

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 1	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0260		3. EFFECTIVE DATE See Block 16C		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	
6. ISSUED BY NNSA M&O Contracting Branch NA-PAS-211 Albuquerque Complex P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque NM 87185-5400		CODE 05115		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) 05002	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) MISSION SUPPORT & TEST SERVICES LLC Attn: Dane Tynes PO Box 98521 M/S NLV019 Las Vegas NV 891938421		(X)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.	
CODE		FACILITY CODE		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)	
		X		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. DE-NA0003624	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) 05/12/2017	

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

- ☐ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☐ is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
X	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) Mutual Agreement of the Parties, FAR 43.103(a)(3)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☒ is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

UEI: YSYZHPG4XB3

The purpose of this modification is to modify SECTION J, APPENDIX B - AWARD FEE PLAN as detailed in Attachment 1.

Payment:

Period of Performance: 06/07/2017 to 11/30/2027

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9 A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) Roger R. Rocha, President		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Marla Elaine Thornton	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR Roger R. Rocha (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED 	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA MARLA THORNTON (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 

Previous edition unusable

**PART III – *LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS, AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS*,
SECTION J – *LIST OF APPENDICES*, is modified as follows:**

- A. APPENDIX B – *AWARD FEE PLAN* is modified by removing and replacing the FY 2025 DOE/NNSA Strategic Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan (PEMP) Revision 00 that was incorporated into the MSTS contract via Mod. No. 0235 with the FY 2025 DOE/NNSA Strategic PEMP Rev 02 that was signed on 3/2/2025. The FY 2025 DOE/NNSA Strategic PEMP replacement document is included as Attachment 02 to this modification.**

No other changes are made as a result of this modification. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

(END OF MODIFICATION)

Fiscal Year 2025

DOE/NNSA Strategic Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan

Mission Support & Test Services, LLC

MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION OF THE

Nevada National Security Site

Contract Number: DE-NA0003624

Performance Evaluation Period:

October 1, 2024 through September 30, 2025

Roger R. Rocha [REDACTED]

Roger Rocha
President
Mission Support & Test Services, LLC

Date

BETTY HUCK [REDACTED]

Betty Huck
Field Office Manager
Nevada Field Office
National Nuclear Security Administration

Date

Marla Thornton [REDACTED]

Marla Elaine Thornton
Contracting Officer
Nevada Field Office
National Nuclear Security Administration

Date

FY 2025 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND MEASUREMENT PLAN

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Date	Change Description
1	02/11/2025	Removal of DEI language from Objective 4.7.
2	02/28/2025	Revision to climate resiliency and energy sustainability language.

INTRODUCTION

The Nevada National Security Site (NNSS) is a site owned by the United States Government, under the custody of the Department of Energy (DOE), herein referenced as “NNSS,” and is managed and operated by Mission Support & Test Services, LLC (MSTS). Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Contract, this NNSA Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan (PEMP) sets forth the criteria by which NNSA will evaluate MSTS’ performance and upon which NNSA shall determine of the amount of award fee earned. The available award fee amounts for FY 2025 are specified in Section B, *Supplies or Services and Prices/Costs*, of the Contract. This PEMP promotes a strategic Governance and Management Framework in support of the NNSA’s Strategic Vision. This Strategic Vision requires MSTS to fully execute mission milestones in support of key mission objectives and effectively meet significant management challenges identified by NNSA.

PERFORMANCE BASED APPROACH

The performance-based approach evaluates the MSTS performance through a set of Goals. Each Goal, and its associated Objectives and Key Outcomes (KOs) as applicable, will be measured against authorized cost, schedule, and technical performance, based on respective outcomes, demonstrated performance, and impact to DOE/NNSA missions.

MISSION

MSTS shall provide support and infrastructure for experiments and activities at the NNSS and satellite facilities. MSTS shall be responsible for a wide range of activities in support of DOE/NNSA missions that include the following: nuclear operations; remote field experiments and operations; physical and environmental science; nuclear waste management systems and technology; design and fabrication of electronic, mechanical, and structural systems; remote and robotic sensing; management of multi-laboratory facilities, mining, engineering, and construction operations; chemical, explosives, and hazardous materials systems and technologies; intelligence-related work; and waste management for various categories of waste. MSTS shall be responsible for a wide-range of facilities, laboratories, and equipment that support the custom design, construction, and fielding of experimental systems ranging from small electronic and remote sensing packages to fielding complex systems in hostile environments for use anywhere in the world.

