the In-use Testing Program, manufacturers are required to test engines after a number of hours of use to verify that they comply with emission standards throughout their useful lives. There are recordkeeping requirements in all programs.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden is estimated to average 3,865 hours per respondent for the certification program, 1,930 hours per respondent for the PLT program and 2,041 hours per respondent for in-use program.

(5) *Title:* Information Requirements for Locomotive and Locomotive Engines; EPA ICR Number 1800.03, OMB Control Number 2060–0392, expiring on 12/31/2004.

Docket Number: OAR–2004–0062. Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by these actions are

manufacturers and remanufacturers of locomotives and locomotive engines. *Abstract:* The Clean Air Act requires

manufacturers and remanufacturers of locomotives and locomotive engines to obtain a certificate of conformity with applicable emission standards before they may be legally introduced their products into commerce. To apply for a certificate of conformity, respondents are required to submit descriptions of their planned production, including detailed descriptions of emission control systems and test data. This information is organized by "engine family" groups expected to have similar emission characteristics and is submitted every year, at the beginning of the model year. Respondents electing to participate in the Averaging, Banking and Trading (AB&T) Program are also required to submit information regarding the calculation, actual generation and usage of credits in quarterly reports, and an end-of-the-year report. Under the Production-line Testing (PLT) Program, manufacturers are required to test a sample of engines as they leave the assembly line. The Installation Audit Program requires remanufacturers to audit the installation of a sample of remanufactured engines. These self-audit programs (collectively referred to as the "PLT Program") allow manufacturers and remanufacturers to monitor compliance with statistical certainty and minimize the cost of correcting errors through early detection. Under the In-use Testing Program, manufacturers and remanufacturers are required to test locomotives after a number of years of use to verify that they comply with emission standards throughout their useful lives. There are recordkeeping requirements in all programs.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden associated with the certification program is estimated to average 203 hours per manufacturer and 159 per remanufacturer. Respondents electing to participate in the AB&T program spend 278 hours per year on average. The annual burden associated with participation in the PLT Program is 183 hours for manufacturers and 155 for remanufacturers. In-use testing burden is 155 hours for manufacturers and 60 hours for remanufacturers.

Dated: June 10, 2004.

#### Robert Brenner,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 04–13855 Filed 6–17–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6652-5]

## Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed June 7, 2004, through June 11, 2004, pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

- EIS No. 040271, Final EIS, FHW, VT, VT 9/100, Transportation Improvement Study (NH–010–1(33), in the Towns of Wilmington and West Dover, Federal Permits and Approvals, NPDES Permit and COE section 10 and 404 Permits. Windham County, VT, wait period ends: July 19, 2004, contact: Kenneth R. Sikora, Jr. (802) 828–4423.
- EIS No. 040272, Final EIS, NPS, CA, Whiskeytown Fire Management Plan, Implementation, Whiskeytown National Recreation Area, Klamath Mountains, Shasta County, CA, wait period ends: July 19, 2004, contact: Paul DePrey (530) 242–3445.
- EIS No. 040273, Final EIS, FRC, CA, Pit 3, 4, 5 Hydroelectric Project, (FERC No. 233–081), Application for New License, Pit River, Pit River Basin, Shasta-Trinity National Forest and Lassen National Forest, Shasta County, CA, wait period ends: July 19, 2004, contact: John Mudre (202) 502– 8902.
- EIS No. 040274, Draft EIS, DOE, MT, South Fork Flathead Watershed Westslope Cutthroat Trout Conservation Program, Preserve the Genetic Purity of the Westslope Cutthroat Trout Population, Flathead

National Forest, Flathead River, Flathead, Powell and Missoula Counties, MT, comment period ends: August 2, 2004, contact: Colleen Spiering (503) 230–5756. This document is available on the Internet at: http://www.efw.bpa.gov/.

- EIS No. 040275, Draft EIS, BLM, AK, Northeast National Petroleum Reserve Alaska Amended Integrated Activity Plan, to Amend 1998 Northeast Petroleum Reserve, to Consider Opening Portions of the BLM-Administrated Lands, North Slope Borough, AK, comment period ends: August 2, 2004, contact: Susan Childs (907) 271–1985.
- EIS No. 040276, Final EIS, FAA, MN, Flying Cloud Airport Expansion, Extensions of the Runway 10R/28L and 10L/28R, Long-Term Comprehensive Development, in the City of Eden Prairie, MN, wait period ends: August 17, 2004, contact: Glen Orcult (612) 713–4354.
- EIS No. 040277, Draft EIS, DOI, AZ, CA, NV, NM, Programmatic EIS—Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Issuing a Incidental Take Permit based on the Plan, Extending from Lake Mead to the Southerly International Boundary with Mexico, AZ, NV and CA, comment period ends: August 18, 2004, contact: Glen Gould (702) 293– 8702.
- EIS No. 040278, Draft EIS, IBR, CA, San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Water Authority—2005 to 2014, Water Transfer Program, Stanislaus, San Joaquin, Merced, Madera, Fresno, San Benito, Santa Clara, Kern, and Kings Counties, CA, comment period ends: August 2, 2004, contact: Sheryl Carter (559) 487–5299.
- EIS No. 040279, Final EIS, DOE, OH, Portsmouth, Ohio Site Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride Conversion Facility, Construction and Operation, Pike County, OH wait period ends: July 19, 2004, contact: Gary S. Hartman (866) 530–0944. This document is available on the Internet at: http://www.eh.doe.gov/nepa/ documents.htm1.
- EIS No. 040280, FINAL EIS, DOE, KY, Paducah, Kentucky, Site Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride Conversion Facility, Construction and Operation, McCraken County, KY, wait period ends: July 19, 2004, contact: Gary S. Hartman (866) 530–0944. This document is available on the Internet at: http://www.eh.doe.gov/nepa/ documents.htm1.

