.



What does it take to reach 2500 gal/ac-yr? Two main unknowns are to be determined in field studies:

Biofuel Intermediate Goal: 2500 gal/ac-yr =  $6.4 \text{ mL/m}^2$ -d =  $6 \text{ g oil/m}^2$ -d

HTL Conversion: ?? g oil / g biomass

Productivity: ?? g biomass / m<sup>2</sup>-day

What kind of productivity? With wastewater, we have gross and net.

## **1 - Project Overview**

- A Central Valley town (pop. 11,000) operates a 7acre algal raceway facility for municipal wastewater treatment.
- Nine 3.5-m<sup>2</sup> raceways, settling units, and drying beds (below right) were installed to work on optimization of productivity and harvesting.





# Scale-up of Algal Biofuel Production Using Waste Nutrients (EE0006317)

### Timeline

- Started October 2013
- Ends June 2016
- 40% complete

### **Barriers**

- Ft-A. Feedstock availability & cost
- Ft-D. Sustainable Harvesting
- Ft-N. Algal Feedstock Processing

### **Budget**

	Total Costs	FY 13 Costs	FY 14 Costs	Total Planned Funding (FY 15- Project End Date
DOE Funded	\$1.6m	0	683k	948k
Project Cost Share (Comp.)*	\$0.5m	0	236k	259k

### Partners

- Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo (62%)
- PNNL (22%)
- SNL (16%)
- MicroBio Engineering, Inc. (cost share)
- Delhi County Water District (site host)

# Site of Cal Poly Algal Biomass Yield Project Delhi, Calif. Algae Wastewater Treatment Plant

### **Settling Ponds**

### **Facultative Ponds**

### Paddle wheels

#### **Two 3.5-acre raceways**

In Phase 2, the Delhi plant will be upgraded to reach DOE's initial 2,500 gallon per acre per year goal.





# At full-scale, Delhi algae are coagulated, settled, and solar dried.

~100,000 gallons of 3% solids algae in decanted settling basin

### Solar dried algae



## 2 – Approach (Technical)

**TASK 0: Process and Data Validation** (Lead: Cal Poly)

TASK 1: Develop models to identify high-performance strains and culture methods (Lead: M. Huesemann, PNNL)

TASK 2: Maximize algal productivity and harvesting efficiency in Delhi pilot ponds (Lead: Cal Poly and T. Lane, Sandia)

**TASK 3: Full-scale raceway hydraulic characterization** (Lead: Cal Poly and MicroBio Engineering)

**TASK 4. Biomass processing to biofuel intermediates** (Lead: Doug Elliott, PNNL, and Cal Poly)

**TASK 5. Scale-up engineering analysis, modeling, and planning** (Lead: MicroBio Engineering and Cal Poly)

**TASK 6. Stage Gate Review and Preparations** (Lead: Cal Poly, with PNNL, SNL, and MicroBio Engineering)

# 2 – Approach (Management)

- Critical success factors
  - Technical: Achieving productivity, harvesting efficiency, and conversion to fuel sufficient to produce 2,500 gallons per acre per year, initially.
  - Market & Business: Achieving at least 25% lower cost than conventional wastewater treatment.
- **Top challenges:** Each of the technical success factors above require advancement.
- Management approach:
  - 19 milestones and a Go/No-Go.
  - Knowledge integration and vigorous collaboration among partners on multiple DOE projects. Eyes open for more partners.
  - Research economy-of-scale at Cal Poly in ABY, ASAP, and ATP<sup>3</sup> projects.

## 3 – Technical Accomplishments

Pilot facility provided by MicroBio Engineering Inc.

# Edge effects are minimized with transparent paddles and dividers.

Scale-up value is diminished by edge effects such as shading, wall growth, and heat transfer.



Remote control and data logging capabilities Feed rates,  $CO_2$  dosing, paddle speeds, etc. can be changed on timer basis or remotely.



