the end of this withdrawal period, it proposes to abandon the two wells at an estimated cost of \$37,000. NGT estimates the cost of removing all the field lines and appurtenant surface equipment at \$95,685.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make protest with reference to said application should on or before April 3, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is file within the time required herein, and if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that the abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for NGT to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–6584 Filed 3–16–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-4721-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 13, 1995 through February 17, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260–5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1995 (59 FR 16807).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-C39009–NY Rating EC2, Atlantic Coast of Long Island Jones Inlet to East Rockaway Inlet Storm Damage Reduction Project, Construction, Long Beach Island, Nassau County, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential cumulative impacts associated with this and other erosion/storm damage protection projects on Long Island and requested that additional information be presented in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-COE-K32047–CA Rating EC2, Humboldt Harbor and Bay (Deepening) Channels, Feasibility Study for Navigation Improvements, Humboldt County, CA.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the draft EIS did not analyze the no action alternative and that more information concerning both management of the unsuitable dredged material and monitoring of the disposal site was needed.

ERP No. D-FHW-B40080–MA Rating EC2, US 6 Transportation Improvements Project, between the Towns of Dennis and Orleans on Cape Cod, Funding, Coast Guard Bridge Permit and COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Barnstable County, MA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the expansion of Cape Cod's Route 6 from two to four lanes between the Towns of Dennis and Orleans as proposed in the draft EIS. The project would cause direct adverse effects on the water supplies and wetlands of several towns as well as indirect environmental effects through induced growth and traffic on the Outer Cape. In keeping with the goal of the Cape Cod Commission's long range transportation plan for Cape Cod-to reduce reliance on the automobile and encourage alternative transportation modes-EPA recommended that the FHWA aggressively pursue multimodal solutions and make improvements to the existing roadway.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-FHW-C40125–NY, Northern State Parkway Widening Project, Construction from Meadowbrook State Parkway Interchange to Wantagh State Parkway Interchange, Funding, Town of North Hempstead, Nassau County, NY.

Summary: EPA believed that the proposed project will not result in significant adverse environmental impacts; therefore, EPA had no objections to its implementation.

ERP No. F-FHW-E40744–NC, US 421 Highway Improvements, East of Secondary Road 2433 to West of I–77, Funding and Possible COE Section 404 Permit, Wilkes and Yadkin Cos., NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the selected alignment was not the most environmentally sound option to meet the project's objectives.

Dated: March 14, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95–6677 Filed 3–16–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

[ER-FRL-4721-2]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260–5076 OR (202) 260–5075. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 06, 1995 Through March 10, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

- EIS No. 950074, FINAL EIS, BLM, MT, Big Dry Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Miles City District, several counties, MT, Due: April 17, 1995, Contact: James Beavers (406) 255–2918.
- EIS No. 950075, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, AFS, AK, Bohemia Mountain Timber Sale, Updated Information concerning Resolution of Three Appeal Issues Regarding Harvesting Timber, Tongass National Forest, Stikine Area, AK, Due: May 01, 1995, Contact: David E. Helmick (907) 772–3841.
- EIS No. 950076, FINAL EIS, FRC, MN, St. Louis River Basin Hydroelectric Projects, Issuing New Licenses for FERC Projects, Cloquet NO. 2363 and St. Louis River No. 2360, St. Louis and Carlton Counties, MN, Due: April 17, 1995, Contact: John S. Blair (202) 219–2845.
- EIS No. 950077, DRAFT EIS, FHW, NC, Wilmington Bypass Transportation Improvement Program, Construction

from I–40 to US 421, Funding, NPDES, US Coast Guard Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, New Hanover County, NC, Due: May 01, 1995, Contact: Nicholas L. Graf (919) 856–4346.

