PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT:Xergy Inc.

STATE: FL

**PROJECT** 

Hydrogen/Metal Hydride based heat pump system for large HVAC applications utilizing an ionic liquid

TITLE:

desiccant subsystem

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-FOA-0001632

DE-EE0008218

GFO-0008218-001 GO8218

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

# CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

### Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

**B3.6 Small-scale** research and development, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) laboratory operations, frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

# Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Xergy Inc. to develop and demonstrate a heat pump employing an electrochemical compressor, and a metal hydride-based heat exchanger system heat pump, coupled with an ionic liquid desiccant system.

Activities associated with the proposed project would include the design, development, fabrication and testing of a prototype Hydrogen/Metal Hydride based heat pump system. Design, development and fabrication would be completed by Xergy Inc. from their offices and laboratories in Harrington, DE. Benchtop evaluations would occur at the National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL) in Golden, CO. University of Delaware in Newark, DE and Oakridge National Laboratories (ORNL) in Oak Ridge, TN would complete testing of the prototype. The facilities in which lab work and testing would occur are purpose-built for the type of activities being proposed. No change in the use, mission or operation of existing facilities would arise out of this effort. The facilities have all applicable permits in place, and would not need additional permits for the proposed activities. No adverse impacts to sensitive resources are expected as a result of the proposed project.

Xergy Inc. and sub-recipients, ORNL, NREL and University of Delaware will be using metal hydrides, hydrogen, ammonia, carbon dioxide, and ionomer solutions. All such handling would occur in labs and these organizations are dedicated to proper hazardous materials handling and disposal. All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021 outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410 (2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

#### **NEPA PROVISION**

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Question... Page 2 of 2

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

**Building Technologies Office** 

This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

Review completed by Rebecca McCord on 11/21/2017.

Neview completed by Nebecca Mocord of 11/2/1/2017.		
SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.		
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:  NEPA Compliance Officer  NEPA Compliance Officer	Date: _	11/21/2017
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION		
☐ Field Office Manager review required		
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:		
<ul> <li>□ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.</li> <li>□ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.</li> </ul>		
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:		
Field Office Manager's Signature:	Date:	
Field Office Manager	_	