### **Anion Exchange Membranes for Fuel Cells**

Andrew M. Herring CSM

> Bryan Pivovar NREL





Anion Exchange Membranes (Presented to Parallel Breakout Sessions)

- Stability Challenges
  - Chemical (OH attack)
  - Other (Mechanical, RH, peroxide?)
- Transport/Conductivity Challenges
  - Conductivity
  - Water Uptake
  - Permeability

Plenty of places where these two issues overlap Comparisons to PEMs a common theme of this presentation.





## **Covalently Tetherable Cations**



Membranes require covalently tetherable cations. These have seen various levels of investigation.



## **Chemical Stability of AEMs**

- **3 Potential Sources of Chemical (Hydroxide) Degradation** 
  - Polymer backbone



- Tether





– Cation





## Polymer Backbone

- Degradation
  - (unzipping analogous to PFSAs, chain scission)



- Most current AEMs based on wholly aromatic backbones.
- Relatively minor concern multiple backbones possible including perfluoro and wholly aromatic – good stability as PEMs or in base.
- Initial membranes based on ion exchange materials (Polystyrene) may have been significantly limited by backbone stability.
- Current materials show dramatic improvement, but still far short of ideal.



# Tether

- Tether
  - In most cases cations will be tethered to a backbone and the tether can also be the weak link (exception cations incorporated into backbone)
- Non-tethered cation (TMA TMA BTMA simplest analogue of covalently tetherable cation)
   Tether containing cation (BTMA most commonly used cation in AEMs)
- In some cases like amination of sulfonyl fluoride form PFSA (Tosflex), a sulfonamide linkage results and can only be avoided with additional steps.
- Alkyl linkages are convenient but susceptible to Hoffman elimination.





# Cation Degradation Routes



Traditional anion exchange membrane cations degrade by these routes. Has been suggested that lifetimes of ~ 1000 hours at 50-60°C could be achieved, early reports did not approach this stability.



### **Reversibility of Reaction**



In general, cationic species do not have a reversible analogue to that of acidic groups, and formation of a neutral species results in an irreversible reaction.

Most anion exchange materials are used for anions other than hydroxide, where this reactivity is not an issue. Anions more acidic than cations are basic.



### Covalently Tetherable Ionic Groups (Cations v. Anions)

Electronegative species can be covalently incorporated much more easily than electropositive.





http://www.webelements.com/webelements/properties/text/image-intensity/electroneg-paulin



### Cations – Bulky and Hydrophobic

#### Compact cations have not shown necessary stability and basicity.

zene at 100°C.			NR <sub>2</sub> N	R_Me te N_R	(th)	ab	and a	- Ca
Entry	Compound	<i>t<sub>i,j.</sub></i> [h]	R <sub>2</sub> N-P <sup>+</sup> -NR <sub>2</sub> I NR <sub>2</sub> R			J.	and the second	Sol
1	$Bu_4P^+Cl^-$	0.08/20 °C <sup>[4]</sup>	1a*: R = Me 1	e*: R = cyclohexyl		41.		
2	Ph₄As <sup>+</sup> Cl <sup>-</sup>	2/20°C <sup>[a]</sup>	$1D: R_{1}R = -(CH_{2})_{4}$	<b>T</b> : <b>R</b> = IPT	1a	10	16	11
3	Ph <sub>3</sub> PNPPh <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup> Cl <sup>-</sup>	1.1/20 °C <sup>[a]</sup>						
4	$Bu_4N^+Cl^-$	0.33				00	000	allon
5	1a·Cl	0.33	$R_2N - P = N - P^* - NR_2$	23		4658	0.835	3335
6	1b-Cl	0.9	0-1 P - Ma	9		12		and a second
7	1e-Cl	67	2b <sup>+</sup> : R,R = -(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> -					
8	1f·Cl	6	2c <sup>-</sup> : R,R = -(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> - 2d <sup>*</sup> : R,R = cis- CHMe(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	CHMe-	2a '	2b <sup>-</sup>	26	2d *
9	2a·Cl	8 (9 <sup>[4]</sup> )						
10	2b·Cl	21		R.N				
11	2c·Cl	7	NMe <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub> N	N NR <sub>2</sub>	R	to de	330
12	2d·Cl	8	Me <sub>2</sub> N-P=N-P <sup>+</sup> -N=P <sup>-</sup> -NMe <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub> NMe <sub>2</sub> 3 <sup>+</sup>	Me <sub>2</sub> R <sub>2</sub> N-P=N				
13	3·Cl	3.7		R <sub>2</sub> N		3		
14	4a·Cl	33/110 °C	5		ŃR <sub>2</sub>			
15	4b·Cl	477/110 °C		4a' 4b'	$ \begin{array}{l} R = Me \\ R, R = \mbox{-}(CH_2)_4 \mbox{-} \end{array} $	4a	- 4	b"

Table 1. Half lives of phase transfer catalysts in 50% NaOH/chlorobenzene at 100°C.

