

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
Management and Operation of the Savannah River Site
Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 89233224RNA000008**

Answers to relevant questions received in response to the subject NNSA RFP are included below. NNSA has attempted to group questions by relevant RFP section. Some questions submitted by prospective Offerors related to multiple topics; accordingly, prospective Offerors should carefully read all responses in this document and not simply rely on RFP section headings. Finally, please note the answers are provided for the convenience of Offerors, and the final RFP and any amendments take precedence over the answers provided herein.

Sections B – H – Part I Schedule

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
1	<p>B-1(d), B-1(e), B-2(a), B-2(e) and B-3(g)(2). CLIN 0004 and CLIN 0005: Capital Construction Projects Comment: Per FAR 43.203 (RFO), bilateral modifications are used to, among other things, reflect agreements of the parties modifying the terms of contracts, whereas unilateral modifications are used for actions such as making administrative changes; issuing change orders; making changes authorized by clauses other than a changes clause (e.g., Property clause, Options clause, or Suspension of Work clause); and issuing termination notices.</p>	<p>Sections B-1(d) and B-1(e) state that the Government will negotiate cost/price and fee (if applicable) for selected Capital Construction Projects under CLINs 0004 and 0005. Likewise, Section B-2(a), Section B-2(e)(1), and Section B-3(e) state that the fee/price structure(s) and associated terms and conditions for CLINs 0004 and 0005 will be determined when each Sub-CLIN is awarded, suggesting that the cost/price structure (e.g., FFP, CPIF, etc.) will be negotiated with the contractor as part of the Sub-CLIN award. However, Section B-3(g)(2) says that the cost/price and cost/price structure, and any applicable special terms and conditions for CLINs 0004 and 0005, “shall be added by way of unilateral contract modification.” To give meaning to all the provisions in the contract, and consistent with RFP Sections B-1, B-2, and B-3(e) and FAR 43.203 (RFO), we assume that the unilateral modification will reflect the parties’ negotiations with respect to the cost/price structure and fee (if applicable) for any projects awarded under CLINs 0004 and 0005. Please confirm our assumption is correct. To avoid ambiguity, we recommend revising the language in Section B-3(g)(2) accordingly.</p>	<p>B-3(g)(2) has been updated.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
2	<p>Section B-2 Contract Type and Value, Page 8 paragraph (A) (i) and (ii). Long-Term Award Fee Incentives</p> <p>Comment: Subsections (i) and (ii) outline fee reductions associated with performance extensions beyond the initial CD-2/3 baseline schedule and actual costs that exceed the initial CD-2/3 baseline budget. The RFP only outlines causes for fee reductions for missed milestone completion and cost overruns. The RFP does not provide the opportunity for additional fee availability if a milestone is completed earlier than the baseline or under budget of the baseline.</p>	<p>Will the government consider providing an opportunity to earn more fee for early delivery and or less cost than the CD-2/3 baselines?</p>	<p>NNSA does not intend to revise the RFP.</p>
3	<p>Section B 2e / CLIN 0004 & CLIN 0005</p>	<p>Are Offerors right in assuming that the definition of “contingency” is the portion of the project offsetting risks owned by the Government pursuant to DOE O 413-3B? As a result these risks are not priced in the fee base for the project. Accordingly, please verify that we are correct in assuming that any portion of contingency released to the contractor through change control process is eligible for fee and adjustment made to TAPF.</p>	<p>No, contingency is defined by Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 31.205-7, or any successor regulation as a result of the FAR Overhaul rulemaking.</p> <p>Fee entitlement is included in Section B-2(e)(2)(B).</p>
4	<p>B-2(e)(2). Long-Term Award Fee Incentives</p>	<p>Is it NNSA’s intention to update the contract to align with amendments to the SRPPF project cost and schedule as changes are incorporated?</p>	<p>NNSA will update the RFP as appropriate.</p>
5	<p>B-2(e). CLINS 0004 & 0005</p>	<p>Please confirm that “completion of all required construction testing, closure and approval of all documentation (e.g., submittals, non-conformance documentation, work packages, inspection records, etc.)” does not include financial closure and its associated documentation.</p>	<p>Confirmed. The estimate is only intended for completion of construction activities (Completion of CD-4). DOE-O-413.3B allows for documentation such as financial closure to be completed Post CD-4. Offerors do not need to include this activity in the scope of the estimate.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
6	B-2(e). CLINS 0004 & 0005	Please confirm that “Overall Completion of the entire Project (i.e., CD-4)” does not include financial closure and its associated documentation.	Confirmed. The estimate is only intended for completion of construction activities (Completion of CD-4). DOE-O-413.3B allows for documentation such as financial closure to be completed Post CD-4. Offerors do not need to include this activity in the scope of the estimate.
7	B-2(e)(2). Long-Term Award Fee Incentives	Does the government intend for a 5% fee milestone to be reduced to 0% if the identified performance extends 90 days beyond the baseline schedule or exceeds the baseline budget by 5%? Alternatively, would the nominal dollar amount attached to that milestone be reduced by 5%, e.g., a \$1,000-dollar long term award fee incentive amount be reduced by \$50?	As described in RFP Section B-2(e)(2)(A), the LTAFI amount earned will be reduced by five percent (5%) if completed past the scheduled date or above the budgeted amount. These reductions would be applied to the dollar amounts in the “LTAFI Amount Available” column in Table 3 of Section B-2(e)(2)(A) once it is established.