- EIS No. 950078, FINAL EIS, FHW, WA, Stillaguamish River Bridges WA-9/ 132 (Haller) and WA-530/120 (Lincoln) Bridge Replacement Project, Improvements, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and Right-of-Way Acquisition, City of Arlington, Snohomish County, WA, Due: April 17, 1995, Contact: Dale Morimoto (206) 440-4548.
- EIS No. 950079, DRAFT EIS, FHW, MT, US 93 Highway Transportation Project, Improvements between Evaro and Polson, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Missoula and Lake Counties, MT, Due: May 08, 1995, Contact: Joe Marshik (406) 444–6394.
- EIS No. 950080, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, DOE, WA, ID, NM, NV, MT, UT, OR, CA, AZ, WY, Business Plan to Operate Electric Utility Market, Transmission Services and Fish and Wildlife Activities, Updated and New Information, Funding and Implementation, WA, OR, ID, CA, NV, AZ, MT, WY, UT, NM and British Columbia, Due: May 01, 1995, Contact: Charles Alton (503) 230– 3403.
- EIS No. 950081, DRAFT EIS DOE, TX, ID, NV, TN, SC, Programmatic EIS— Tritium Supply and Recycling Facilities Siting, Construction and Operation, Implementation, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID; Nevada Test Site, NV; Oak Ridge Reservation, TN; Pantex Plant, TX or Savannah River Site, SC, Due: May 15, 1995, Contact: Alfred W. Feldt (202) 586–5449.
- EIS No. 950082, DRAFT EIS, AFS, PR, Caribbean National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, PR, Due: June 16, 1995, Contact: Pablo Cruz (809) 766– 5335.
- EIS No. 950083, FINAL EIS, AFS, ID, Boise River Wildfire Recovery Project, Implementation, North Fork Boise River and Mores Creek Drainages, Boise National Forest, Idaho City and Mountain Home Ranger Districts, Boise and Elmore Counties, ID, Due: May 01, 1995, Contact: Terry Padilla (208) 364–4330.
- EIS No. 950084, FINAL EIS, NPS, NY, Hamilton Grange National Memorial, General Management Plan, Implementation, New York County, NY, Due: May 01, 1995, Contact: Georgette Nelms (212) 264–4456.
- EIS No. 950085, DRAFT EIS, AFS, ID, Thunderbolt Wildfire Recovery

Project, Implementation, Boise and Payette National Forests, Valley County, ID, Due: May 01, 1995, Contact: Steve Patterson (208) 364– 7400.

- EIS No. 950086, DRAFT EIS, FHW, WA, WA–3/WA–304 Bremerton Ferry Terminal to the vicinity of Gorst Highway Improvement Project, Implementation, Funding, Right-of-Way Grant, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Bremerton, Kitsap County, WA, Due: May 08, 1995, Contact: Jim Leonard (206) 753–2120.
- EIS No. 950087, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, NOA, Western 1995 Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fishery, Regulation Amendment, Updated Information, Implementation, Due: May 01, 1995, Contact: Rolland Schmitten (301) 713–2239.
- EIS No. 950088, DRAFT EIS, DOE, WA, Columbia Wind Farm #1 Project, Construction and Operation of a 25 Megawatt (MW) Wind Power Project in the Columbia Hills Area, Conditional-Use-Permit, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Klickitat County, WA, Due: May 01, 1995, Contact: Kathy Fisher (509) 773–5703.
- EIS No. 950089, DRAFT EIS, DOE, SC, Savannah River Site Interim Management of Nuclear Materials, Implementation, Aiken and Barnwell County, SC, Due: May 01, 1995, Contact: Arthur B. Gould (800) 242– 8269.
- EIS No. 950090, FINAL EIS, EPA, ID, Adoption—Stone Cabin Open Pit Gold and Silver Mine Development and Operation, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Permit, Issuance, Florida Mountain, Boise District, Owyhee County, ID, Contact: Sally Brough (206) 553–1295.

The US Environmental Protection Agency has adopted the US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management's final EIS filed on 8–12–94. EPA was a Cooperating Agency for the above final EIS. Recirculation of the document is not necessary Under Section 1506.3(c) of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations.

Dated: March 14, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95–6678 Filed 3–16–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

National City Corporation, et al.; Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies; and Acquisitions of Nonbanking Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied under § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) to become a bank holding company or to acquire voting securities of a bank or bank holding company. The listed companies have also applied under § 225.23(a)(2) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies, or to engage in such an activity. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States

The applications are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than April 3, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland (John J. Wixted, Jr., Vice President) 1455 East Sixth Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44101: