Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: FY16 Danger Pole Replacement Project for Fidalgo-Lopez #5 Structure 7/1

PP&A #: 3330

Project Manager: Cynthia Rounds

Location: San Juan County, Washington, in the BPA Snohomish District, Township 35N, Range 1W, Section 21. The project is located within a BPA transmission line easement, on private property.

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to replace deteriorating wood poles and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) of structure 7/1 on the Fidalgo-Lopez #5 transmission line. The existing structure is a two-pole, H-frame wood pole transmission structure that has degraded to the point that it is no longer safe and reliable. New poles will be placed in the same locations as the ones removed. All work would occur within BPA's right-of-way (ROW) on existing access roads and landings.

The proposed action will help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Oden Jahn</u> Oden Jahn Physical Scientist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer Date *February 12, 2016*

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: FY16 Danger Pole Replacement Project for Fidalgo-Lopez #5 Structure 7/1

Project Site Description						
Grass & shrub right-of-way surrounded by privately-owned upland forest.						
Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources						
	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
Explanation: The BPA archaeologist reviewed the proposed action and concluded that no effect to historic properties would result from the proposed project. This determination is based on the in-kind replacement of the wood pole structure, lack of ground disturbing actives, no road work, no blasting, and all work will be conducted with 100ft of the wood pole structure. Fidalgo-Lopez Island No.5 structure 7/1 is placed in solid bedrock formation. The original placement of the structure required blasting to be conducted in order to set the pole in place. The lack of any intact soil means that there was no possibility for buried cultural deposits. Therefore replacement of the wood pole structure would result in no effect to historic properties.						
2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: The project will require minimal disturbance.	ground disturbing activities,	and may result in minor temporary			
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)					
	Explanation: No federal or state special-status plant species are recoded in the project area.					
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)					
	Explanation: No federal or state special-statu	is wildlife species or habitat a	are recoded in the project area.			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)					
	Explanation: The project area is at least ¼-mi anticipated.	le from the nearest waterbo	dy. No water quality impacts are			

6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: The project area is located in an upland are	a.			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	\checkmark			
	Explanation: The proposed work is in an upland area, an	d is not anticipated to impact grou	ndwater.		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: No change in land use and no specially des	gnated areas identified.			
9.	Visual Quality	\checkmark			
	Explanation: New wood poles will be similar to existing structures would not be noticeably different than existing structures.				
10.	Air Quality	\checkmark			
Explanation: The project timing and acitivites are not expected to result in impacts to air quality.					
11.	Noise	\checkmark			
	Explanation: Construction noise from typical utility line equipment will be temporary and localized.				
12.	Human Health and Safety				
	Explanation: Project activities will not impact human health or safety.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and				

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and

operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: The landowner will be contacted by the BPA Realty Specialist prior to any work.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Oden Jahn</u> Oden Jahn Physical Scientist – EPR-4 Date: February 12, 2016