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
8	<p>Section B-H: B-2(e)(2), Section L-15(e), Section M-5. Contract Type and Value – SRPPF</p> <p>Fee for SRPPF (Sub-CLIN 0004A – cost-plus-award-fee)</p> <p>Cost Criterion</p>	<p>For the government and its selected contractor to alter the trajectory of the SRPPF project, completing the work as safely and quickly as possible, it will be necessary for the contractor to deploy significant talent to the project. As currently structured, we do not believe that the SRPPF fee/ incentive model will attract the most capable companies to pursue the SRS M&O contract or result in the successful offeror delivering the necessary talent to complete the SRPPF project.</p> <p>We recommend that the government alter the current RFP to allow offerors to propose a fee/ incentive structure commensurate with the complexity of the SRPPF project. Specifically, we recommend that the SRPPF award fee be structured around an annual fee plan with a component based on subjective criteria (20-30%) and annual schedule milestones (70-80%), and the RFP be modified such that offerors propose a fee percentage they believe is appropriate for completion of the SRPPF project (i.e., eliminate the 4% ceiling in the RFP). This proposed percentage would be applied to the planned annual spend and then used by the government for its cost evaluation.</p> <p>To incentivize exceptional schedule performance, we recommend that the government establish an additional fee structure for achievement of stretch goals that be set at 3%. These stretch goals would be negotiated post contract award following the successful offeror’s assessment of the project and establishment of a cost/ schedule baseline. Given that these incentives would be tied to aggressive schedule objectives, they would be self-funding. Therefore, under this construct this component of SRPPF fee would not be part of the government’s cost evaluation.</p>	<p>NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
9	<p>H-15 (a). Federal Prime Contracts Comment: Section H 15(a) states that: “...the Contractor shall not commit or permit any act or omission which will interfere with the performance of work performed by any other contractor and/or by Government employees, and the Contractor shall be liable for any added costs resulting from such acts or omissions (such as delay costs) whether such costs are incurred by the Government, or another DOE/NNSA Contractor performing DOE/NNSA contract work.”</p>	<p>Would the Government consider revising this provision so that, in lieu of direct cost liability, the clause instead provides that “the Government may consider such impacts either in its fee determination or as a post fee determination fee reduction”? This approach would align the remedy with the contract’s established fee mechanisms and maintain consistency with the performance-based structure used elsewhere in the solicitation.</p>	<p>NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.</p>
10	<p>H-17. PARENT ORGANIZATION(S)</p>	<p>How does NNSA intend to evaluate the capabilities of parent organizations to meet the requirements of H-17 within its best value framework? Could NNSA make this an explicit criterion for evaluation of Offerors’ proposals to ensure all Offerors understand and can address this critical element of contract performance?</p>	<p>NNSA will evaluate proposals, and make its best-value determination, as identified in § M. NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
11	H-18. Construction Projects	<p>Clause H-18(b) states that if the parties cannot reach mutual agreement as to the terms and conditions that apply to Capital Construction Projects under CLINs 0002 and 0004, the Construction ACO may direct the contractor to proceed with performance in accordance with specified terms and conditions via unilateral modification. We assume that this authority does not override the requirements in Sections B-1(d) and B-1(e) that the Government negotiate the project's cost/price or cost/price structure and fee. Based on this understanding, we also assume that Clause H-18(b) permits the Contractor to dispute the cost/price structure under the Disputes Clause of the Contract to the extent the Government unilaterally determines cost/price structure (for example, unilaterally determining that a project shall be performed on a FFP basis), while the Contractor proceeds with performance of the project. Our intent is to ensure understanding of the Government's pricing expectations for Capital Construction Projects.</p>	<p>Pursuant to H-18, the parties would enter into good-faith negotiations "to establish mutually agreeable terms and conditions that will apply... [h]owever, if the Parties cannot reach mutual agreement, the Construction ACO may... direct the Contractor to proceed with the management and/or performance of the Capital Construction Project in accordance with specified terms and conditions via a unilateral contract modification." As noted by the very next sentence, "[i]f the Parties are unable to agree on an equitable adjustment, the matter shall be treated as a dispute under the Disputes Clause of this Contract."</p>
12	<p>H-35 (a). Key Personnel Comment: H-35 (a) should include a force majeure exception. Please refer to paragraph (c) of DOE EMCBC clause DOE-H-2070 Key Personnel for language providing a force majeure exception.</p>	<p>Will the government consider adding the following language to H-35 – “For the purposes of this Clause, Beyond the Contractor’s Control is defined as an event for which the Contractor lacked legal authority or ability to prevent Changes to Key Personnel.”</p>	<p>NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.</p>

Sections I, Contract Clauses

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
13	Section I	Section I, Contract Clauses, does not include the Limitation of Cost (52.232-20) or Limitation of Funds (52.232-22) clauses in the “FAR Clauses Incorporated by Reference” section. Please confirm whether these clauses will be incorporated into the listing.	No changes will be made. See DEAR 970.5232-4 Obligation of funds.
14	Section I	The clauses listed below reflect version dates that are not the most recent available. Will these clauses be updated to the latest version (currently October 2025) for this RFP? - FAR 52.222-50 – Combating Trafficking in Persons - FAR 52.225-8 – Duty-Free Entry - FAR 52.244-6 – Subcontracts for Commercial Products and Commercial Services - FAR 52.248-3 – Value Engineering–Construction	Section I has been updated.
15	I-25. FAR 52.219-9 Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Deviation Dec 2025)	The current Revolutionary FAR Overhaul clause FAR 52.219-9 (Deviation DEC 2025) has removed all eSRS reference instances. Please confirm that the Final RFP wording at FAR 52.219-9 (Deviation DEC 25) is legacy FAR wording. Please confirm that the wording in the FAR (Deviation DEC 2025) does not retain the eSRS references and that all Small Business instances in Volume I and II should not reference eSRS reporting.	I-25 has been updated to reflect the March 2026 DOE/NNSA Deviation.

Sections J, Appendices

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
16	Section J, App. S. Staffing Plan Comment: This section requires that: No later than 30 calendar days after the start of the Contract Transition Period, the Contractor shall provide NNSA an evaluation of the current workforce, its plan for achieving the right workforce size and skills mix and an estimate of the number of employees to whom they expect to make employment offers, including a plan for addressing employee retention, by position, or transfers associated with the Contract.	For a site as complex as SRS, we do not believe 30 days is sufficient time to prepare a comprehensive assessment of the workforce to support this deliverable. Given the 120-day transition period, we recommend increasing this to 60 calendar days.	The Staffing Plan deliverable date will remain unchanged.
17	N/A	Will the incoming contractor be obliged to offer employment at equivalent pay and benefits to all employees of the incumbent contractor?	Per Section J, Appendix S Section 2.3, "Employee shall be offered the same position including their same base salary/pay rate provided by the incumbent Contractor at the time the offer is made. The Contractor shall provide an Incumbent Employee pay commensurate with the position offered if the Contractor offers the Incumbent Employee a different position than the position the Incumbent Employee is performing at the time the offer is made."

Sections K, Reps Certs and Other Statements

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
18	Section K. Representations, Certifications, and Other Statements of the Offeror	Please confirm that offerors may convert Section K – Representations and Certifications and Other Statements of the Offeror from the PDF provided in the Final RFP into a MS Word format for distribution to team members for ease of completion. If so confirmed, please also confirm, with the understanding and assurance that all wording will remain identical to the RFP, an Offeror’s formatting, pagination, and layout may differ from the original PDF due to the file conversion.	Offerors should complete Section K in the format provided.

Sections L, Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offeror

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
19	Section L-12(b). Solicitation Questions/ Reading Room Information Comment: L-12(b) gives instructions on access to the reading room, “Offerors may request access to this information for up to two (2) personnel per potential Offeror/Teaming arrangement via email request to SEB10@nnsa.doe.gov.” The L-13(h) requirement to provide cost information related to performance of the SRPPF requires utilization of information in the reading room per Section L Attachment K.	To ensure open and fair competition with the incumbent and adequately prepare the required estimate, we respectfully request the Government to increase the reading room limit to 4 people per Offeror.	The reading room participant limit per Offeror will remain unchanged.
20	Sect L-14(b)(1). Unique approaches Comment: Criterion 1: M&O Technical Approach: Offerors are encouraged to identify unique approaches they and their Team Members will employ during performance but should avoid recitations of past corporate experience and past performance.	In describing its Technical Approach, may the offeror include discussion of how it has successfully utilized the proposed techniques and approaches on past projects?	Consistent with the instructions, Offerors should avoid recitations of past corporate experience and past performance in their technical approach.
21	Sect L-15(e). Fee for SRPPF Comment: Offerors should be aware that significant legacy contract funding carry over may be available to fund portions of work scope required by this Contract. The scope of work funded by legacy carry over shall not be eligible for fee entitlement under this Contract; therefore, the Offeror shall factor consideration for these potential conditions in their proposed fee rate(s).	What is the estimated carry-over upon which the offerors should base their bids? If the new contractor is going to be held responsible for execution of the carryover funds (including for unallowable costs) why is it appropriate to provide no possibility of earning fee on that scope of work?	L-15(e) and B-2(e) have been updated. B-2(e) describes how actual fee amounts available will be established.

