Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Access Road Easement Acquisitions – 2017 through 2020

Project Manager: Multiple

Location: Multiple locations in Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Montana, California, Nevada, Utah, and

Wyoming

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property Transfers

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to acquire easements for access to existing transmission facilities where needed. These easement acquisitions would be for existing roads and pathways (e.g., foot trails or agricultural fields) throughout the BPA service territory that are typically being used to access BPA facilities for operation and maintenance activities such as routine inspections, equipment repair, and emergency repairs.

If any road work (including upgrades, modifications, installation of gates, or new construction) would be required, additional environmental review would be conducted as needed to consider potential impacts. Acquisitions would occur during 2017 through 2020.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

| further NEPA review. | |
|---|---------------------------------|
| /s/ Nancy A Wittpenn Nancy A Wittpenn Environmental Protection Specialist | |
| Concur: | |
| /s/ Stacy L. Mason Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer | Date: <u>September 18, 2017</u> |
| Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist | |

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Access Road Easement Acquisitions – 2017 through 2020

Project Site Description

Existing access roads and pathways in urban and rural locations throughout BPA's service territory including Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Montana, California, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming. Existing roads and pathways could be graveled or paved; cross public, private, or tribal lands; cross farm fields; or be private driveways or a foot trail system.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

| | Environmental Resource Impacts | No Potential for Significance | No Potential for Significance, with Conditions |
|----|--|----------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Historic and Cultural Resources | | |
| | <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or channot have the potential to affect historic and cultura | _ | ur, the acquisition of easements would |
| 2. | Geology and Soils | | |
| | <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or chanot have the potential to affect soils and geology. | ange in use would occu | ır, the acquisition of easements would |
| 3. | Plants (including federal/state special-status species) | V | |
| | <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or channot have the potential to affect plants or their habit | _ | ur, the acquisition of easements would |
| 4. | Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats) | V | |
| | Explanation: Because no ground disturbance or channot have the potential to affect wildlife or their hab | _ | r, the acquisition of easements would |
| 5. | Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs) | V | |
| | <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or channot have the potential to affect water bodies, flood | _ | ur, the acquisition of easements would |
| 6. | Wetlands | V | |
| | <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or channot have the potential to affect wetlands. | ange in use would occu | ır, the acquisition of easements would |

| 7. Groundwater and Aquifers | V | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or chang not have the potential to affect groundwater and aqui | · | n of easements would | | |
| 8. Land Use and Specially Designated Areas | | | | |
| <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or chan not have the potential to affect land use and specially | = | on of easements would | | |
| 9. Visual Quality | | | | |
| <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or chang not have the potential to affect existing visual quality. | e in use would occur, the acquisitio | n of easements would | | |
| 10. Air Quality | <u>~</u> | | | |
| <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or chang not have the potential to affect air quality. | e in use would occur, the acquisitio | n of easements would | | |
| 11. Noise | | | | |
| <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or chan not have the potential to cause noise. | ge in use would occur, the acquisiti | on of easements would | | |
| 12. Human Health and Safety | | | | |
| <u>Explanation</u> : Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect human health and safety. | | | | |
| Evaluation of Other Integral Elements | | | | |
| The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. | | | | |
| | | | Explanation, if necessary: | |
| Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. | | | | |
| Explanation, if necessary: | | | | |
| Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleun products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unp | | _ | | |
| Explanation, if necessary: | | | | |
| Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health. | | | | |

Explanation, if necessary:

| <u>Description</u> : Acquisition of easements for roads or pathways will be directly negotiated with the landowner. | |
|---|---|
| | _ |

| Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant im to any environmentally sensitive resource. | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Signed: <u>/s/ Nancy A Wittpenn</u> Nancy A Wittpenn, ECT-4 | Date: _ <u>September 18, 2017</u> | | |