MISSION PERFORMANCE

MSTS is accountable for and will be evaluated on successfully executing program work in accordance with applicable DOE/NNSA safety and security requirements consistent with the terms and conditions of the Contract. Protection of worker and public safety, the environment, and security are essential and implicit elements of successful mission performance. Accordingly, MSTS shall plan mission work with safety and security as integral to mission execution and meeting the affected programmatic Goals. The model for this PEMP is to rely on MSTS’ leadership to use appropriate DOE contractual requirements and recognized industrial standards based on consideration of its assurance system and supporting measures, metrics, and evidence. **MSTS is expected to manage in a safe, secure, efficient, effective, and results-driven manner, with appropriate risk management and transparency to the government, while taking appropriate measures to minimize costs that do not compromise core objectives and mission performance.** Products and services are expected to be delivered on-schedule and within budget.

INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS

MSTS will recommend innovative, technology/science-based, systems-engineering solutions to the most challenging problems that face the nation and the globe. MSTS will also provide evidence to support programmatic needs and operational goals tempered by risk. DOE/NNSA will take into consideration all major functions, including safety and security, contributing to mission success. In addition, DOE/NNSA expects MSTS to recommend and implement innovative business and management improvement solutions that enhance effectiveness and efficiency, to include partnering with external vendors and the Department of Defense’s existing industrial base.

CONSIDERATION OF CONTEXT IN PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

The evaluation of performance will consider context such as unanticipated barriers (e.g., budget restrictions, rule changes, circumstances outside MSTs' control), degree of difficulty, significant accomplishments or improvements, and other events that may occur during the performance period. A significant safety or security event may result in an overall limitation to adjectival ratings. Such impacts may be balanced by the response to the incident and by other initiatives to improve overall safety or security performance. MSTs is encouraged to note significant safety and security continuous improvements.

PERFORMANCE RATING PROCESS

DOE/NNSA will review performance throughout the performance evaluation period and provide biannual feedback to MSTs, highlighting accomplishments and/or issues based on contractor performance against the criteria in the PEMP. Sources of oversight data include, but are not limited to, DOE/NNSA formal assessments, contractor self-assessments, internal and external audits, inspections, program and project reviews, operational awareness activities, contractor assurance system, etc.

The evaluation will be documented in a Performance Evaluation Report (PER) and will include the performance ratings and award fee earned for the subject performance evaluation period. DOE/NNSA will consider MSTs end of year self-assessment report in the performance evaluation. Performance of Objectives and KOs (if any) will be assessed in the aggregate, with due consideration given to the level of progress made on achieving KOs, to determine an adjectival performance rating for each Goal. The Goals will then be considered in the aggregate to provide an overall rating and percentage of award fee earned for the contract. The performance ratings will be determined in accordance with FAR 16.401(e)(3) yielding ratings of Excellent, Very Good, Good, Satisfactory, or Unsatisfactory. Notwithstanding the overall strategic framework, any significant failure in any Goal may impact the overall rating and award fee earned. **Dollar values contained in the PEMP are provided as guidelines for developing a recommendation of fee allocation to the Fee Determining Official (FDO). The final determination as to the amount of fee earned is a unilateral determination made by the FDO.**

MSTs may request a face-to-face meeting with the FDO to highlight its strategic performance at the end of the performance evaluation period. This meeting should occur within the first two weeks after the end of the period.

PEMP CHANGE CONTROL

It is essential that a baseline of performance expectations be established at the beginning of the performance period to equitably measure performance, and that changes to that baseline are carefully managed. Nonetheless, unforeseen circumstances and/or changes in priorities may necessitate corresponding changes to individual PEMP(s). Any change to the PEMP, including adjustments or removal of KOs, requires concurrence by the appropriate field/program/functional office, the NNSA Senior Procurement Executive, and the FDO prior to the Field Office Manager and Contracting Officer signatures. While recognizing the unilateral rights of DOE/NNSA as expressed in the contract terms and conditions, bilateral changes are the preferred method of change whenever possible.

FEE ALIGNMENT AND “AT-RISK” AWARD FEE ALLOCATION

This table is provided for information only and does not change the terms and conditions of the contract. All goals will receive an adjectival assessment as a part of the Corporate Performance Evaluation Process (CPEP).