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
22	<p>Sect L-14(c)(1). Risk associated with unique approaches</p> <p>Comment: Criterion 2: SRPPF Technical Approach: Offerors are encouraged to identify unique approaches they and their Team Members will employ during performance but should avoid recitations of past corporate experience and past performance.</p>	<p>We note that unique approaches that have no history of being successful present much higher risk than approaches tied to successful projects. And while we understand that mere recitations of experience and past performance is not desired, we assume that NNSA would appreciate references to real world examples where the offeror has demonstrated success. Accordingly:</p> <p>1. In describing its Technical Approach, we assume that offerors may include discussion of how it has successfully utilized the proposed techniques and approaches on past projects. Please confirm our assumption is correct.</p> <p>2. We assume that offerors whose approach has been demonstrated will be viewed as lower risk than approaches that have not been executed on projects of similar size and scale as SRPPF. Please confirm our assumption is correct.</p>	<p>1. Consistent with the instructions, Offerors should avoid recitations of past corporate experience and past performance in their technical approach.</p> <p>2. Offerors will be evaluated as stated in § M</p>
23	<p>L-14(d)(4) and Section L Att E. Past Performance Information Form (PPIF) Number of Records</p> <p>Comment: Section L-14(d)(4) states that the maximum number of PPIFs to be submitted per team member is 3. The PPIF instructions states that “to the extent that one contract meets both relevancy standards identified in the RFP (see, L-14(d)(2)) (e.g., performance of a project relevant to SRPPF was performed under an M&O contract), two, discrete forms should be submitted.”</p>	<p>If a contractor has a contract that includes both scopes and submits two PPIFs for the same contract but separate scopes (M&O and SRPPF), does the combination of the two PPIFs counts as one project reference or two projects against the 3 PPIF submission limit stated in Section L-14(d)(4) (e.g., the CNS M&O contract at Y-12 includes the UPF project. Is this to be considered as a single PP citation with two PPIFs or as two PP performance citations?)?</p>	<p>It would count as two. The limitation is based on the number of PPIFs. L-14(d)(4) has been updated.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
24	<p>Section L, L-13(h) & First page, Section L Attachment K. Proposal preparation instructions – Volume I, The Offer & Section L, Attachment K, Savannah River Plutonium Processing Facility Cost Information Instructions</p> <p>Comment: The L-13(h) provision requires offerors to provide SRPPF performance cost information. The SRPPF reading room has not been accessible to date. These documents are necessary for offerors to complete the RFP requirement as outline by the SRPPF Cost Information Instruction in Section L Attachment K (i.e., to base pricing upon). Although the information will not be evaluated for competitive purposes, the provision of SRPPF performance cost information does have competitive implications in that it is a significant undertaking requiring significant time and effort, and places teams without incumbents at a significant disadvantage. Each offeror has the opportunity to provide a response in Vol II Criterion 2a SRPPF Technical Approach. Since the cost information is not going to be considered nor evaluated, the government can require a cost deliverable during transition from the awardee.</p>	<p>Will NNSA consider either (i) removing L-13(h) requirement from the RFP or (ii) providing offerors the alternative of making a commitment to provide this information at a later date, such as transition, separate from proposal submission? Either option would mitigate the competitive advantage of offerors who have immediate access to critical information not available to all offerors in the reading room.</p>	<p>NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
25	<p>Section L: Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors, L-13(d). L-13(d) Evidence that the Lower-Level Subsidiary Parent Organization is Financially Viable to Guarantee Performance of the Offeror</p> <p>Comment: The RFP states: “If the parent organization signing the performance guarantee is a lower-level subsidiary and not the ultimate parent associated with the Annual Reports, the Offeror, in addition to the Annual Reports for its ultimate parent organization, shall submit evidence that the lower-level subsidiary parent organization is financially viable to guarantee performance of the Offeror.”</p>	<p><u>Question/Comment a:</u> Since the parent company is ultimately responsible for the financial performance of lower-level subsidiary, we recommend removing this requirement.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> If the Government deems this additional information necessary, please advise as to what type(s) of evidence NNSA requires to demonstrate that a lower-level subsidiary is financially viable.</p>	<p>NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.</p> <p>Acceptable evidence will be established at the lower level to demonstrate the subsidiary has adequate financial resources to perform the contract.</p>
26	<p>Section L: Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors, Section L-14, Volume II, Section 1.b (M&O Key Personnel). Criterion 1b Page Limitation</p> <p>Comment: The 2-page limit for Section 1.b appears insufficient to fully address the comprehensive requirements in Section L. Offerors must include a detailed organizational chart, describe reporting lines (including unnamed managers who report to each Key Person), and provide a thorough explanation for why each position is considered key, as well as how the assignment of roles and reporting structure will facilitate successful SOW execution. These requirements necessitate both visual and narrative content.</p>	<p>We respectfully request an increase to a 4-page limit for Section 1.b. This additional space will allow Offerors to provide a clear and compliant organizational chart, fully describe all required role responsibilities and reporting structures, and adequately explain how the proposed organization supports the SOW as required by Section L. Will the Government permit an increase to four (4) pages for Volume II, Section 1.b to ensure Offerors can provide a complete and evaluable response?</p>	<p>Language in L-14 1.b has been amended to increase the page limit from 2 to 4.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
27	<p>Section L: Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors, Section L-15. Proposal Preparation Instructions- Volume III Cost Information, and Attachment H</p> <p>Comment: This question references the inclusion of Offer Transition Costs in Vol III Cost Volume. This provides an unfair advantage to the incumbent(s).</p>	<p>Will the Government require all bidders to use the defined transition cost cap as a plug number referenced in section B-2(b)?</p>	<p>All offerors must adhere to the terms as set in the RFP establishing the cap for transition cost in their proposal.</p>
28	<p>Section L: Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors, L-14(d)(3)(A). Criterion 3: Past Performance</p> <p>Comment: Self-Assessment of Performance Blocks – Page 6 & 7 specifically.</p> <p>Field in the Self-Assessment blocks on pages 6, 7, and 8 overwrite one another.</p>	<p>Please provide a corrected template.</p>	<p>An updated form is attached to the RFP amendment.</p>
29	<p>L-14(e). Criterion 4: Small Business Participation.</p> <p>Comment: It appears there is an error in L-14, p 23. L-14(e) states, “The Offeror shall provide subcontract dollars and percentages in Section L, Attachment J - Small Business Subcontract Dollars and Percentages. Information provided must not contradict the Offeror’s Small Business Subcontracting Plan (reference Section L, L-12(e) above).” However, in the final RFP, L-12(e) provides instruction on Format, whereas the final RFP reference L-13(e) gives instruction for the Small Business Subcontracting Plan in Vol I.</p>	<p>Please confirm that L-14(e)’s intention is to reference L-13(e) and not L-12(e)?</p>	<p>Section L-14(e)'s language has been revised to reference L-13(e).</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
30	<p>L.15.(e). Fee for SRPPF (Sub-CLIN 0004A – cost-plus-award-fee) Comment: "...The offeror shall propose the same rate for each contract period."</p> <p>Additionally, Section L, Attachment H - Price and Fee Spreadsheet, "Sub-CLIN 0004A SRPPF Fee" workbook sheet allows a fee rate to be entered into each contract period/year; although the RFP Document "Sec B-H - Part I Schedule", B-2.(e).(2) only includes a single place to enter one TAPF%.</p>	<p>Can the Government clarify whether the directive to "propose the same rate for each contract period" requires:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A single fee rate that applies uniformly across all years of both the Base Period and Option Period 1, or 2. Uniform rates within each respective period (Base Period and Option Period 1), allowing variation between the two periods? 	<p>Offerors shall propose a uniform rate for all periods. Section L Attachment H has been updated.</p>
31	<p>L.15.(b); L.15.(c); L.15.(e); L.15.(f). Comment: "Offerors should be aware that significant legacy contract carry over funding may be available to fund portions of work scope required by this Contract. The scope of work funded by legacy carry over shall not be eligible for fee entitlement under this Contract; therefore, Offerors shall factor consideration for these potential conditions in their proposed fee rate(s)."</p>	<p>Question 1: Can the Government provide additional clarity on the value of the potential scope carry over regarding the statement that "significant legacy contract carry over funding may be available to fund portions of work scope required by this Contract"? Will associated fee be transferred over to the new contractor?</p> <p>Question 2: Are there specific work activities or deliverables that are categorically excluded from fee entitlement, based on the use of legacy carry over funding?</p>	<p>In the FY 2026 Forward Pricing Rates there is an estimated \$912M in projected carryover on the contract.</p> <p>For CLINs 0002 and 0003, Section B-8 describes how the fee base is developed, and the budget amounts that must be excluded from the fee base.</p> <p>For CLIN 0004a, Sections L-15(e) and B-2(e) have been updated. B-2(e) describes how actual fee amounts available will be established for SRPPF.</p> <p>For CLIN 0006, Section B-2(f) describes the formula for how SPP fee will be calculated.</p>
32	<p>L.15.(b); L.15.(c); L.15.(e); L.15.(f). Comment: "Offerors should be aware that significant legacy contract carry over funding may be available to fund portions of work scope required by this Contract. The scope of work funded by legacy carry over shall not be eligible for fee entitlement under this Contract; therefore, Offerors shall factor consideration for these potential conditions in their proposed fee rate(s)."</p>	<p>Can the Government confirm that any legacy contract carry over funding available is in excess of the Forecasted Budget estimates provided in Section L, Attachment H - Price and Fee, Spreadsheet, "Forecasted Budget" Excel worksheet?</p>	<p>These amounts do not include carryover.</p> <p>These are estimates for proposal purposes only. As noted in Section L, Attachment H the actual fee amount will be established in accordance with Section B-2.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
33	L-12(e)(2). Font and Spacing Comment: The RFP instructs text in all volumes to be Times New Roman (TNR) 12 pt font; however, the font size in graphs, figures, charts, and tables may be smaller than TNR 12 pt but no smaller than size 8.	Will the Government allow figure and table captions within the body of the volumes to follow the same guidance as graphs, figures, charts, and tables, which may be smaller than TNR 12 pt but no smaller than 8pt?	NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.
34	Sect L-12(e)(2). Font and Spacing Comment: Section L-12(e)(2) states that <i>the font size in graphics, figures, charts, and tables may be smaller than size 12 font...</i>	To allow readability, we request that NNSA includes headers and footers as part of this statement. We suggest updating the requirement as follows: The font sizes in headers, footers, graphics, figures, charts, and tables may be smaller than size 12 font...	NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.
35	Sect L-14(a). Formatting and page limitations Comment: Section L-14(a) limits section 1.b M&O Key Personnel to 2 pages to discuss the duties and responsibilities and lines of authority for the 5 key personnel and managers that report to the key personnel	Based on the potential size of the organization and number of managers reporting to key personnel, a 2-page limit is not sufficient to provide the information requested. We request that NNSA consider increasing the page count to 5 pages for this section.	Language in L-14 1.b has been amended to increase the page limit from 2 to 4.
36	Section L, L-12(c). Submission of Proposals	Please clarify that due to electronic submission via FedConnect that all references to signed original or original signed copy in this RFP mean the electronically (digital/electronic or signature jpg insert, etc.) signed electronic submittal and not an actual "original" ink signature.	Electronic signature from an authorized representative will meet the requirements for submission vis Fed Connect.
37	Section L, L-13(c). Section K, Representations, Certifications, and Other Statements of Offerors	Please clarify with respect to the requirements in this section, does the term "team member" include teaming subcontractors or only those members that are part of the Offeror (prime LLC)? For example, for past performance, the RFP specifically states "not subcontractors") but that specificity is not provided for the Volume I requirements. Please clearly define what team member means within Volume I.	See L-12(a)(2)(B).

