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Who is Clean Line Energy Partners?

Clean Line Energy’s Projects

- Founded in 2009
- Headquartered in Houston
- 37 full-time employees
- Four projects under active development
- Investors have a long term vision and patient capital

Clean Line Energy Partners ("Clean Line") develops long-haul, high-voltage direct current ("HVDC") transmission lines to connect the best wind resources in North America to load centers that lack access to low-cost renewable power.

- HVDC is the lowest cost, least land intensive, most reliable transmission technology to integrate large volumes of renewable energy.
- Clean Line’s four projects (of lengths between 550-900 miles each) present up to $10 billion in new infrastructure investment and will supply over 17,500 MW in wind generation capacity.
Improving wind turbine technology is increasing capacity factors and reducing generation costs

Net Capacity Factor\(^1\)
At 8.5 meters per second wind speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotor Diameter</th>
<th>77</th>
<th>82.5</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hub Height</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Assumptions: shear alpha = 0.2, Rayleigh distribution, 17% losses from GCF to NCF

CLEAN LINE ENERGY PARTNERS
Lowest cost energy still comes from the windiest areas

Declining technology costs combined with increased efficiency is pushing the price for renewables to new lows, intensifying competition to build transmission to connect low-cost regions with demand

North America Wind Energy Advisory – March 2012
Wind provides fuel diversity with natural gas exposure increasing

**Generation by fuel source**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Oil</th>
<th>Waste</th>
<th>Hydroelectric</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Wind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EIA fuel cost**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Oil</th>
<th>Waste</th>
<th>Hydroelectric</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Wind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Oil: 34%
- Waste: 0%
- Hydroelectric: 49%
- Gas: 47%
- Wind: 42%

Does not include cost of regulatory compliance

Source: PJM 2011 and 2012 State of the Market Report, EIA

---

**Coal Cost to Utilities**

- 2010: 50%
- 2011: 40%
- 2012: 30%
- 2013: 20%
- 2014: 10%

Source: PJM 2011 and 2012 State of the Market Report, EIA
HVDC is the most efficient method to transmit large amounts of electricity over long distances

- **More efficient** — Lower line losses
- **Lower cost** — Requires less infrastructure, results in lower costs and lower prices for delivered renewable energy
- **Improved reliability** — Control of power flow enhances system stability and lowers cost of integrating wind
- **Smaller footprint** — Use narrower right-of-way than equivalent Alternating Current (AC)
HVDC transmission brings a unique set of advantages for wind integration

- Access to high capacity factor wind resources
- Appropriate technology for long-distance transmission
- Move variability to larger balancing areas that are better suited to integrate large amounts of wind
- Transmit large volumes of renewables with high reliability and direct control
In the US, the Joint Coordinated System Plan (JCSP) identified 7 HVDC lines to move wind energy.
Eastern Interconnection Planning Collaborative (EIPC) identified 6 HVDC lines to move wind energy

Source: EIPC TOTF 2012
SPP ITP20 Future 3 (Wind + Exports) identified HVDC as significant part of the most economical solution
Technical Challenges of Implementation

- Low short-circuit ratios mean weak system interactions on windward end
  - SCR of 3.0 or greater is best. Most Clean Line projects are less than 2.0. Dynamic reactive equipment and robust conversion concerns.
  - Who wins in voltage control? Wind farms or converter station? Possible need for wide area control and coordination with high speed communications.

- Large power injections on the load end
  - System frequency events, operating concerns

- Variability of resources
  - Wind integration concerns – lots of scientific answers, policy makers don’t always like physics
Weak grid interactions may can be addressed through use of Capacitor Commutated Converters (CCC)...

- Reduces/removes need for synchronous condensers.
- Untested on overhead lines and at such high voltages
- Only a “slight” premium over standard LCC, but huge savings over adding synchronous condensers
...or possibly by applying VSC technology in new schemes

- “Tri-pole” configuration
- Three independent symmetrical monopoles
- Each pole rated ~1100 MW
- Independent placement of terminals
- Overhead still requires either full bridge converter or high speed HVDC breaker

- Bi-pole configuration with parallel converters.
- Each pole rated ~2400 MW for total power of ~4800 MW
- Same configuration as HVDC classic except the need for parallel converters to achieve more than 2200 MW.
- Overhead still requires full bridge converters or high speed HVDC breaker
**HVDC addresses winds’ variability challenge by enabling import of uncorrelated resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>KS</th>
<th>MO</th>
<th>IL</th>
<th>IN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wind blows at different times in different places

Geographic diversity of wind resources helps to reduce overall variability and facilitates wind integration

Kansas and Indiana wind power output are not correlated

"Low correlation": between 0.0 and 0.25; "Medium correlation": between 0.25 and 0.5; "High correlation": between 0.5 and 1.0

Source: Eastern Wind Integration and Transmission Study, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2010; Clean Line analysis
Large injections can create contingency issues that need to be addressed through planning...

- Significant coordinated planning must be involved with these projects.
- N-1, N-1-1, N-2 concerns on both load end and receiving end from a planning perspective.
- Loss of 1750 or 3500 MW of generation on the eastern interconnect.
...but integrating wind into robust systems would not require large increases in operating reserves

In a net load analysis, wind generation is treated as negative load. Using time series data for the system load and generation, a distribution of step changes is created. Comparing the distributions with and without the additional generation provides insight into the wind’s impact on the system.

Three times the standard deviation (σ) contains 99.7% of a normal distribution; therefore, the difference of the load and the net load three-sigma variations is a good approximation for the additional reserve requirements for integration.

AWS Truepower performed a net load analysis to estimate the increase in operating reserves needed to integrate 3500 MW of wind energy into the TVA and surrounding systems. The table below shows the results for 1) all 3500 MW absorbed by TVA and 2) 1750 MW absorbed by TVA and the rest delivered to neighbors.
Clean Line projects could also aid integration by enabling interregional capacity sharing.

Grain Belt will interconnect to strong points on both the SPP and PJM grids.

AEP and SPP load profiles are not highly correlated ($R = 0.67$). AEP and SPP load peaks occur at different times.

HVDC infrastructure would enable ancillary services sharing.

Source: FERC Form 714 2006 Load Data