Goal	At-Risk Award Fee	At-Risk Award Fee Percent
Goal-1: Mission Delivery: Nuclear Weapons	\$TBD	35%
Goal-2: Mission Delivery: Global Nuclear Security	\$TBD	15%
Goal-3: Mission Innovation: Advancing Science and Technology	\$TBD	5%
Goal-4: Mission Enablement	\$TBD	15%
Goal-5: Construction Projects and Infrastructure	\$TBD	15%
Goal-6: Mission Leadership	\$TBD	15%
Total	\$TBD	100%

The above template is applied to each field office using At-Risk Award Fee (AF) amounts established in each individual contract. The amounts are based on estimated values for FY25 and will change slightly as actual values for various categories of work are established with FY25 budgets.

UNEARNED FEE

DOE/NNSA reserves the right to withdraw and redistribute DOE/NNSA unearned fees.

Goal 1: Mission Delivery: Nuclear Weapons

Successfully execute the cost, scope, and schedule of the Nuclear Stockpile mission work for Defense Programs work in a safe and secure manner in accordance with DOE/NNSA priorities, Work Authorizations, and Execution/Implementation Plans.

Objectives:

- Objective 1.1: Work as a team across the Nuclear Security Enterprise to provide the knowledge, personnel, and capabilities to maintain confidence in the nuclear stockpile without additional nuclear explosive testing by developing diagnostics and executing technical operations on the NNSS to aid in the design, certification and assessment of current and future weapon systems, processes, and components.
- Objective 1.2: Work as a team across the Nuclear Security Enterprise to plan and execute production sustainment and integration, nuclear enterprise assurance, and effective weapon quality assurance to ensure the Nuclear Security Enterprise optimizes production operations, minimizes quality escapes, and increases the resiliency of nuclear weapons and nuclear weapon production and sustainment activities within normal, abnormal, and adversarial environments well into the future.
- Objective 1.3: Work as a team across the Nuclear Security Enterprise to execute assigned work to maintain and enhance the safety, security, reliability, and performance of the US nuclear weapon stockpile. Execute planning, development, certification, assessment/surveillance, production, and maintenance of the current U.S. nuclear weapon stockpile, including all associated documentation and hardware, consistent with mission and task assignments.
- Objective 1.4: Work as a team across the Nuclear Security Enterprise on stockpile modernization program scope to 1) achieve and maintain program delivery schedules; 2) lower risk to achieving First Production Unit (FPU), Last Production Unit (LPU), and program overbuilds; 3) improve supply chain execution; and 4) control costs.
- Objective 1.5: Work as a team across the Nuclear Security Enterprise to develop and execute modernization strategies to ensure NNSA's strategic materials and component manufacturing capabilities will meet future nuclear weapons production requirements. Execute work focused on sustainment of existing capabilities, re-establishment of lost capabilities, deployment of new capabilities and technologies, and strategic investments to ensure timely material and component deliveries.
- Objective 1.6: Work as a team across the Nuclear Security Enterprise to implement Digital Transformation principles by using Digital Engineering to improve product design, production, sustainment, and business practices.

Key Outcome:

- KO 1.1: Provide the necessary facilities, diagnostic capabilities, and personnel to execute the Nob Hill subcritical experiment on or before June 30, 2025.

Goal 2: Mission Delivery: Global Nuclear Security

Successfully execute the cost, scope, and schedule of the authorized global nuclear security mission work in a safe and secure manner to include the Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation, Nuclear Counterterrorism and Counterproliferation, and Incident Response missions in accordance with DOE/NNSA priorities, Work Authorizations, and Execution/Implementation Plans.

Objectives:

- Objective 2.1: Support efforts to enhance global nuclear security by securing and preventing the trafficking of nuclear and radioactive materials.
- Objective 2.2: Support U.S. national and nuclear security objectives in reducing global nuclear security threats through the innovation of technical capabilities to detect, identify, and characterize: 1) foreign nuclear weapons programs, 2) illicit diversion of special nuclear materials, and 3) global nuclear detonations.
- Objective 2.3: Support efforts to achieve permanent threat reduction by managing and minimizing excess weapons-useable nuclear materials and providing nuclear materials for peaceful uses.
- Objective 2.4: Support efforts to prevent proliferation, ensure peaceful nuclear uses, and enable verifiable nuclear reductions to strengthen the nonproliferation and arms control regimes.
- Objective 2.5: Sustain and improve nuclear counterterrorism, counterproliferation, and forensic science, technology, expertise and associated Nuclear Emergency Support Team (NEST) capabilities; execute response missions, implement policies and procedures in support of response and forensics missions, and assist international partners/organizations.