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
38	Section L, L-13(e) and Section L, L-14(e). Small Business Subcontracting Plan and Criterion 4: Small Business Participation	Is one combined SB Plan required encompassing the scope and \$ value of both the M&O and SRPPF or is the SB Plan intended to only address the M&O scope and \$ value or are two separate plans and Criterion 4 writeups required? Section L and M do not make this clear in the instructions or evaluation criteria. Please add language to Section L to clarify.	Section L, Attachment J has been amended to reflect current values.
39	Section L, L-1. FAR 52.222-5	Are the construction wage rate requirements – secondary site for work applicable to both the SRS M&O and the SRPPF project?	Yes, applicability of construction wage rates applies to all work under the contract meeting the requirement under the Construction Wage Act.

<p>40</p>	<p>Section L-13(h), Section L, Attachment K, Section M-4(b); Section M-5. Proposal Preparation Instructions – Volume I, The Offer; SRPPF Cost Information Instructions; Criterion 2: SRPPF Technical Approach and Key Personnel; Cost Criterion</p> <p>Comment: M-5 states that the total evaluated price proposed in accordance with Section L, Attachment H, will be used in determining best value to the Government. The total evaluated price includes the derived fee amounts for construction management of the Savannah River Plutonium Processing Facility (SRPPF). M-5 also states that “The Government may use any of the price analysis techniques specified in FAR 15.404-1(b) to determine reasonableness.”</p> <p>M-3 states that award will be made to the responsible Offeror whose proposal is responsive and determined to be the best value to the Government. In determining best value, the cost Criterion will be used in determining the best value to the Government. And cost/price is a substantial factor in the source selection.</p> <p>M-4(b) evaluates the extent to which the proposal demonstrates that the Offeror is likely to successfully perform the SRPPF requirements.</p> <p>L-13(h) states: The Offeror shall provide cost information related to its performance of the SRPPF design and construction scope of work in accordance with Section L -</p>	<p>To remove the ambiguities in the RFP, will the Government delete all requirements in the RFP to provide cost information for the SRPPF and any “Basis of Estimate” for the SRPPF?</p>	<p>NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.</p>
-----------	---	--	--

