PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT:University of Virginia

STATE: VA

PROJECT TITLE:

The Building Adapter: Automatic Mapping of Commercial Buildings for Scalable Building Analytics

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001632

Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0008227

NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0008227-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and gathering, analysis, audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the University of Virginia to create new solutions for the manual metadata mapping problem: the costly process of creating a match between a building's sensor data streams and the inputs of a building analytics engine.

Activities associated with the proposed project would include literature searches, information gathering, data analysis, computer modeling, analytical reviews, conceptual designs, feasibility studies, document preparation, data dissemination, paper studies, and software development. These activities would use no physical materials beyond basic office supplies and software and there would not be any potential health, safety or environmental impacts associated.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410 (2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Building Technologies Office

This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Question... Page 2 of 2

Review completed by Rebecca McCord on 08/19/2017.

| SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM C | ONSTITUTES A RECORD OF TH | IS DECISION. | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: | Research Casey Strickland | Date: | 8/21/2017 |
| AV :TFATe | NEPA Compliance Officer | | |
| FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINAT | TION | | |
| ☐ Field Office Manager review required | | | |
| NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MA | NAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOL | LOWING REASON: | |
| Proposed action fits within a categorical ex Manager's attention. | clusion but involves a high profile or | controversial issue that warrants | Field Office |
| Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination. | | | |
| BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH | I THE DETERMINATION OF TH | E NCO: | |
| Field Office Manager's Signature: | | Date: | |
| | Field Office Manager | | |