United States
Department of Energy

Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability

Shell Energy North America (US), L.P

OE Docket No. EA-338-A

Order Authorizing Electricity Exports to Mexico

Order No. EA-338-A

May 09, 2013

Shell Energy

Order No. EA-338-A
Authorizing Electricity Exports to Mexico

I. BACKGROUND

The Department of Energy (the Department or DOE) regulates electricity exports from the United States to foreign countries in accordance with the Federal Power Act (FPA) § 202(e) (16 U.S.C. § 824a(e)) and regulations thereunder (10 C.F.R. §§ 205.300 *et seq.*). This authority was transferred to DOE under §§ 301(b) and 402(f) of the DOE Organization Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 7151(b), 7172(f)).

An entity that seeks to export electricity must obtain an order from DOE authorizing it to do so. Under FPA § 202(e), DOE "shall issue such order upon application unless, after opportunity for hearing, it finds that the proposed transmission would impair the sufficiency of electric supply within the United States or would impede or tend to impede the coordination in the public interest of facilities subject to the jurisdiction of [DOE]." 16 U.S.C. § 824a(e). DOE has discretion to condition the order as necessary or appropriate; the Department "may by its order grant such application in whole or in part, with such modifications and upon such terms and conditions as the [DOE] may find necessary or appropriate, and may from time to time, after opportunity for hearing and for good cause shown, make such supplemental orders in the premises as it may find necessary or appropriate." *Id.*

A. Shell Energy's Application to Renew Its Existing Export Authorization

Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. (Shell Energy or applicant) is a power marketer seeking renewal of its existing export authorization to sell energy to Mexico, originally granted in OE Docket No. EA-338 in 2008. In its application for renewal filed on February 5, 2013 (Renewal Request or RR), Shell Energy requested a 5-year extension of its export authorization. RR at 1.

Shell Energy is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Shell Oil Company, and Shell is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Royal Dutch Shell plc, which is a public limited company incorporated in England and Wales. RR at 1. As a power marketer, Shell Energy owns no electric generating or transmission facilities and has no franchise service area. RR at 2. It seeks only to buy and sell energy on the wholesale market pursuant to voluntary contracts with its suppliers and customers. RR at 2. For sales within the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), Shell Energy conducts business under market-based rate authority granted by FERC and outlined in Shell Energy's electric tariff. *See* FERC Docket No. ER08-656-000.

The electric energy that Shell Energy proposes to export to Mexico will be surplus power purchased from electric utilities and other entities. RR at 2. Because Shell Energy does not own transmission facilities, the electric energy that it proposes to export will be wheeled over transmission facilities owned and operated by other parties. Under this model, Shell Energy recognizes that it must comply with all applicable North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) guidelines in all transactions pursuant to this export authorization. RR at 3.

B. Procedural History

On February 5, 2013, Shell Energy filed an application with DOE. The application sought a renewal of export authorization EA-338 for an additional 5-year term. On March 7, 2013, DOE published notice of the application in the *Federal Register*. 78 Fed. Reg. 14778 (March 7, 2013). DOE asked for any interested parties to submit comments on the application by April 8, 2013. No comments were submitted.

II. DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

DOE is statutorily obligated under FPA § 202(e) to grant requests for export authorization unless the Department finds that the proposed export would negatively impact either: (i) the sufficiency of electric supply, or (ii) the coordination of the electric grid. Regarding the first exception criterion, DOE shall approve an electricity export application "unless, after opportunity for hearing, it finds that the proposed transmission would impair the sufficiency of electric supply within the United States …." 16 U.S.C. § 824a(e). DOE has interpreted this criterion to mean that sufficient generating capacity and electric energy must exist, such that the export could be made without compromising the energy needs of the exporting region, including serving all load obligations in the region while maintaining appropriate reserve levels. *E.g., BP Energy Co.*, OE Order No. EA-314, 1-2 (Feb. 22, 2007), *renewed*, OE Order No. EA-314-A, 2 (May 3, 2012).

Under the second exception criterion, DOE shall approve an electricity export application "unless, after opportunity for hearing, it finds that the proposed transmission would … impede or tend to impede the coordination in the public interest of facilities subject to the jurisdiction of [DOE]." 16 U.S.C. § 824a(e). DOE has interpreted this criterion primarily as an issue of the operational reliability of the domestic electric transmission system. Accordingly, the export must not compromise transmission system security and reliability. *E.g., BP Energy Co.*, OE Order No. EA-314, 2 (Feb. 22, 2007), *renewed*, OE Order No. EA-314-A, 2 (May 3, 2012).