<p>Attachment K, SRPPF Cost Information Instructions. Cost information shall be provided by completing Section L Attachment L, SRPPF Cost Information. Cost information provided by Offerors should reflect the approaches identified in the Offeror's Volume II, Section 2.a. While submission of this information is a mandatory requirement of this solicitation (thus, failure to submit the information will be considered a deficiency), the information contained within this submission WILL NOT BE EVALUATED OR OTHERWISE CONSIDERED IN THE GOVERNMENT'S AWARD DECISION. NNSA may use the information in for long-term planning and/or other Government purposes; therefore, by submitting a proposal in response to the solicitation, Offerors consent (regardless of markings that indicate the information is proprietary or otherwise protected) to NNSA's use of the information contained in this submission for those purposes.</p> <p>Section L, Attachment K, states: As required in Section L-13, Offerors shall provide cost information related to their performance of the Savannah River Plutonium Processing Facility (SRPPF) design and construction scope of work in accordance with the instructions below. Cost information provided by Offerors should reflect the approaches identified in the Offerors' Volume II, Section 2.a for completion of the project (i.e., CD-4) by the end of fiscal year 2035. Offerors shall use the SRPPF Cost Information spreadsheet in Section L, Attachment L;</p>		
--	--	--

<p>cost information provided in this attachment will not be evaluated or otherwise considered in the Government's award decision. Section L, Attachment L shall be submitted in Microsoft (MS) Excel format, version 2013 or later, with formulas and links intact and all cells unprotected. Offerors are responsible for the accuracy of all formulas, links, and all other relationships within the submitted MS Excel electronic spreadsheets and workbooks.</p> <p>Offerors shall utilize the information provided in the reading room, which includes but is not limited to a project overview, project status, material take offs, and indirect cost estimates to develop their cost estimates. Section L, Attachment L, SRPPF Cost Information includes cost elements that Offerors must develop and cost elements that are Government baselined amounts.</p> <p>Section L, Attachment M requires offerors to provide a "Basis of Estimate" for all costs.</p> <p>As written, the RFP is ambiguous. L-13(h) and Section L, Attachment K, state that the cost information in Section L, Attachment L will not be evaluated or considered in the Government's award decision. However, M-5 states that total evaluated price will be used in determining best value and further states that fee on the SRPPF will be included in determining best value. M-4(b) specifically calls for offerors to demonstrate that they are likely to</p>		
--	--	--

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
	successfully perform the SRPPF requirements. And Section L, Attachment M requires offerors to provide a “Basis of Estimate” for all costs—including the SRPPF. In addition, Section L, Attachment K requires offerors to rely on information in the reading room to develop SRPPF Cost information.		
41	L-14(e). Criterion 4: Small Business Participation	The second paragraph at L-14(e) references Section L, L-12(e) Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Please confirm that the correct reference is L-13(e) Small Business Subcontracting Plan.	Section L-14(e)'s language has been revised to reference L-13(e).
42	Section L-13. Proposal Preparation Instructions – Volume I, The Offer	To support development of the required SRPPF cost estimate, please provide the established delivery schedules for long-lead and key commodity items that have already been procured, such as: Gloveboxes and Specialized Prototype Systems (e.g., Hydride-Dehydride Casting, Vacuum Induction Casting); HVAC Systems and Ductwork required for nuclear confinement; Piping and Bulk Materials identified in the 60% MTO; Machinery and Process Equipment for the main production line.	As stated in Section L, Attachment K, "Cost information provided by Offerors should reflect the approaches identified in the Offerors' Volume II, Section 2.a for completion of the project..." As such, the SRPPF cost estimate should reflect the Required at Site (RAS) dates that would best suit the Offerors' technical approach and should not be reliant on the established delivery schedules, but rather tailored to the Offerors' proposed execution strategy.
43	Section L-13. Proposal Preparation Instructions – Volume I, The Offer	Please provide detailed specifications for the following key commodity codes identified but not limited to: A50 – Piping: Including ASME Section IX requirements for welding and material standards; A32 – HVAC: Covering temperature, humidity, and indoor air quality regulation for nuclear facilities; A60 – Electrical: Addressing power distribution and safety provisioning (e.g., spark/shock prevention); A70 – Control Systems: Specifications for the automation and monitoring of the pit production process. A40 – Machinery and Equipment: Design and fabrication standards for "one-of-a-kind" prototype systems; A75 – Communications and Security: Protocols for facility-wide monitoring and site security integration.	Offerors should include all technical assumptions associated with the SRPPF estimate and qualify the potential impact or risk to the estimate that such assumptions would have.

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
44	Section L-13. Proposal Preparation Instructions – Volume I, The Offer	Please provide an explicit description of the construction, fabrication, and installation work that will be complete as of October 1, 2027 when the Offeror assumes responsibility for completing SRPPF construction, including status of civil/structural, mechanical and electrical work.	Offerors are directed to produce an estimate with the information provided and should not assume completed work as of October 1, 2027. Offerors should only consider the early procurements currently in execution (i.e. CD-3x scope) as the only authorized work and use the value for "CD-3X Packages Baselined" provided in Section L, Attachment L along with the information provided. The costs and schedule of that scope was provided with the information provided in Table 2.1 of the Project Summary (CD-3X Summary and Status).
45	Section L-12(e). Proposal Preparation Instructions – Volume I, The Offer	Are all Tables considered figures requiring a figure number under each one, and does each such figure need to appear in the List of Figures, or are small tables permitted, to offer clarity, without a figure number? If all figures require a figure number, does that apply to all parts of all volumes, or are tables that offer clarity to the narrative permitted in Resumes, and the Vol. 1 and Vol. 3 narratives, without figure numbers? Are feature boxes considered graphics, and do they need their own figure number to appear in the list of figures? If not, may such feature boxes follow the same font rules as graphics?	There is no express requirement that offerors provide a "List of Figures" or "figure numbers." To the extent a "feature box" is a graph, figure, chart, or table, the font size may be smaller than size 12 font and in a font other than Times New Roman; however, the font used shall be clearly legible and no smaller than size 8. However, Offerors should comply with the requirement for size 12, Times New Roman font if the "feature box" is not a graph, figure, chart, or table.
46	L-13(h). SRPPF Cost Information	To improve the quality of information provided by Offerors "for long-term planning and/or other Government purposes," may Offerors use information other than that provided by NNSA to complete Section L Attachment L, SRPPF Cost Information? Such information might include proprietary information such as historical data on productivity rates gleaned through the performance of its contracts including projects or sub-projects at SRPPF.	NNSA expects that offerors will use their individual knowledge and expertise in drafting their proposals.