**Primary Clarifier** 2-hour residence time

### Pilot-Scale Raceways 2-5 day HRT

Algae Settlers (2-3 hours)





Algae Drying Beds & Screens **Algae Thickener** 

Supernatant Tank <sup>14</sup>

Algae

### Task 1: Develop models to identify highperformance strains and culture methods

**Goal:** Identify pond operation conditions (pH, HRT) to maximize algal biomass productivity

**Approach**: Use PNNL's Biomass Assessment Tool (BAT) to identify optimum pH and dilution rate for *Chlorella sorokiniana* (DOE 1412)

**Step 1:** Use climate model to generate light intensity and temperature scripts

t **Step 2:** Modify biomass growth model to include pH effects



**Step 3:** Determine algal productivity at the Delhi site using the Biomass Growth Model (BGM) as a function of pH, season, and dilution rate

## **Chlorella DOE 1412 modeling for dilution &** pH optimization, followed by field validation.



7.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>-day annual average productivity at 0.2 and 0.3/day

- 30% increase over ~5.7 g/m<sup>2</sup>-day productivity at 0.1 and 0.4/day
- 40% increase in annual average productivity at pH 7 versus pH 8 (7.6 vs. 5.4 g/m<sup>2</sup>-day)
- DOE 1412 also being studied at LANL.

# Bench-top pond simulator is under development to increase strain testing throughput.

Temperature and light control systems are working.

Next: Validate using outdoor pond cultivation data (northern AZ)



# Task 2: We run three conditions in triplicate to maximize productivity. Current experiment:



## Water source also affects temperature. Middle pond is fed from deep a Facultative pond with more stable temperatures.



# We are working to minimize differences with the triplicate ponds.

**Twice-weekly calibration and independent checks.** 



# We are working to minimize differences between pilot and full-scale raceways.

Pilot pH setpoints were adjusted to match HRPI.



# Pilot vs. full-scale: Gross productivities differed due to higher suspended solids in the full-scale pond.

1.2-ha Inner Raceway vs. triplicate "M" pilot raceways also fed Facultative Pond water.



# Pilot vs. full-scale: Net productivities were similar until recently but mostly negative!

The spring and summer comparison should be telling.



# Gross productivity ranged 10-45 g/m<sup>2</sup>-day during Dec-Mar. Some growth is fueled by influent organic matter.

### Net productivity

5 g/m<sup>2</sup>-d in Nov-Jan 20-25 g/m<sup>2</sup>-d in Feb.

Gross productivity of triplicate ponds: S = 2-day and N = 3-day hydraulic residence time



### **Community genetic data (Sandia) may lead to better control of productivity and bioflocculation**



Preliminary relationships identified via combined 16s and 18s heat maps

# Different operating conditions are producing distinct communities. More substantial insights are expected as data analysis proceeds.



"RAS" is return activated sludge for comparison.

No *Vampirovibrio* in Cal Poly wastewater ponds, but is present in some Cal Poly ATP<sup>3</sup> ponds. Not implicated in Cal Poly crashes.

HTL System Configuration:



Demonstrate and optimize conversion of wastewater grown microalgae feedstock into a biofuel intermediate suitable for further upgrading

#### Run 1: 10 wt% TS

Feedstock source, harvesting mechanism, photo



Bioflocculated, then centrifuged thickened from CP WW ponds.



Run 2: 18 wt% TS



Bio-flocculated, then solar-dried from CP WW ponds.



Feed preparation: Material caught by 20 mesh in-line strainer after homogenization



**Results**: Run 2a and 2b operation summary

Run 2a terminated due to excessive solid accumulation in CSTR; relatively low solids accumulation in filter housing





CSTR insert removed in Run 2b, yields clean impeller and solids in filter





Gaseous emissions characterized for air permitting



Gas is predominantly CO<sub>2</sub>

Unlikely to trigger air-pollution controls

Mass Yields (Dry, Ash Free, Normalized):

Parameter	Unit	Run 1	Run 2a	Run 2b
Mass Balance	%	98%	99%	100%
Oil Yield, Mass (N)	g <sub>oil</sub> /g <sub>fd</sub>	15%	35%	36%
Solid Yield, Mass (N)	g <sub>solid</sub> /g <sub>fd</sub>	5%	3%	4%
Gas Yield, Mass (N)	$g_{gas}/g_{fd}$	2%	6%	5%
Aq. Yield, Mass (N)	$g_{aq}/g_{fd}$	78%	56%	55%

