PMC-ND U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (1.08.09.13) OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT:Gang Wang/University of Miami

STATE: FL

PROJECTPerformance Demonstration of an Occupancy Sensor-enabled Integrated Solution for Commercial**TITLE:**Buildings

Funding Opportunity Announcement NumberProcurement Instrument NumberNEPA Control NumberCID NumberDE-FOA-0001825DE-EE0008683GFO-0008683-001GO8683

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and information informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-
scaleSiting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and
development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and
sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a
concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or
contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are
readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are
undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for
commercial deployment.

B5.1 Actions (a) Actions to conserve energy or water, demonstrate potential energy or water conservation, and promote to conserve energy efficiency that would not have the potential to cause significant changes in the indoor or outdoor concentrations of potentially harmful substances. These actions may involve financial and technical energy or water assistance to individuals (such as builders, owners, consultants, manufacturers, and designers), organizations (such as utilities), and governments (such as state, local, and tribal). Covered actions include, but are not limited to weatherization (such as insulation and replacing windows and doors); programmed lowering of thermostat settings; placement of timers on hot water heaters; installation or replacement of energy efficient lighting, low-flow plumbing fixtures (such as faucets, toilets, and showerheads), heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems, and appliances; installation of drip-irrigation systems; improvements in generator efficiency and appliance efficiency ratings; efficiency improvements for vehicles and transportation (such as fleet changeout); power storage (such as flywheels and batteries, generally less than 10 megawatt equivalent); transportation management systems (such as traffic signal control systems, car navigation, speed cameras, and automatic plate number recognition); development of energy-efficient manufacturing, industrial, or building practices; and small-scale energy efficiency and conservation research and development and small-scale pilot projects. Covered actions include building renovations or new structures, provided that they occur in a previously disturbed or developed area. Covered actions could involve commercial, residential, agricultural, academic, institutional, or industrial sectors. Covered actions do not include rulemakings, standard-settings, or proposed DOE legislation, except for those actions listed in B5.1(b) of this appendix. (b) Covered actions include rulemakings that establish energy conservation standards for consumer products and industrial equipment, provided that the actions would not: (1) have the potential to cause a significant change in manufacturing infrastructure (such as construction of new manufacturing plants with considerable associated ground disturbance); (2) involve significant unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (such as rare or limited raw materials); (3) have the potential to result in a significant increase in the disposal of materials posing significant risks to human health and the environment (such as RCRA hazardous wastes); or (4) have the potential to cause a significant increase in energy consumption in a state or region.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to University of Miami (UM) to validate the performance of three heating, ventilation and air-conditioning (HVAC) control algorithms for air handling units (AHUs) and roof top units (RTUs). The control algorithms would regulate the following components within each HVAC system: the supply fan, the cooling coil valve, and the outdoor air (OA) flow meter. Testing of the algorithms would be performed using occupancy sensors in real buildings. The project would be completed over three Budget Periods (BPs), with a Go/No-Go Decision Point in between each BP.

Proposed project activities would include baseline energy modeling, component installation, component validation testing, algorithm testing, energy and performance data collection and analysis, and integrated HVAC controls testing. UM and its project partners would perform all system design and data analysis activities at existing, purposebuilt facilities that regularly complete work similar in nature to that proposed as part of this project. UM and University of Oklahoma would each perform control system design and data analysis at university laboratories on their campuses in Coral Gables, FL and Norman, OK, respectively.

Field testing of the HVAC control system and associated components would be performed at the Tinker Air Force Base in Oklahoma City, OK. Testing locations would be selected at a later date in consultation with the Tinker Air Force Base. One building with a centralized AHU and one building with a decentralized RTU would be selected for testing. During testing, two air pressured transducers and one water pressure transducers would be integrated into an existing AHU and RTU. Occupancy sensors would also be installed on the lighting fixtures within the two selected buildings.

None of the installation activities discussed above would require modifications to the interior spaces beyond small scale equipment installation. No ground disturbing activities would be required as part of this project, nor would any additional permits or authorizations be needed. Considering the above, DOE has determined that the project activities would have no potential to cause effects to historic properties, assuming they were present, and therefore DOE has no further obligations under section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Installation activities would be performed by a qualified contractor that would adhere to established industry health and safety regulations. UM and its project partners would adhere to all applicable Federal, state, and local health, safety, and environmental regulations when performing project activities.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Include the following condition in the financial assisstance agreement:

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

Notes:

Building Technologies Office This NEPA determination requires a tailored NEPA provision. Review completed by Jonathan Hartman on 3/27/2019

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D,

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Questionnaire

Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: 3/27/2019

Date:

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

Field Office Manager review not required

□ Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Field Office Manager