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
47	L-14(c). Criterion 2: SRPPF Technical Approach and Key Personnel	Companies currently working on the SRPPF project have gained specific understanding and knowledge that cannot be replicated through access to the reading room. To mitigate the risk that this disparity results in a protest that delays transition to a new M&O contractor, could NNSA please provide guidance to all Offerors on the legitimate use of experience and knowledge gained through performance of projects or sub-projects on SRPPF?	NNSA will not provide the requested guidance.
48	<p>Section L-13(d), Annual reports requirement</p> <p>Comment: Section L-13(d) requests that the parent companies of the offeror “<i>submit the last three annual reports (i.e., business reports, consolidated financial statements)</i>”. We assume that this is related to performance of a financial capability review as part of NNSA’s responsibility determination. Inasmuch as for offerors or parent companies with an assigned corporate administrative contracting officer (CACO), the performance of financial capability reviews and pre-award surveys are among the delegated contract administration functions (See FAR 42.302(a)(17) and (32)), eliminating the requirement to submit these reports and reliance on the CACO would reduce the government’s administrative burden in evaluating the proposals.</p>	<p>Would NNSA amend the RFP to revise this section as follows:</p> <p><i>For the parent organization(s) providing the Performance Guarantee Agreement(s), offeror shall provide the DUNS number(s), CAGE Code and UEI, and, where applicable, contact information for their contract administration contracting officer (CACO). Parent organizations without an assigned CACO shall also submit the last three annual reports (i.e., business reports, consolidated financial statements).</i></p>	NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question.

Sections L, Attachments

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
49	<p>Section L: Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors, L-14(e), L-Attachment C</p> <p>Section M – Evaluation for Award M-4(d).</p> <p>Criterion 4: Small Business Participation (in both L and M x-refs), Instructions for SB Subcontracting Plan Comment: There is no reference within the RFP to distinguishing the two core scope areas, M&O and SRPPF, within the Small Business Participation section though higher Small Business goals and more activities are practicable for specifically the M&O scope. There is a discrepancy in values provided in L-Attachments J and H.</p>	<p>Please confirm the small business goals and accomplishments posted to the reading room are only applicable to the management and operations and SPP CLINS 0002, 0003, and 0006 and what budgeted value we are to use for SB goals.</p>	<p>Section L, Attachment J has been updated to reflect current values.</p>
50	<p>Section L Attachment C (10) (iv)(a), (v), and (vi). Instructions for Small Business Subcontracting Plan; (10)</p>	<p>This SBSP template references reporting Individual Subcontract Report (ISR) and/or the Summary Subcontract Report (SSR), in eSRS at (10) Requirement to Cooperate in Studies and Submission of Reports (iv)(a), (v), and (vi). Please confirm that the eSRS system was retired in February 2026. Please confirm that the Small Business Subcontracting Plan may remove the eSRS references and update the impacted template sections to match the wording in the current RFO FAR 52.219-9.</p>	<p>Section L, Attachment C will be updated via amendment.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
51	Section L, Attachment E. Past Performance Information Form Comment: When trying to write text into various boxes on the PPIF, the text automatically bleeds over to the next page.	Will NNSA provide a fully functional form so offerors are able to use the form effectively and compliantly?	An updated form will be issued via amendment to the RFP.
52	Sect L Attch E. PPIF Form Comment: The PPIF form is a new DOE provided form which has not been filled out before by contractors. The form has some limitations that prevent contractors from providing adequate data for evaluation and ensure ease of reading for the evaluators	For ease of reading and effective presentation of information, can NNSA add a formatting option on the form for bulleted text?	The form is already rich-text enabled.
53	Sect L Attch E. PPIF Form Comment: The PPIF form is a new DOE provided form which has not been filled out before by contractors. The form has some limitations that prevent contractors from providing adequate data for evaluation and ensure ease of reading for the evaluators	For ease of reading and effective presentation of information, can NNSA allow an Offeror the ability to change the color of the text (from blue to black) in the narrative fields - PPIF Description of Relevance to Statement of Work and Self-Assessment of Performance? Paragraphs of blue text are difficult to read and the PPIF form limits the ability to format the entered text (spacing/indents) to make the content easier to read.	NNSA does not intend to alter the RFP in the manner suggested by this question. The form is already rich-text enabled. The Government assures the Offerors that it will be able to read the form.
54	Sect L Attch H. Price and Fee Spreadsheet Comment: In worksheets for CLINs 0002, 0003, and 0006, the Option Period 4 years are listed as Year 3 and Year 1. Also Option Period 5 years are listed as Year 2 and Year 3.	These years do not align with the option years provided in Section B. Please update the spreadsheets to read: Option Period 4 (Year 1) Option Period 4 (Year 2) Option Period 5 (Year 1) Option Period 5 (Year 2)	Section L, Attachment H has been updated.