A. Shell Energy's Requested Authorization Will Not Impair the Sufficiency of Electric Supply in the U.S.

Sufficiency of supply, the first exception criterion, addresses whether regional electricity needs are met in the current market. DOE has analyzed this issue from both an economic and a reliability perspective. The economic perspective concerns the supply available to wholesale market participants. The reliability perspective focuses on preventing problems that could result from inadequate supplies. Taken together, DOE examines whether existing electric supply is freely available via market mechanisms, and whether potential reliability issues linked to supply problems are mitigated by reliability enforcement mechanisms.

From an economic perspective, DOE finds that the wholesale energy markets are sufficiently robust to make supplies available to exporters and other market participants serving United States regions along the Canadian and Mexican borders. Following enactment of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, Pub. L. No. 102-486, which encouraged FERC to foster competition in the wholesale energy markets through open access to transmission facilities, markets developed across the United States to provide opportunities for a more efficient availability of supply. Subsequently, the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-58, reaffirmed the Government's commitment to competition in wholesale power markets as national policy. FERC has continued to encourage the expansion of wholesale markets through its orders to remove barriers[1] and to ensure markets are functioning properly.[2] As a result, market participants have access to traditional bilateral contracts, as well as organized electricity markets run by regional transmission organizations or independent system operators (RTOs/ISOs). FERC oversees these interstate wholesale electricity markets across most of the lower 48 states. The Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) manages the Texas Interconnection. Absent an indication in the record that the geographic markets relevant to this export authorization analysis are flawed and result in uneconomic exports that jeopardize regional supply, DOE finds that the proposed transmission for export does not impair the sufficiency of electric supply within the United States.

From a reliability perspective,[3] DOE focuses on the prevention of cascading outages and other problems that could result from inadequate resources.[4] Reliability issues are addressed by the authority granted to FERC through the Energy Policy Act of 2005. That Act added § 215 to the Federal Power Act. It also directed FERC to certify

---

[1] *E.g., Preventing Undue Discrimination and Preference in Transmission Service*, Order No. 890, 72 Fed. Reg. 12,266 (Mar. 15, 2007), FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,241, *order on reh'g*, Order No. 890-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,261 (2007), *order on reh'g*, Order No. 890-B, 123 FERC ¶ 61,299 (2008), *order on reh'g*, Order No. 890-C, 126 FERC ¶ 61,228 (2009).

[2] *E.g., Wholesale Competition in Regions with Organized Electric Markets*, Order No. 719, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,281 (2008), *as amended*, 126 FERC ¶ 61,261, *order on reh'g*, Order No. 719-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,292, *reh'g denied*, Order No. 719-B, 129 FERC ¶ 61,252 (2009).

[3] A related reliability analysis follows in the next section of this order.

[4] This focus should not be confused with resource adequacy planning and capacity requirements that have traditionally been the domain of state regulatory commissions.

an electric reliability organization and develop procedures for establishing, approving, and enforcing mandatory electric reliability standards. 16 U.S.C. § 824o. FERC certified NERC in 2006 as the electric reliability organization and authorized it to establish and enforce reliability standards for the bulk power system (BPS) in the United States. The reliability standards address issues such as resource and demand balancing, emergency preparedness and operations, interchange scheduling and coordination, and interconnection reliability operations and coordination.

Through enforcement by FERC, NERC, and eight Regional Entities overseen by NERC,[5] all bulk power system owners, operators, and users are held responsible for complying with reliability standards. The applicant here is one such bulk power system user. Shell Energy is registered as a Purchasing-Selling Entity,[6] meaning its proposed export actions would be subject to applicable reliability standards. Moreover, the standards are structured so that many entities have overlapping responsibility for the electric grid, thereby resulting in several layers of reliability monitoring. Entities such as reliability coordinators and balancing authorities coordinate power generation and transmission among multiple utilities to serve demand within an integrated regional wholesale market. One of the principal functions of these entities is to schedule adequate generating and reserve capacity. This allows them to serve demand at the regional level and to ensure that there are sufficient power supplies to maintain system reliability. Reliability oversight is designed to benefit the overall region; the reliability standards explicitly place the interests of the interconnection before the interests of any particular entity such as an exporter. *See* Reliability Standard IRO-001-1.1 R9. DOE finds that FERC's comprehensive enforcement mechanism ensures that entities have a strong incentive both to maintain system resources and to prevent reliability problems that could result from movement of electric supplies through export. As a result of this reliability oversight, DOE further finds that the sufficiency of supply is not impaired by Shell Energy's proposed export authorization.