What does it take to reach 2500 gal/ac-yr? Two main unknowns are to be determined in field studies. Below are PRELIMINARY results. Biofuel Intermediate Goal: 2500 gal/ac-yr = 6.4 mL/m<sup>2</sup>-d = 6 g oil/m<sup>2</sup>-d

> HTL Conversion: 0.35 g oil / g biomass

> Productivity Need: 17 g biomass / m<sup>2</sup>-day

If harvesting - dewatering efficiency is 85%: 20 g biomass / m<sup>2</sup>-day

## 5 – Future Work

### TASK 1: Develop models to identify best strains and culture methods

\* Validate new Climate Simulating Photobioreactor with climate scripts against pond data.

\* Validate Biomass Growth Model predictions with pond data.

### TASK 2: Maximize algal productivity and harvesting in pilot ponds

- \* Evaluate effect of dilution rate and feed water source on productivity and harvesting
- \* Generate biomass for HTL runs.

#### TASK 3: Full-scale raceway hydraulic characterization - Underway

### TASK 4. Biomass processing to biofuel intermediates

\* To continue with quarterly runs

### TASK 5. Scale-up engineering analysis, modeling, and planning

- \* Incorporate productivities, harvesting efficiencies, and HTL results into a process model to be used in planning Phase 2.
- \* Update TEA and LCA results

## Acknowledgments

- U.S. Department of Energy
  - Dan Fishman (project monitor)
  - Evan Mueller (contractor)
  - Christine English (validation task)
  - Josh Gesick (validation task)
- Review
  - Colleen Ruddick
- Project Execution
  - Michael Huesemann & team, PNNL
  - Doug Elliott and team, PNNL
  - Todd Lane and Kunal Poorey
  - Staff and students at Cal Poly
  - MicroBio Engineering staff
- Other Helpful Colleagues
  - ATP<sup>3</sup> network, now extending to NM RAFT
  - Juergen Polle, Brooklyn College

# Thank you





**Results**: Run 1 operation summary

Oil-water phase separation difficult due to low initial solids concentration





Utilization of Aqueous Phase (AP) nutrients for algal regrowth attempted:

- HTL AP was 0.2 um filtered
- Metals added (Mg, K, P...) to avoid nutrient limitation



Growth reduced in HTL cultures even with a 100 fold dilution, at saturating nutrient concentrations

# Aqueous phase characterization:

Nitrogen	wt%	0.62%	
NH3	wt%	0.41%	
Total Carbon	wt%	1.8%	
Total Organic Carbon	wt%	1.7%	
COD	mgO/L	54,200	
Acetic acid	wt%	0.29%	
Propanoic acid	wt%	0.15%	
Methanol	wt%	0.79%	
Ethanol	wt%	0.07%	
Butanoic Acid	wt%	0.17%	
Chloride	ppm	-	
Sulfur	ppm	83	
рН	pH unit	8.0	
		39	

Biofuel intermediate characterization (dry basis):

Parameter	Unit	Run 1	Run 2a
Carbon, wt%	wt%	83%	78.9%
Hydrogen, wt%	wt%	9.1%	10.2%
H:C, mol ratio	ratio	1.31	1.53
Oxygen	wt%	1.3%	3.6%
Nitrogen	wt%	5.2%	5.4%
Sulfur	wt%	0.6%	1.2%
TAN	mg <sub>kOH</sub> /g <sub>oil</sub>	47	38
Density	g/mL	0.98	0.98
Viscosity	cSt@40°C	725	320
Moisture	wt%	n/a	10.2
Ash	wt%	0.78%	0.75%
Filterable Solids	wt%	1.19%	0.72%

Biofuel intermediate characterization: Simulated distillation



HT bed plugged with black, high molecular weight substance

High yield of distillate range hydrocarbons