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
55	<p>Section L, Attachment H. Price & Fee Spreadsheet, tab titled “Forecasted Budget”</p> <p>Comment: For Sub-CLIN 0004A - SRPPF, the total forecasted budget is shown in Section L, Attachment H as approximately \$17.2B. In the Government’s FY26 DOE-NNSA Budget Justification (<i>FY26 DOE-NNSA Budget Justification DOE/CF-0212, Volume 1, May 2025 Department of Energy FY 2026 Congressional Justification National Nuclear Security Administration, Federal Salaries and Expenses, Weapons Activities, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation, Naval Reactors</i>), the estimated Total Project Cost (TPC) for the SRPPF project is between \$18B and \$25B.</p>	<p>How did NNSA arrive at \$17.2B for the value of SRPPF in Section L, Attachment H? What assumptions were made in arriving at that value with regard to the SRPPF project’s scope, schedule, and cost that Offerors should consider as they address the requirements to provide a cost estimate for SRPPF in accordance with Section L, Attachment K, SRPPF Cost Information Instructions?</p>	<p>DOE's FY 2026 President's Budget Request identifies \$19.1 B in estimated funding for fiscals years 2027 and beyond. NNSA estimates the portion of this estimate that will be available for FY 2028 and beyond is \$17.2B. These are estimates for proposal purposes only. As noted in Section L, Attachment H the actual fee amount will be established in accordance with Section B-2.</p>
56	<p>Section L, Attachment H and Attachment J. Price and Fee Spreadsheet and SB Subcontracts Dollars and Percentages</p>	<p>The \$ values depicted in the small business Attachment J and Attachment H do not appear to be consistent. In determining what \$ value and % of contract value a team member is responsible for based on scope (for past performance projects) which of the two sets of \$ values should be used? Alternatively, please make the values consistent.</p>	<p>Section L, Attachment J has been updated to reflect current values.</p>
57	<p>Sect L Att H, L, and L(e). Price and Fee Spreadsheet (Att H), SRPPF Cost Information (Att L), and Section L-14(e)</p> <p>Comment: Each excel spreadsheet calls for different Excel versions than the Instructions in L-14(e)</p>	<p>Will NNSA please confirm which versions of Excel are acceptable? Section L Attachments H and L indicate Excel 2013 or older. However, Section L-14(e) instructs bidders to use Excel 2013 or later.</p>	<p>Offerors shall use Excel 2013 or a most recent version. Section L Attachment H and Attachment L have been updated.</p>
58	<p>Section L – Attachment J. SB Subcontract Dollars and Percentages.xlsx</p>	<p>What portion of the estimated total contract value should be used to base small business subcontracting numbers against?</p>	<p>Section L, Attachment J has been updated to reflect current values.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
59	<p>Section L, Attachment K. Savannah River Plutonium Processing Facility Cost Information Instructions</p> <p>Comment: We received access to the Reading Room on Friday, March 6, 2026. In Section L, Attachment K, for SRPPF Cost Information states that, <i>"Offerors shall utilize the information provided in the reading room, which includes but is not limited to a project overview, project status, material take offs, and indirect cost estimates to develop their cost estimates. Section L, Attachment L, SRPPF Cost Information includes cost elements that Offerors must develop and cost elements that are Government baselined amounts."</i> As far as we can determine, the reading room currently has 2 folders with a total of 9 files posted.</p>	<p>Will the Government confirm the current status of the reading room, which contains 2 folders with a total of 9 files posted?</p>	<p>The reading room currently has all relevant files uploaded.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
60	<p>Section L, Attachment K. Savannah River Plutonium Processing Facility Cost Information Instructions</p> <p>Comment: We received access to the Reading Room on Friday, March 6, 2026. In Section L, Attachment K, for SRPPF Cost Information states that, <i>"Offerors shall utilize the information provided in the reading room, which includes but is not limited to a project overview, project status, material take offs, and indirect cost estimates to develop their cost estimates. Section L, Attachment L, SRPPF Cost Information includes cost elements that Offerors must develop and cost elements that are Government baselined amounts."</i> Given the requirements stipulated in Section L, Attachment K, time is critical to ensure we can develop complete and accurate cost information for a timely submission. The reading room is missing the following information listed in the RFP language: "which includes but is not limited to a project overview, project status, material take offs, and indirect cost estimates to develop their cost estimates."</p>	<p>Will the Government please provide information on if and when the reading room will include information stated in the RFP Section L, Attachment K – project overview, project status, material take offs, and indirect cost estimates – and other information necessary to complete a cost estimate for the Section L(h) SRPPF cost estimate requirement?</p>	<p>The reading room currently has all relevant files uploaded.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
61	<p>Sect L Attch K. SRPPF Cost Information Instructions</p> <p>Comment: On the second paragraph of Section L Attachment K, the instructions state that <i>Offerors shall utilize the information provided in the reading room, which includes but is not limited to a project overview, project status, material take offs, and indirect cost estimates to develop their cost estimates.</i></p>	<p>While offerors currently have access to one of the reading rooms, access to the UCNI reading room containing SRPPF-related information required to prepare an informed estimate has not yet been established for non-federal personnel.</p> <p>NNSA has indicated that access to this information will require sponsored accounts coordinated by NNSA through Connect.gov. However, the current registration system only accepts federal government email addresses, and the process for sponsoring corporate email accounts is still in progress. As a result, non-federal personnel do not yet have access to the UCNI SRPPF materials necessary to support development of the required estimate.</p> <p>Given the time required to review these materials and incorporate them into proposal development, please clarify whether NNSA intends to provide additional time for offerors to prepare the SRPPF completion estimate once access to the UCNI reading room information is available.</p>	<p>The RFP submission date has been amended by two (2) weeks until May 12th at 2pm ET.</p>
62	<p>Section L, Attachment K. Cost Information Instructions</p>	<p>The instructions in Section L Attachment K indicate that there are three subprojects, Y799, Y810 and Y812. However, in Section L Attachment L it only references Y799 and Y812. Should Y810 be included in Section L Attachment L?</p>	<p>No changes to the RFP documents are necessary.</p> <p>The Statement of Work (Section J Appendix A) states subproject Y810 is currently being managed by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) under a direct contract with NNSA. The Contractor's scope is limited to integration of this subproject with the balance of the project. Section L Attachment K states the Startup/Commissioning/Managing Subproject should reflect the costs anticipated for the entire project, which would be inclusive of integration of subproject Y810. Section L Attachment L includes a row for proposing Startup/Commissioning/Managing Subproject costs.</p>

63	<p>Section L-13(h), Section L, Attachment K. Proposal Preparation Instructions – Volume I, The Offer; SRPPF Cost Information Instructions</p> <p>Comment: Section L, Attachment K states: “Offerors shall utilize the information provided in the reading room, which includes but is not limited to a project overview, project status, material take offs, and indirect cost estimates to develop their cost estimates.” Section L 13 requires Offerors to prepare Volume I in accordance with cost information requirements that rely on timely access to SRPPF reading room materials. Subparagraph (h) of Section L 13 further states:</p> <p>“The Offeror shall provide cost information related to its performance of the SRPPF design and construction scope of work in accordance with Section L – Attachment K, SRPPF Cost Information Instructions. Cost information shall be provided by completing Section L Attachment L, SRPPF Cost Information. Cost information provided by Offerors should reflect the approaches identified in the Offeror’s Volume II, Section 2.a. While submission of this information is a mandatory requirement of this solicitation (thus, failure to submit the information will be considered a deficiency), the information contained within this submission WILL NOT BE EVALUATED OR OTHERWISE CONSIDERED IN THE GOVERNMENT’S AWARD DECISION. NNSA may use the information for long term planning and/or other Government purposes; therefore, by submitting a</p>	<p>Given the initial 17 day delay in gaining access to SRPPF information, combined with the current and continuing suspension of access to the SRPPF reading room beginning March 19, will the Government provide an extension to proposal due date commensurate with the total number of days during which SRPPF reading room information has been inaccessible, including both the initial 17 day delay and all subsequent days lost due to the ongoing system suspension? Alternatively, will the Government delete all the requirements in the RFP to provide cost information for the SRPPF and any “Basis of Estimate” for the SRPPF?</p>	<p>The RFP submission date has been amended by two (2) weeks until May 12th at 2pm ET.</p>
----	--	---	---

<p>proposal in response to the solicitation, Offerors consent (regardless of markings that indicate the information is proprietary or otherwise protected) to NNSA’s use of the information contained in this submission for those purposes.”</p> <p>The final RFP containing this Attachment K language was released on February 27, 2026. SRPPF reading room information was not accessible to Offerors until March 16, 2026, when the SEB emailed Offerors stating that SRPPF files had been placed in the M&O reading room (Box) due to technical issues with the SRPPF reading room hosted on connect.gov.</p> <p>On March 19, 2026, the SEB sent another email noting that SRPPF files were now also available in the SRPPF reading room hosted on connect.gov. Later that same day, the SEB issued a follow-up email stating that there were access issues with MAX.gov and that access to the site was suspended until further notice. Although the SEB referred separately to “connect.gov” and “MAX.gov” in the emails, both references correspond to the same SRPPF reading room access environment, and became unavailable as of the afternoon notification on March 19.</p> <p>As of this submission, no further instructions have been provided, and Offerors remain unable to access SRPPF reading room information. This sequence creates a discrepancy between the date of RFP issuance and the date Offerors first had access to the required SRPPF cost estimate materials,</p>		
--	--	--