Dated: June 15, 2004. **Ken Mittelholtz,**  *Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.* [FR Doc. 04–13859 Filed 6–17–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P** 

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6652-6]

## Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

# Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–J65018–MT Rating EC2, Sheep Creek Salvage Project, Moving Current Resource Conditions and Trends Toward Desired Future Conditions, Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, Beaverhead County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about potential water effects of proposed salvage harvests and noted the need for consistency with TMDL development for Trail Creek. EPA recommended additional watershed restoration components and improved grazing management to assure Sheep Creek Salvage activities can occur consistent with TMDLs and long-term water quality restoration.

ERP No. D–NAS–A12042–00 Rating LO, Programmatic EIS—Mars Exploration Program (MEP) Implementation.

*Summary:* EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D–NSF–A99224–00 Rating LO, Development and Implementation of Surface Traverse Capabilities in Antarctia Comprehensive

Environmental Evaluation, Antarctica. Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed action.

#### **Final EISs**

ERP No. F–BOP–K81025–CA, Fresno Federal Correctional Facility Development, Funding, Orange Cove, Fresno County, CA. *Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–FAA–G11043–LA, Adoption—2nd Armored Cavalry Regiment Transformation and Installation Mission Support, Joint Readiness Training Center (JRT) Stryker Bridge Combat Team, Long-Term Military Training Use of Kisatchie National Forest Lands, Fort Polk, LA.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–GSA–D89079–DC, Southeast Federal Center Development, Land Transfer for Mixed-Use Development of Residences, Offices, Shops, a Waterfront Park and Cultural Amenities, Implementation, DC.

*Summary:* EPA has no objection to the proposed action.

ERP No. F-HUD-C81018-NY, Generic EIS—World Trade Center Memorial and Redevelopment Plan, to Remember, Rebuild andRenew what was Lost on September 11, 2001, Construction in the Borough of Manhattan, New York County, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed continued concerns regarding air quality impacts from construction. EPA requested that the ROD be definitive on the utilization of electric construction equipment; include a commitment to implement all Environmental Performance Commitments; contain an evaluation of the cumulative NO<sub>X</sub> emissions and the result of the final statement of Conformity and; commit to emission offset measures pending conformity demonstration with the New York State SIP.

ERP No. F–USA–E11052–GA, Digital Multi-Purpose Range Complex at Fort Benning, Construction, Operation andMaintenance, Gunnery Training Facilities for the Bradley Fighting Vehicle (BFV) and the Abrams M1A1 Tank System (Tank), Fort Benning, GA.

*Summary:* EPA continues to express concern regarding wetland/water quality impacts and erosion/sediment control.

Dated: June 15, 2004.

#### Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04–13860 Filed 6–17–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## [ER-FRL-6652-7]

# Retraction of Notice of Intent To Prepare an EIS

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency, EPA. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice retracts the Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)** for the proposed reissuance of National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permits (GPs), OKG010000 and NMG010000, for **Concentrated Animal Feeding** Operations (CAFOs) in Oklahoma and New Mexico, and Indian lands in Oklahoma and New Mexico, issued on May 18, 2004. The scoping meetings scheduled for June 22, 2004, in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and on June 24, 2004, in Las Cruces, New Mexico, are cancelled.

**ADDRESSES:** Office of Planning and Coordination, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, TX 75202; tel: (214) 665– 8150.

*Responsible Official:* Richard E. Greene, Regional Administrator.

#### Anne Norton Miller,

Director, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 04–13917 Filed 6–17–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# [FRL-7775-4]

## Notice of Public Meeting of the National Environmental Education Advisory Council

Notice is hereby given that the National Environmental Education Advisory Council, established under section 9 of the National Environmental Education Act of 1990 (the Act), will hold a public meeting on July 29 and 30, 2004. The meeting will take place at 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Thursday, July 29th and Friday, July 30th. The purpose of this meeting is to provide the Council with the opportunity to advise EPA's Office of Public Affairs (OPA) and the Office of Environmental Education (OEE) on its implementation of the Act. Members of the public are invited to attend and submit written comments to EPA following the meeting.