[a] CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as solvent.<sup>[4]</sup>

Schwesinger et al., *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2006, 12, 429 – 437.

# Increased base strength and stability come at cost of size/hydrophobicity.

$\begin{array}{c c} & NR_2 \\ R_2N - P - NR_2 \\ R_2N & N & NR_2 \\ q_N - P = N - P' - N - P - NR_2 \\ R_2N & NR_2 \\ R_2N - P - NR_2 \\ NR_2 \\ R_2 \\ MR_2 \\ \end{tabular}$	4a' 4b'
lon	<u># of atoms</u>
triflate	8
TMA	17
4b	165



### Stability of ammonium cations



While high T durability is less than ideal it is still potentially acceptable for some applications and better than expected several years ago. Due to high dependence of rates on T, specific temperatures are very important.

## Tokuyama Membranes



Time / hr

### Fig. 1 Thermal durability of AEMs at 80 $^\circ\!\!\!\mathrm{C}$

Membrane was ion-exchanged to OH<sup>-</sup> form before durability

test.  $\Box$  is kept in water,  $\triangle$  is kept in Methanol

Yanagi and Fukuta, ECS Trans., 16 (2), 257-262 (2008).



### Effect of Water Concentration, Dielectric Constant, and OH<sup>-</sup> Solvation



C. Macomber, J. Boncella, B. Pivovar, J. Rau, J. Therm. Anal. And Cal., 93(1), 225-229 (2008).

**EGA Study** 



#### **Computational study**

S. Chempath, B. Einsla, L. Pratt, C. Macomber, J. Boncella, J. Rau, B. Pivovar, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 112(9), 3179-3182 (2008).

#### B3LYP/6-311++g(2d,p) & PCM



### Water stabilizes hydroxide lessening S<sub>N</sub>2 attackers NID



## **Ylide Formation**



Isotopic labeling yields scrambled samples. Confirms presence of ylide species.

S<sub>N</sub>2 attack results in MeOH and trimethyl amine.

Ylide attack results in  $H_2O$  and  $(CH_3)_3N^+CH_2^-$ 

Ylide attack can result in degradation reactions





### NMR Degradation of Cations (0.1M) in 2M NaOH at 160°C

<sup>1</sup>Bauer, B.; Strathmann, H.; Effenberger, F. *Desalination* 1990, *79*, 125. <sup>2</sup>J.R. Varcoe, R.C.T. Slade, G.L. Wright and Y. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. B*,110, 21041 (2006). <sup>3</sup>Tomoi, M.; Yamaguchi, K.; Ando, R.; Kantake, Y.; Aosaki, Y.; Kubota, H. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 1997, *64*, 1161.

3 cations with improved stability compared to BTMA.
3x improvement in stability quantified.
160°C compromise between time and physical factors.

CONREL NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY

Unpublished data on this slide from B.R. Einsla, J.M. Boncella, B.S. Pivovar, et al.

### Membrane Stability beyond OH<sup>-</sup> attack

- Most of the effort to date has focused on chemical degradation
- PEM systems have been investigated extensively for degradation by additional mechanisms (Mechanical, RH, peroxide)
- As most current AEMs are based on HCs, lessons learned from PEMs suggest
  - Higher water uptakes and higher modulus leads to poorer durability to RH cycling and mechanical issues (exacerbated by reduced conductivity of AEMs and tendency to go to even higher water uptakes)
  - HC membranes also show lower stability in peroxide, but this may be less of an issue in base.





# Transport/Conductivity

- Relatively little exists regarding transport properties of AEMs, most of the focus has been on conductivity often as a function of water uptake and/or ion exchange capacity (IEC)
- PEM systems have been investigated extensively for properties such as permeability, water self diffusion coefficient, electro-osmotic drag and the dependence of these properties on chemistry and morphology.
- As most current AEMs are based on HCs, speculation can be made using PEM results as guides.





### Conductivity – Protons vs. Cations



At similar concentrations/mobilities, significantly increased ohmic losses should be expected.

Findings in membranes have reasonably reflected what might be expected (data at right, plus Tokuyama data shown in later presentation ).