DOE's sufficiency of supply findings are magnified when considering the situation of power marketers. Before the current role of power marketers emerged in the industry, the FPA § 202(e) inquiry into sufficiency of supply had a narrower focus and was designed for an applicant that was a vertically integrated utility[7] with an obligation to serve native load. Under that traditional scenario, the inquiry regarding sufficiency of supply logically sought to confirm that exports would be surplus to the needs of a vertically integrated utility's native load obligations and reserve margins. As explained in DOE's notice of the first application by a power marketer for export authorization, the sufficiency of supply inquiry becomes unnecessary when applied to power marketers:

---

[5] The eight entities are the Florida Reliability Coordinating Council, Midwest Reliability Organization, Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Reliability*First* Corporation, SERC Reliability Corporation, Southwest Power Pool Regional Entity, Texas Reliability Entity, and the Western Electricity Coordinating Council.

[6] NERC Compliance Registry List (April 30, 2013), *available at* http://www.nerc.com/page.php?cid=3|25.

[7] A "vertically integrated utility" is a "single regulated utility" which provides "electricity generation, transmission, and distribution for a particular geographic area." *Wis. Pub. Power, Inc. v. FERC*, 493 F.3d 239, 246 (D.C. Cir. 2007).

> The applicant also is required to demonstrate that it would have sufficient generating capacity to sustain the proposed export under the terms and conditions of its export agreement, while still complying with any established reserve criteria. Since marketers generally could not be seen as having any "native load" requirements, the latter criterion of maintaining sufficient reserve margins appears inappropriate and unnecessary in this instance.

59 Fed. Reg. 54,900 (Nov. 2, 1994). Power marketers like Shell Energy do not have franchised service areas and, consequently, do not have native load obligations like a traditional local distribution utility that could be impaired by exports.

In sum, market mechanisms and reliability oversight protect against Shell Energy exports that would jeopardize domestic sufficiency of supply. Therefore, an export by Shell Energy would not trigger the first exception criterion of FPA § 202(e) regarding the sufficiency of electric supply within the United States.

B. Shell Energy's Requested Authorization Will Not Adversely Affect Either the Reliability or the Security of the U.S. Electric Transmission System

Reliability, the second exception criterion under FPA § 202(e), addresses operational reliability and security of the domestic electric transmission system. In evaluating the operational reliability impacts of export proposals, DOE has used a variety of methodologies and information, including established industry guidelines, operating procedures, and technical studies where available and appropriate. When determining these impacts, it is convenient to separate the export transaction into two parts: (i) moving the export from the source to a border system that owns the international transmission connection, and (ii) moving the export through that border system and across the border.

Moving an export to a border system necessarily involves the use of the bulk power system. As noted in the preceding section, bulk power system reliability concerns are addressed under the FPA by FERC and NERC and involve the enforcement of mandatory reliability standards. These standards ensure that all owners, operators, and users of the BPS have an obligation to maintain system security and reliability. The standards are structured so that there are always entities with broader responsibilities than the applicant, such as reliability coordinators and balancing authorities, to keep a constant watch over the domestic transmission system.

To deliver the export from the source to a border system, the applicant must make the necessary commercial arrangements and obtain sufficient transmission capacity to wheel the exported energy to the border system. Outside of the ERCOT region in Texas, the applicant would be expected to follow FERC orders regarding open transmission access and to schedule delivery of the export with the appropriate RTO, ISO, and/or balancing authority (formerly the control area operator). Within the ERCOT region, the electric power would be transmitted to the border system in accordance with the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT) regulations and ERCOT Protocols.

It is the responsibility of the RTO, ISO, and/or balancing authority to schedule the delivery of the export consistent with established and mandatory operational reliability criteria. ERCOT has that responsibility within the ERCOT region in Texas. During each step of the process of obtaining transmission service, the owners and/or operators of the transmission facilities will evaluate the impact on the system and schedule the movement of the export *only* if it would not violate established operating reliability standards. As a failsafe, the reliability coordinator in each region has the authority and responsibility to curtail, cancel, or deny scheduled flows to avoid shortages or to restore necessary energy and capacity reserves. *See* Reliability Standard EOP-002-3.1 R1 ("Each Balancing Authority and Reliability Coordinator shall have the responsibility and clear decision-making authority to take whatever actions are needed to ensure the reliability of its respective area and shall exercise specific authority to alleviate capacity and energy emergencies.").

