PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



STATE: WA

RECIPIENT: University of Washington, Seattle

PROJECT TITLE:

CIGS Technology Advancement via Fundamental Modeling of Defect/Impurity Interactions

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0001840 DF-FF0008556 GFO-0008556-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.15 Small-

scale indoor projects using nanoscale materials

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for indoor small-scale research research and and development projects and small-scale pilot projects using nanoscale materials in accordance with development applicable requirements (such as engineering, worker safety, procedural, and administrative regulations) necessary to ensure the containment of any hazardous materials. Construction and modification activities would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible).

B3.6 Smallscale research and **laboratory** operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the University of Washington (UW) to develop and test initial versions of modeling tools for the design and optimization of thin film solar photovoltaic (PV) manufacturing processes.

The proposed project would be limited to data analysis, computer modeling, and bench-scale laboratory testing of various solar PV materials for the purpose of model validation. Computational calculations, model development, process and device simulations, and data analysis would occur in a dedicated electrical and computer engineering building at UW's Nanotechnology Modeling Laboratory (Seattle, WA). At this location, project work would be limited to paper studies and computer use. Laboratory activities would occur at the Molecular Analysis Facility (MAF), a state-owned research center in Seattle for use by both UW as well as external users. Work at this location would include characterization of absorber films and solar cells using a range of standard spectroscopy and microscopy techniques.

All project activities would be conducted entirely within purpose-built university research and development facilities. No change in the use, mission, or operation of existing facilities would arise out of project efforts. The Recipient has all applicable permits in place, and would not need additional permits for the proposed activities.

Proposed activities at the MAF would involve the use and handling of small quantities of potentially hazardous materials, including mild solvents such as acetone. Laboratory activities would also involve the characterization of thin film structures with total thicknesses measured in the 100-1000 nanometer range. However, these materials would remain attached to glass substrates with greater-than-nanoscale dimensions at all times during testing procedures, therefore posing no special risks due to size. All such work would occur in-lab following proper hazardous material management practices in compliance with applicable Federal, state, and local environmental regulations. Project participants would adhere to existing UW health and safety policies and procedures, including employee training, the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments.

Expected material usage for the proposed project is minimal (less than 10 kilograms per year of thin film layers/devices). Normal types and quantities of associated non-hazardous laboratory and office supplies (e.g. gloves and paper) would be disposed of or recycled via systems already in place at these facilities. At the conclusion of the proposed project, characterized samples would be retained for future reference.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Solar Energy Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA Provision. NEPA review completed by Whitney Doss, 4/1/2019

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Kristin Kerwin	Date:	4/2/2019
	NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION	N		

☑ Field Office Manager review not required☐ Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:

Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:	
	Field Office Manager		