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
	<p>notably 17 days lost between February 27 and March 16 when no SRPPF information was available, and an additional unknown number of days beginning March 19 when reading room access was suspended.</p>		
64	<p>Section L: Instructions, Conditions, and Notices To Offerors, Section L Attachment K Appendix I CD3x Package Summary</p> <p>Reading Room document titled CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities. Section L, Attachment K, Appendix I CD3x Package Summary</p> <p>Reading Room document titled CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities Comment: Instructions within Section L K, the estimate has to account for procurement and installation of material for CD-3M. However, in the Reading Room document titled <i>CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities</i>, those instructions say, “no” and, “no,” for procurement and installation (reference page 31-33).</p>	<p>Please clarify whether we are to estimate for CD-3M procure and install.</p>	<p>There is an error in the document <i>CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities</i>. It should state "yes" and "yes" meaning it is expected that Offerors estimate procurement and installation for the scope associated with CD-3M.</p>

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
65	<p>Section I-25 and Section L, Attachment C, Section I-25 and Section L, Attachment C.</p> <p>Comment: RFP Sec I - Part II Contract Clauses contains clause I-25 FAR 52.219-9 SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (DEVIATION DEC 2025). While RFP Sec L Attachment C - Instructions for SB Subcontracting Plan, still references FAR 52.219-9 (SEPT 2023) and follows those guidelines.</p>	<p>We assume that we should prepare the plan in accordance with the contractual requirement in Section I. Please comfy our assumption is correct. Further please update the reference in Section L.</p>	<p>The subcontracting plan shall be prepared in accordance with the contracting requirements in Section I. I-25 has been updated to reflect the March 2026 DOE/NNSA Deviation. Section L, Attachment C will be updated via amendment.</p>

Sections M, Evaluation Factors for Award – N/A

Other Questions

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
66	SF33. Item A Period of Performance Comment: The Period of Performance identified in the solicitation indicates a contract start date of September 1, 2027. However, Section L requires Offerors to submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan covering Government fiscal years 2027 through 2047, which appears to align with a potential start date of October 1, 2026 (the beginning of FY 2027).	Could the government please clarify the anticipated contract start date?	The date as presented on the SF-33 is accurate. Section L will be updated.
67	Reading Room document titled <i>CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities</i> . Reading Room document titled <i>CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities</i> Comment: Within the Reading Room Document <i>CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities</i> , does not provide sufficient detail for an accurate estimate for Y799 CD-3F.	Will you provide additional information for all CD-3F material take-off items?	CD-3F scope is for Bulk Material Procurement and fabrication of overhead commodities. It has not been approved for execution, as such it should not be estimated independently as all scope associated with it is identified in the MTO and should be included in the scope of the estimate. Additionally, Offerors should provide any assumptions necessary to develop their estimate (e.g. construction aids necessary to complete construction such as scaffolding, temporary utilities, or equipment rental).
68	Reading Room document titled <i>CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities</i> . Reading Room document titled <i>CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities</i> Comment: The Reading Room document <i>CUI_MTO-SRPPF 60% Design Key Commodities</i> , does not include diameter pipe size for A50-Piping to allow for an accurate estimate.	Please provide either the specific or average pipe size for the A50-Piping commodity.	Offerors may either provide assumptions of pipe size or utilize an average nominal 2" OD, 304L SST pipe.
69	N/A	Could NNSA please provide any existing facilities asbestos survey and historical abatement data?	Information is not formatted as described in the question. Due to the age of the buildings / facilities, there is a high probability that they will contain multiple sources of asbestos.
70	N/A	Will NNSA indemnify contractors regarding any asbestos management program activities?	No. NNSA does not intend to indemnify contractors regarding any asbestos management plan activities.

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
71	N/A	Will NNSA maintain ownership of hazardous materials generated on the site, including without limitation asbestos?	All waste types will be disposed of in accordance with state and federal laws and regulations.
72	N/A	How many employees of the current M&O are qualified to perform asbestos remediation or remediation planning? To what level are they qualified (e.g., planner, supervisor, authorized worker)?	Qualified list updated on 3/16/2026 stated the following: (92) Asbestos O&M Workers (52) Asbestos AHERA Workers (33) Asbestos AHERA Supervisors (8) Asbestos Building Inspectors (2) AHERA Project Designers
73	N/A	Historically, what is the estimated percentage of ACM abatement activities that have been self-performed vs subcontracted?	Information is not readily available for this comparison. However, in the past 5 years, a higher percentage of subcontracts have been used for larger asbestos activities such as demolition/removal.
74	N/A	Based on the annual environmental reports, it appears that the majority of the ACM is disposed of onsite. Is this the case?	Radiological, Non-Friable & Friable Waste • E-Area Low-Level Waste Facility Non-radiological, Non-Friable Waste • C&D Landfill • Three Rivers Solid Waste Municipal Landfill Non-radiological, Friable Waste • Three Rivers Solid Waste Municipal Landfill If mixed with a hazardous component • Off-Site Treatment, Storage, & Disposal Facility

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
75	N/A	Based on the annual environmental reports, most of the ACM removed was classified as non-radiological, non-friable. Could NNSA clarify the type of ACM removed (e.g., floor tile, siding, roofing)? As well, could NNSA clarify the type of non-radiological, friable material that has been removed (e.g., pipe wrap, insulation)?	Asbestos materials tend to be industrial in nature and found in the facilities/buildings and equipment. Examples taken from October 23, 2025 3rd quarter approval letter from SCDES included: Friable Materials <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transite, TSI • HEPA Filter • Transite Hole Drilling Non-Friable Materials <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gasket • Transite • Mastic • Cables/Wires • Floor Tile with Mastic • TSI, Transite Hole Drilling Caulk • Window Glazing • Ceramic Floor Tile • Miscellaneous Drilling Holes • Electrical Arc Sheets/ Components
76	N/A	Are all gloveboxes required for the SRPPF scope of work designed and procured?	All currently anticipated gloveboxes for the Main Process Building (MPB) should be designed by the time the transition period begins. While subcontracts for the MPB gloveboxes have been issued, delivery times vary.
77	N/A	When does NNSA anticipate being in receipt of 90% design for the SRPPF Main Process Building?	NNSA anticipates receipt of 90% drawings by Sep. 30, 2026.
78	N/A	When does NNSA anticipate approving the CD-2/3 package for SRPPF?	NNSA anticipates that approval may occur by Sep. 30, 2026.
79	N/A	Is there any opportunity to be given more physical space for completion of the SRPPF project?	No. While additional areas for laydown space may exist, there may be additional permitting actions that have to occur.
80	N/A	Is there additional off-site storage space for the SRPPF project.	Yes. An off-site warehouse located in Barnwell (1090 Joey Zorn Blvd.) has been leased to support glovebox delivery/storage which consists of approximately 225,000 sqft. of floor space and +/- 4.25 acre laydown yard.

Q#	RFP Ref., Title, Comment	Question	NNSA Response
81	N/A	Will CD-3X packages that are unapproved by the date of CD-2/3 approval be rolled into CD-2/3 or remain as separate CD-3X packages?	The scope for unapproved CD-3x packages will be rolled into CD-2/3 execution. This applies to CD-3F, 3K, 3L, and 3M.