Specifically, the reliability coordinator has the authority to suspend exports if the electric energy would be needed to support the regional power grid. *See* Reliability Standard IRO-001-1.1 R4 ("The Reliability Coordinator shall have clear decision-making authority to act and to direct actions...to preserve the integrity and reliability of the Bulk Electric System. These actions shall be taken without delay, but no longer than 30 minutes.") & R8 ("Transmission Operators, Balancing Authorities, Generator Operators, Transmission Service Providers, Load-Serving Entities, and Purchasing-Selling Entities shall comply with Reliability Coordinator directives unless such actions would violate safety, equipment, or regulatory or statutory requirements.").

DOE has determined that the existing industry procedures for obtaining transmission capacity on the domestic transmission system provide adequate assurance that a particular export will not cause an operational reliability problem. Therefore, Shell Energy's export authorization has been conditioned to ensure that the export will not cause operating parameters on regional transmission systems to fall outside of established industry reliability criteria, or cause or exacerbate a transmission operating problem on the U.S. electric power supply system (*see* Order below, paragraphs C, D, and I).

DOE makes this finding with the understanding that mandatory reliability standards and market restructuring have obviated the need for standard transmission studies. Before the electric power industry was restructured, the only entities able to export were those electric utilities that were contiguous with the U.S. international border that owned international transmission facilities. The exported energy generally originated from within the exporter's system, and standard transmission studies could determine the impact of the export on regional electric systems. In recent years, however, deregulation of wholesale power markets and the introduction of open-access transmission expanded the scope of entities capable of exporting electric energy. Today, at the time it submits its application to DOE, the typical exporter cannot identify the source of the exported energy or the electric systems that might be called upon to provide transmission service to the border. Consequently, traditional transmission studies cannot be used to determine the impact of such exports on the operational reliability of the regional electric transmission system.

The second part of this reliability inquiry, addressing the transmission of the export through a border system and across the border, is a question of whether the border system is reliable and secure. To a large extent, this question is addressed by the jurisdiction of NERC. NERC and Regional Entities—including the Midwest Reliability Organization (MRO), the Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC), and the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC)—oversee the United States-Canadian border system and a significant part of the United States-Mexican border system. Those border systems are generally subject to the same reliability standards as domestic systems. *See* Reliability Standards – Enforcement Dates, *http://www.nerc.com/filez/standards/Enforcement_Dates.html.*

DOE also relies on the technical reliability studies submitted in conjunction with an application for a DOE-issued Presidential permit[8] to construct a new international transmission line. As DOE has previously reviewed technical reliability studies submitted with Presidential permit applications,[9] DOE does not need to perform additional impact assessments here, provided the maximum rate of transmission for all exports through a border system does not exceed the authorized limit of the system (subparagraph (A)(3) of this Order). In its Renewal Request, Shell Energy committed to complying with all reliability limits on border facilities. RR at 3. The second part of the reliability inquiry is therefore satisfied by DOE regulatory oversight in addition to NERC's reliability enforcement.

In sum, Shell Energy is approved to export electricity to Mexico over any authorized international transmission facility that is appropriate for open access transmission by third parties. This includes the facilities of Generadora del Desierto S.A. de C.V. and the Western Area Power Administration, which have been authorized but not yet constructed and placed into operation. Although a Presidential permit has been issued for these facilities, these facilities cannot be utilized for export until they are placed into commercial operation.

[8] DOE issues Presidential permits pursuant to Executive Order 10,485, as amended by Executive Order 12,038. *See* 10 C.F.R. §§ 205.320-205.329.

[9] *E.g., AEP Texas Central Co.,* OE Order No. PP-317, 2-3 (Jan. 22, 2007).

III. FINDINGS AND DECISION

A. Shell Energy Meets the Statutory Requirements to Export Electric Energy to Mexico

As explained above, DOE has assessed the impact that the proposed export would have on the reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system. DOE has determined that the export of electric energy to Mexico by Shell Energy, as ordered below, would not impair the sufficiency of electric power supply within the United States and would not impede or tend to impede the coordination in the public interest of facilities within the meaning FPA § 202(e).

