Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Chinook Trail Association Trail Expansion at Ross Substation

LURR No.: 20160407

Project Manager: Dawneen Dostert, TERR-3

Location: Clark County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of powerline rights-of-way

Description of the Proposed Action: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to allow the Chinook Trail Association (Trail Association) to install a segment of trail adjacent to an existing trail on BPA fee-owned land in Vancouver, Washington.

The approximately 640 foot-long trail would parallel NE 54th Street between NE 22nd Avenue (to the east) and the BPA Ross Substation (to the west). Pedestrians and cyclists use an existing trail on BPA property as part of the Ellen Davis Trail system, which receives relatively frequent traffic. Currently users travel on portions of a BPA gravel access road that parallels NE 54th Street, which puts them at risk of vehicle collision. Where the BPA gravel access road does not exist, users travel through the open field, and have created a narrow, rutted, dirt trail that is 3 to 5 feet from NE 54th Street, putting users near vehicles traveling at high rates of speed.

The Trail Association would create a new and improved foot and cycling trail to ensure users have a dedicated and safe route of travel for this segment of the Ellen Davis Trail. The new trail would be approximately 4 feet wide and 640 feet long, and would be surfaced with 1/4-inch minus or 5/8-inch minus crushed rock. To install the trail, a Hawthorne tree and some ornamental shrubs may need to be removed; depressions in the ground from remnant driveways may need to be filled with dirt and gravel to create relatively flat surfaces for trail users.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Becky Hill</u> Becky Hill Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC

Reviewed by:

<u>/s/ Gene Lynard</u> Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer Date: November 21, 2016

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: LURR20160407 Chinook Trail Association Trail Expansion at Ross Substation

Project Site Description

The project area is located immediately north of NE 54th Street, between the BPA Ross Substation and NE 22nd Avenue, in Vancouver Washington. The BPA Ross Complex is located to the east of the project area. A BPA gravel access road, a small BPA storage building, and a 2-acre field are located north of the project area. The surrounding area is primarily residences with some open fields.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	v	
	Explanation: On November 2, 2016, the BPA arch potential to cause adverse effects to historic prop Should cultural deposits be encountered during co archaeologist and EC lead should be notified.	erties. Therefore, Secti	on 106 consultation was not initiated.
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: Some soil disturbance is expected wi depression filling with soil and gravel. Erosion is n project activities best management practices (BMI implemented.	ot anticipated, howeve	r if erosion becomes a concern during
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: No special-status plant species are p native grasses and weeds, a Hawthorne tree and s project activities.		-
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No special-status wildlife species or carea. Approximately 0.14 acre of low quality habi	-	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fish proposed project area.	bearing aquatic habita	ts are located within or near the

6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: No wetlands are located within or near the proposed project area; therefore, they would not be impacted by the proposed project activities.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	Explanation: There would be no underground disturbances (i.e. grading, new well, or trenching) or groundwater usage; groundwater and aquifers would not be impacted.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: There would be no changes to land use; the area would continue to be used as part of the Ellen Davis Trail system.				
9.	Visual Quality				
	Explanation: Visual impacts of developing and gravelling the trail would be consistent with surrounding visual quality of the area.				
10.	Air Quality	\checkmark			
	Explanation: No impact to air quality would be expected as a result of this proposed project.				
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: No noise impacts expected.				
12.	Human Health and Safety				

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: Project area is located on BPA fee-owned property with little potential to affect adjacent landowners. BPA is coordinating with the Trail Association.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Becky Hill

Date: November 21, 2016

Becky Hill Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Flux Resources, LLC