Key Outcomes:

- KO 2.1: Complete Phase II mining for Low Yield Nuclear Monitoring (LYNM) Physics Experiment 1 (PE-1) on or before August 1, 2025.
- KO 2.2: Design and document the implementation of a single Common Operating Picture platform for interagency preventative radiological detection missions enabling awareness, assessment, effective response to radiation detection events originating from DOE, interagency, state, and local partner detection systems and provide support and expertise to help build international and domestic partner capacity in emergency preparedness and response by executing at least 90% of assigned capacity building engagements on or before September 30, 2025.

Goal 3: Mission Innovation: Advancing Science and Technology

Successfully advance national security missions through innovation by expanding the frontiers of Science, Technology, and Engineering (ST&E). Execute transformative and leading-edge Research and Development (R&D) by creating a vibrant, creative, environment that leverages effective partnerships and technology transfer endeavors. Effectively manage high-impact DOE Work and Site Directed Research and Development (SDRD) and Technology Transfer, etc. in a safe and secure manner consistent with DOE/NNSA priorities, Work Authorizations, and Execution/Implementation Plans.

Objectives:

- Objective 3.1: Execute a research strategy that is clear and aligns discretionary investments (e.g., SDRD) with the NNSA's strategy and supports DOE/NNSA priorities.
- Objective 3.2: Ensure that research is relevant, enables the national security missions, and benefits DOE/NNSA and the nation.
- Objective 3.3: Ensure that research is transformative, innovative, leading edge, high quality, and advances the frontiers of science and engineering.
- Objective 3.4: Maintain a healthy and vibrant research environment that enhances technical workforce competencies and research capabilities.
- Objective 3.5: Research and develop high-impact technologies through effective partnerships and technology transfer mechanisms that support the NNSA's strategy, DOE/NNSA priorities and impact the public good; and ensure that reporting, publishing, and information management requirements of federally funded scientific research and development are implemented (via DOE's Public Access Plan) and per DOE's Scientific and Technical Information Management directive (DOE O 241.1B or its successor).
- Objective 3.6: Pursue and perform high-impact work for DOE that strategically integrates with the DOE/NNSA mission, and leverages, sustains and strengthens unique science and engineering capabilities, facilities, and essential skills.

Goal 4: Mission Enablement

Effectively and efficiently manage the safe and secure operations of the NNSS in accordance with cost, scope and schedule while maintaining an NNSA enterprise-wide focus; demonstrating accountability for mission performance and management controls; successfully executing cyber, technical, informational, and physical security requirements, and assure mission commitments are met with high-quality products and services.

Objectives:

- Objective 4.1: Deliver effective, efficient, and responsive Environment, Safety, and Health (ES&H), Quality (including a Weapon Quality Management System and software quality) and waste management. Advance DOE/NNSA's energy security and resilience by progressing onsite generation where applicable.
- Objective 4.2: Deliver effective, efficient, and responsive safeguards and security, including assigned enterprise initiatives.
- Objective 4.3: Deliver efficient, effective, supportable, and transparent financial management operations and systems including financial integration reporting; budget formulation and execution; programmatic cost estimates; and internal controls.
- Objective 4.4: Deliver efficient and effective management of legal risk and incorporation of best legal practices. Deliver timely and actionable recommendations and analysis to Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act requests.
- Objective 4.5: Deliver effective, efficient, secure, and responsive information technology (IT) systems that support mission and functional area delivery. Ensure execution of all implementation factors established in the NA-IM IT and Cybersecurity Program Execution Guidance to strengthen day-to-day IT and cybersecurity operations.
- Objective 4.6: Deliver effective, efficient, and responsive site emergency management programs in support of the DOE/NNSA Emergency Management Enterprise.
- Objective 4.7: Deliver efficient, effective, and compliant business operations including, but not limited to, procurement, human resources, and property systems, in support of NNSA missions. Focus areas include achieving small business and socioeconomic goals; evaluating opportunities for, and implementing, as necessary, effective subcontracting approaches to expand the small business industrial base for appropriate construction work scope; performing timely and high-quality subcontract actions; and supporting enterprise-wide recruitment events and retention efforts.

Goal 5: Construction Projects and Infrastructure

Effectively and efficiently manage the infrastructure lifecycle process to meet current and emerging national security challenges through integrated infrastructure planning, acquisition, and prioritization. For clarity, projects with separate award-fee structures are not considered under this Goal.