B. Shell Energy Qualifies for a NEPA Categorical Exclusion for Exports of Electric Energy

Shell Energy's Renewal Request qualifies for DOE's categorical exclusion for exports of electric energy under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4332(2) *et seq*. DOE's regulations set forth this categorical exclusion, codified as "B4.2," as follows:

> Export of electric energy as provided by Section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act over existing transmission lines or using transmission system changes that are themselves categorically excluded.

10 C.F.R. Part 1021, App. B to Subpart D, § B4.2.

DOE has determined that actions in this category do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment and that, therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement normally is required. 10 C.F.R. § 1021.410(a). Further, in 2011, DOE formally reviewed its NEPA regulations and categorical exclusions, and determined that it was appropriate to retain the B4.2 categorical exclusion unchanged. *See* National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures, 76 Fed. Reg. 214, 217 (Jan. 3, 2011); National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures, 76 Fed. Reg. 9981, 9982 (Feb. 23, 2011).

To invoke this categorical exclusion, DOE must determine that, in relevant part, "[t]here are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal," and that "[t]he proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion." 10 C.F.R. § 1021.410(b)(2), (3). "Extraordinary circumstances" include "unique situations" such as "scientific controversy about the environmental effects of the proposal." *Id.* at § 1021.410(b)(2). DOE finds that Shell Energy's Renewal Request does not present such a circumstance, nor has it been segmented for purposes of this exclusion. Shell Energy seeks to deliver electricity over existing transmission lines, which fits squarely within the B4.2 categorical exclusion. For these reasons, DOE will not require more detailed NEPA review in connection with this application. *See, e.g.*, 10 C.F.R. §§ 1021.400(a)(1), 410; 40 C.F.R. § 1501.4(a).

IV. DATA COLLECTION AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The responsibility for the data collection and reporting under orders authorizing electricity exports to a foreign country currently rests with the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) within DOE. EIA suspended data collection effective June 1, 2011, in anticipation of a transition to a new reporting Form EIA-111, "Quarterly Electricity Imports and Exports Report". EIA intends to resume data collection using Form EIA-111 in 2013. Once data collection resumes, EIA expects respondents to report import and export data from June 1, 2011 to present.

Additionally, any change to the tariff of an entity with export authorization must be provided to DOE's Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability. 10 C.F.R. § 205.308(b).

V. COMPLIANCE

Obtaining a valid order from DOE authorizing the export of electricity under FPA § 202(e) is a necessary condition before engaging in the export. Failure to obtain such an order, or continuing to export after the expiration of such an order, may result in a denial of authorization to export in the future and subject the exporter to sanctions and penalties under the FPA. DOE expects transmitting utilities owning border facilities and entities charged with the operational control of those border facilities, such as ISOs, RTOs, or balancing authorities, to verify that companies seeking to schedule an electricity export have the requisite authority from DOE to export such power.

DOE expects Shell Energy to abide by the terms and conditions established for its authority to export electric energy to Mexico, as set forth below. DOE intends to monitor Shell Energy's compliance with these terms and conditions, including the requirement in paragraph G of this Order that Shell Energy create and preserve full and complete records and file reports with EIA as discussed above.

A violation of any of those terms and conditions, including the failure to submit timely and accurate reports, may result in the loss of authority to export electricity and subject Shell Energy to any applicable sanctions and penalties under the FPA.

VI. OPEN ACCESS POLICY

An export authorization issued under FPA § 202(e) does not impose a requirement on transmitting utilities to provide service. However, DOE expects transmitting utilities that own border facilities to provide access across the border in accordance with the principles of comparable open access and non-discrimination contained in the FPA and articulated in FERC Order No. 888 (Promoting Wholesale Competition Through Open Access Non-Discriminatory Transmission Services by Public Utilities, FERC Statutes and Regulations 31,036 (1996)), as amended. The actual rates, terms and conditions of transmission service should be consistent with the non-discrimination principles of the FPA and the transmitting utility's Open-Access Transmission Tariff on file with FERC.

