PMC-ND (1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



STATE: NY

RECIPIENT: Columbia University

PROJECT Comparative Life-Cycle Analysis of Scalable Single-Junction and Tandem Perovskite Solar Cell

TITLE:

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0001840 DE-EE0008543 GFO-0008543-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of

appendix B to this subpart.)

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Columbia University to characterize, quantify, and compare the life cycle health and environmental impacts of scalable single-junction and tandem perovskite solar cell (PSC) architectures, utilizing a Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) framework. The project would produce comparative evaluations of different PSC designs and production pathways, as well as comparisons with other PV technologies and conventional power generation technologies.

Proposed project work would be limited exclusively to analytical activities. These would include literature reviews, stakeholder identification/outreach, development of PSC life-cycle inventories, scalability analysis, LCA on environmental impacts of PSC technologies, and net energy analysis comparisons between PSC system and conventional power generation technologies.

All project activities would be completed by Columbia University in established computational laboratories and/or offices at Columbia University's School of Engineering and Applied Science in New York City, NY. The proposed project would require no materials beyond standard office supplies and software. No change in the use, mission or operation of existing university facilities would arise out of these efforts.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Solar Energy Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision. Review completed by Jonathan Hartman on 11/27/2018

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Kristin Kerwin	Date:	11/28/2018
	NEPA Compliance Officer		
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMI	INATION		
☑ Field Office Manager review not requi☐ Field Office Manager review required			
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR V	VITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:		
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:	

Field Office Manager