## Conductivity vs Water Uptake

Yu Seung Kim, 2010 DOE AMR presentation, available at http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review10/fc043\_kim\_2010\_o\_web.pdf

#### Hydroxyl Conductivity Comparison at RT

UNCLASSIFIED

2010 DOE Hydrogen Program and Vehicle Technologies Program Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation Meeting



Los Alamos

Samp	le	Water uptake (wt.%)	IEC (meq./g)	σ (mS/cm)
P1C1ª		19	0.5	0.13
PSQNOH50 <sup>b</sup>		15	1.9	22
PSQNOH80 <sup>b</sup>		86	2.8	65
A901 <sup>c</sup>		15	2.6	38
A201 °		25	1.7	42
AAEN	1 <sup>d</sup>	40	-	27
TMBPA *		51	0.7	38
PSGOH <sup>f</sup>		17	1.2	12
PSGOH <sup>f</sup>		55	1.9	45
QAPSF1 <sup>g</sup>		50	-	18
QAPS	F2 <sup>g</sup>	100	-	21
SNL H	ІС ТМА	46	1.32	39
LANL	HC GB	8.5	1.2	21
	PFGB	15	0.9	41

#### Poly(arylene ether sulfone) – Suobo Zhang group

poly(arylene ether sulfone) - Paul A.Kohl group

#### PEM conductivity at RT



Figure 2

Proton conductivity as a function of (*a*) water uptake and (*b*) weight-based ion exchange capacity (IEC) of 32 sulfonated polymers. Proton conductivity under fully hydrated conditions at ambient temperature was taken from the literature (10–41).

Kim and Pivovar, Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng. 2010. 1:123-48.

- Conductivities of AEMs tend to be less than that of PEMs, both systems have trends for increasing conductivity with increasing IEC/water uptake.
- Key issues revolve around how important are ohmic loss and permeability, how thin can membranes be made, and what is the impact of increasing water uptake as a method to address IEC.



## Water Transport (NMR)

Klaus-Dieter Kreuer, S Paddison, ESpohr, and M Schuster;



**Figure 5.** Effective water self-diffusion coefficient at 25 °C ( $\Delta = 50$  ms) in the membrane as a function of ion exchange capacity: ( $\diamond$ ) Nafion, ( $\blacksquare$ ) PS-AEM, ( $\blacklozenge$ ) SDAPP, and (dashed line) liquid bulk water.

- A single example exists in the literature comparing PFG NMR water self diffusion values of an AEM to PEMs (left).
- While reasonably consistent with the HC PEM and other reported studies (highlighted right), additional studies of this type are necessary.
- Water permeability as well as electro-osmotic drag and reactant permeabilities are also often reported for PEMs. These values critical for modeling systems

### Chemistry and Morphology (PEM)

K.D. Kreuer/Journal of Membrane Science 185 (2001) 29-39





Fig. 12 Example comparison of the properties of a strongly and weakly phase separated system.

Hickner, M.A. and B.S. Pivovar, Fuel Cells, 2005. 5(2): p. 213-229

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the microstructures of NAFION and a sulfonated polyetherketone (derived from SAXS experiments [19]) illustrating the less pronounced hydrophobic/hydrophilic separation of the latter compared to the first.

 Numerous examples of how structure and chemistry have been used to interpret PEM properties. Trends observed likely consistent between cation and anion exchange membranes.



# **Chemistry and Morphology**

- Numerous tools not yet applied to AEMs
- Morphology
  - Stress-Strain (mm)
  - SAXS (nm  $\mu$ m)
  - Nano-Indentation (>10s nm)
  - Microscopy (Å nm)
  - Nuetron scattering (nm  $\mu$ m)
- <u>Chemistry</u>
  - IR/Raman/UV
  - NMR





### Break Out Session Focus Anion Exchange Membranes – Stability

Consider (Primary):

- Stability of Alkaline Membranes (How long do today's materials last, what is reasonable intermediate target, what is ultimate goal – compare to PEMs)
- Importance/Effect of conditions (Temperature, Hydration, Counterion, Peroxide?, Mechanical, RH cycling, other?)
- Cations: Traditional/Alternative or Advanced
- Membranes: Backbones (systems explored, impact on durability)

(Secondary):

• Issues that crossover with Session 2 (for example impact of Water Uptake or phase separation on stability)





### Break Out Session Focus

Anion Exchange Membranes – Transport/Conductivity

Consider (Primary):

- Conductivity (Today's materials, targets, impact of counterion, comparison with PEMs)
- Water transport (Today's materials, targets, impact of counterion, comparison with PEMs)
- Water Uptake (Today's materials, targets, impact of counterion, comparison with PEMs)
- Membrane Chemistries and how they impact structure and transport properties

(Secondary):

• Issues that crossover with Session 1 (for example impact of Water Uptake or phase separation on stability)