All recipients of export authorizations, including owners of border facilities for which Presidential permits have been issued, are required by their export authorization to conduct operations in accordance with the applicable principles of the FPA and any pertinent rules, regulations, directives, policy statements, and orders adopted or issued thereunder, which include the comparable open access provisions of FERC Order No. 888, as amended. Cross-border electric trade ought to be subject to the same principles of comparable open access and non-discrimination that apply to transmission in interstate commerce. *See Enron Power Marketing, Inc. v. El Paso Elec. Co.*, 77 FERC ¶ 61,013 (1996), *reh'g denied*, 83 FERC ¶ 61,213 (1998)). Thus, DOE expects owners of border facilities to comply with the same principles of comparable open access and non-discrimination that apply to the domestic, interstate transmission of electricity.

Recipients of authorizations for Mexican exports from the ERCOT region in Texas and owners of border facilities in the ERCOT region are required by their export order or Presidential permit to comply with all applicable rules and regulations of the PUCT and ERCOT Protocols. Therefore, DOE expects transmitting border utilities in the ERCOT region to provide open access in accordance with those applicable PUCT rules and ERCOT Protocols.

VII. ORDER

Accordingly, pursuant to FPA § 202(e) and the Rules and Regulations issued thereunder (10 C.F.R. §§ 205.300-309), it is hereby ordered that Shell Energy is authorized to export electric energy to Mexico under the following terms and conditions:

(A) The electric energy exported by Shell Energy pursuant to this Order may be delivered to Mexico over any authorized international transmission facility that is appropriate for open access transmission by third parties in accordance with the export limits authorized by DOE.

(1) The following international transmission facilities located at the United States border with Mexico are currently authorized by Presidential permit and available for open access transmission[10]:

Present Owner	Location	Voltage	Presidential Permit No.[11]
AEP Texas Central Company	Laredo, TX	138 kV	PP-317
		230 kV	PP-317
	Brownsville, TX	138 kV	PP-94
		69 kV	
	Eagle Pass, TX	138 kV	PP-219
El Paso Electric Company	Diablo, NM	115 kV	PP-92
	Ascarate, TX	115 kV	PP-48
Generadora del Desierto – WAPA	San Luis, AZ	230 kV	PP-304[12]
San Diego Gas & Electric	Miguel, CA	230 kV	PP-68
	Imperial Valley, CA	230 kV	PP-79
Sharyland Utilities, Inc.	McAllen, TX	138 kV	PP-285
Baja California Power, Inc.	Imperial Valley, CA	230 kV	PP-234
Comision Federal de Electricidad	Falcom Dam, TX	138 kV	NA
	Redford, TX	7.2 kV	PP-51
	Presidio, TX	13.8 kV	PP-03

(2) The international transmission facilities consisting of a 138-kV line at Falcon Dam in Falcon Heights, Texas, were authorized by treaty signed February 3, 1944, between the United States and Mexico entitled "Utilization of Waters of Colorado and Tijuana Rivers and of the Rio Grande" and are available for open access transmission.

(3) The following are the authorized export limits for the international transmission lines listed above in subparagraphs (A)(1) and (2):

[10] This Order authorizes the export of electricity over any "authorized international transmission facility," which is intended to include both large transmission lines and smaller distribution lines that have received a Presidential permit. However, the list in subparagraph (A)(1) of current facilities only includes transmission lines.

[11] These Presidential permit numbers refer to the generic DOE permit number and are intended to include any subsequent amendments to the permit authorizing the facility.

[12] These transmission facilities have been authorized but not yet constructed or placed in operation.

(a) Exports by Shell Energy shall not cause the total exports on a combination of the 138 kV facilities at the Falcon Dam, the facilities authorized by Presidential Permits PP-94, PP-219 (issued to AEP Texas Central Company (AEPTCC)), and the facilities authorized by PP-317 (issued to AEPTCC) to exceed an instantaneous transmission rate of 600 MW during those times when the AEPTCC system is at a minimum load condition. During all other load conditions on the AEPTCC system, exports by Shell Energy over the facilities identified in this subparagraph shall not cause the maximum rate of transmission to exceed:

(i) 300 MW for the 138 kV and 69 kV facilities authorized by Presidential Permit PP-94; or,

(ii) 50 MW total for the 138 kV facilities at Falcon Dam and those authorized by Presidential Permit PP-219; or

(iii) 300 MW for the 138 kV and 230 kV facilities at Laredo authorized by Presidential Permit PP-317.