Objectives:

- Objective 5.1: Implement a comprehensive and integrated infrastructure prioritization and planning process. Update planning data and mission needs in the G2 Program Management system planning module for the FYNSP to support strategic planning elements, such as the Enterprise Blueprint, Master Asset Plan, Area Plans, and Deep Dives. Provide cost and schedule estimates in accordance with established guidance to ensure mission delivery.
- Objective 5.2: Plan and execute Capital Asset Line-Item Construction Projects, minor construction projects, capital equipment projects (including Major Items of Equipment), real property acquisitions, and disposition projects in accordance with cost, scope, schedule baselines, technical requirements, code of record and/or execution plans. Monitor and report on project performance against baselines, provide accurate and timely deviations on performance to stakeholders, and utilize risk management processes.
- Objective 5.3: Develop and execute operations and maintenance strategies, consistent with available funding, that enable reliable asset performance and enduring facility capabilities that align with mission requirements and priorities.

Goal 6: Mission Leadership

Successfully demonstrate leadership in supporting the direction of the overall DOE/NNSA mission, cultivating a Performance Excellence Culture that encompasses all aspects of operations and continues to emphasize safety and security, improving the responsiveness of MSTs' leadership team to issues and opportunities for continuous improvement internally and across the Enterprise, and parent company involvement/commitment to the overall success of the NNS and the Enterprise.

Objectives:

- Objective 6.1: Define and implement a realistic strategic vision for the NNS, in alignment with the NNSA Strategic Vision, which demonstrates enterprise leadership and effective collaborations across the NNSA enterprise to ensure DOE/NNSA success.
- Objective 6.2: Demonstrate performance results through the institutional utilization of a Contractor Assurance System and promoting a culture of critical self-assessment, transparency, and accountability through the entire organization, while also leveraging parent company resources and expertise.
- Objective 6.3: Develop and implement a Nuclear Security Enterprise-wide partnership model that enhances collaboration, reinforces shared fate, and enables mission success including transformation of the stockpile and the enterprise.
- Objective 6.4: Exhibit professional excellence in performing roles/responsibilities while pursuing collaborative opportunities for continuous organizational and enterprise learning and demonstrated improvements that will enhance productivity, grow the capacity to execute mission, and manage, rather than avoid risk when appropriate. Pursue innovations to increase agility and resilience while controlling costs. Advance the operational capabilities of the Nuclear Security Enterprise by identifying and employing latent capacity existing in the enterprise.
- Objective 6.5: Demonstrate leadership in driving enhanced and sustainable formality and rigor of operations through proactive implementation of effective and efficient measures to minimize operational upsets that have potential to impact mission.
- Objective 6.6: Leadership takes decisive action, as a cooperative partner of NNSA, to attract and retain the workforce needed to achieve the Nuclear Security Enterprise missions, with particular emphasis on critical and under-resourced skill sets, reaching back to parent company resources as necessary.

**FAR 16.401(e)(3) AWARD FEE ADJECTIVAL RATINGS AND
SUPPLEMENTAL DEFINITIONS**

Excellent	91%-100%	Contractor has exceeded almost all of the significant award-fee criteria and has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract in the aggregate as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award-fee evaluation period. <i>This performance level is evidenced by at least one significant accomplishment, or a combination of accomplishments that significantly outweigh very minor issues, if any. No significant issues in performance exist.</i>
Very Good	76% - 90%	Contractor has exceeded many of the significant award-fee criteria and has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract in the aggregate as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award-fee evaluation period. <i>This performance level is evidenced by accomplishments that greatly outweigh issues. No significant issues in performance exist.</i>
Good	51% - 75%	Contractor has exceeded some of the significant award-fee criteria and has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract in the aggregate as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award-fee evaluation period. <i>This performance level is evidenced by accomplishments that slightly outweigh issues. No significant issues in performance exist.</i>
Satisfactory	No greater than 50%	Contractor has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract in the aggregate as defined and measured against the criteria in the award- fee plan for the award-fee evaluation period. <i>This performance level is evidenced by issues that slightly outweigh accomplishments.</i>
Unsatisfactory	0%	Contractor has failed to meet overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract in the aggregate as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award-fee evaluation period. <i>This performance level is evidenced by issues that significantly outweigh accomplishments, if any.</i>

Definitions:

An **Accomplishment** is an achievement or success in the performance of contract requirements that exceeds standards or expectations. Examples might be performing full contract requirements under budget while meeting or exceeding schedule baselines or performing additional scope within the initial cost targets with no negative effect on requirements or other programs, indicating continued performance improvement.

An **Issue** is a point in question or a matter that indicates a concern with performance that needs attention and improvement such as events or performance that: raise concerns regarding successful performance of contract requirements within scope, cost (budget), and/or schedule baselines; or have a potential negative effect on requirements or other programs.