(b) Exports made by Shell Energy pursuant to this Order shall not cause the total exports on a combination of the facilities authorized by Presidential Permits PP-48 and PP-92 (issued to El Paso Electric Company), to exceed an instantaneous transmission rate of 200 MW. All exports made pursuant to this Order must be consistent with the operating limitations of the Southern New Mexico Import Nomogram.

(c) Exports made by Shell Energy pursuant to this Order shall not cause the total exports on the facilities authorized by Presidential Permit No. PP-304 (issued to Generadora del Desierto and Western Area Power Administration) to exceed an instantaneous transmission rate of 550 MW.

(d) Exports made by Shell Energy pursuant to this Order shall not cause the total exports on a combination of the facilities authorized by Presidential Permit PP-68 and PP-79 (issued to San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E)), to exceed an instantaneous transmission rate of 400 megawatts (MW). All exports made pursuant to this Order must be consistent with the operating limitations established by the SDG&E/Comision Federal de Electricidad operating nomogram and the Southern California Import Transmission Nomogram.

(e) Exports made by Shell Energy pursuant to this Order, using the transmission facilities authorized by Presidential Permit PP-285 (issued to Sharyland), shall not cause the maximum instantaneous transmission rate to exceed 150 MW.

(B) Changes by DOE to the export limits in other orders shall result in a concomitant change to the export limits contained in subparagraph (A)(3) of this Order. Notice of these changes will be provided to Shell Energy.

(C) Shell Energy shall obtain any and all other Federal and state regulatory approvals required to execute any power exports to Mexico. The scheduling and delivery of electricity exports to Mexico shall comply with all reliability criteria, standards, and guidelines of NERC, reliability coordinators, Regional Entities, RTOs, ISOs, including ERCOT, and/or balancing authorities, or their successors, as appropriate, on such terms as expressed therein, and as such criteria, standards, and guidelines may be amended from time to time.

(D) Exports made pursuant to this authorization shall be conducted in accordance with the applicable provisions of the FPA and any pertinent rules, regulations, directives, policy statements, and orders adopted or issued thereunder, including the comparable open access provisions of FERC Order No. 888, as amended, and, conducted in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the PUCT and ERCOT Protocols.

(E) The authorization herein granted may be modified from time to time or terminated by further order of DOE. In no event shall such authorization to export over a particular transmission facility identified in subparagraphs (A)(1) and (2) extend beyond the date of termination of the Presidential permit or treaty authorizing such facility.

(F) This authorization shall be without prejudice to the authority of any state or state regulatory commission for the exercise of any lawful authority vested in such state or state regulatory commission.

(G) Shell Energy shall make and preserve full and complete records with respect to the electric energy transactions between the United States and Mexico. Shell Energy shall collect and submit the data to EIA as required by and in accordance with the procedures of Form EIA-111, "Quarterly Electricity Imports and Exports Report." The data reporting requirements of this section shall not take effect until EIA begins operation of the new survey.

(H) In accordance with 10 C.F.R. § 205.305, this export authorization is not transferable or assignable, except in the event of the involuntary transfer of this authority by operation of law. Provided written notice of the involuntary transfer is given to DOE within 30 days, this authorization shall remain in effect temporarily. The authorization shall terminate unless an application for a new export authorization has been received by DOE within 60 days of the involuntary transfer. Upon receipt by DOE of such an application, this existing authorization shall continue in effect pending a decision on the new application. In the event of a proposed voluntary transfer of this authority to export electricity, the transferee and the transferor shall file a joint application for a new export authorization, together with a statement of the reasons for the transfer.

(I) Nothing in this Order is intended to prevent the transmission system operator from being able to reduce or suspend the exports authorized herein, as necessary and appropriate, whenever a continuation of those exports would cause or exacerbate a transmission operating problem or would negatively impact the security or reliability of the transmission system.

(J) Shell Energy has a continuing obligation to give DOE written notification as soon as practicable of any prospective or actual changes of a substantive nature in the circumstances upon which this Order was based, including but not limited to changes in authorized entity contact information.

(K) This authorization shall be effective as of May 5, 2013, and remain in effect for a period of five (5) years from that date. Application for renewal of this authorization may be filed within six months prior to its expiration. Failure to provide DOE with at least sixty (60) days to process a renewal application and provide adequate opportunity for public comment may result in a gap in Shell Energy's authority to export electricity.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 09, 2013.

Jon Worthington
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Office of Electricity Delivery and
Energy Reliability