Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Pearl-Keeler Transmission Line Structure Modifications

Project Manager: A. Williams, TEP-TPP-1

Location: Washington County, Oregon

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.13 Upgrading and rebuilding existing powerlines

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to modify several structures along the Pearl-Keeler No. 1 line in Washington County, Oregon, including the existing structures between 14/1 and 16/1 to accommodate future municipal development while ensuring appropriate electrical clearances. Specifically, BPA proposes to move and increase the height of seven lattice steel structures (14/3 to 15/6) and reconfigure one steel suspension structure (14/2). Structures would be moved less than 75 feet from their current locations and all structures would remain within the existing right-of-way. Some structure heights may increase up to 45 feet over the current average height of 90 feet.

To facilitate the structure modifications, existing footings would be cut below ground level and soil would be recontoured over the remaining footings. The new footings would be installed at their new locations and the structures would be relocated to the new footings. Structure modification work areas would be approximately 200 feet by 200 feet. Ground disturbance in the work areas would occur from equipment staging, structure removal and footing abandonment, and structure installation. In total, approximately 41 acres of land could be temporarily disturbed. Because the relocated structures would have a similar footprint as the existing structures, there would be no net increase in permanent disturbance area.

Two existing access roads would be used as routes of travel to access the existing right-of-way during construction. Upon accessing the right-of-way, vehicles and equipment would also use the right-of-way for travel. No permanent ground disturbance is proposed for the routes of travel.

A material storage and contractor staging yard would be located within the Hillsboro, Oregon city limits. The yard would be completely paved and fenced and would not require any site preparation for project use.

The new footing installation would take about 2 to 3 days per structure and would occur in the fall of 2016. The structures would then be moved and the old footings would be abandoned over a period of 1 to 2 days in the fall of 2016. The material yard would be used throughout this time.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: *May 5, 2016*

<u>/s/ Katey Grange</u>
Katey Grange
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel
Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Pearl-Keeler Transmission Line Structure Modifications

Project Site Description

The potential project work area consists of six discrete work areas, totaling about 41 acres, within the existing BPA right-of-way. An additional 2 acres of existing access roads would be used as routes of travel for the project. The general surrounding land use is comprised of large-scale agriculture consisting primarily of low-growing cover crops and pasture and an overgrown tree farm that is bisected by the cleared BPA right-of-way.

Terrain in the project area is generally flat to gently rolling. The project area lies at an elevation between 160 and 210 feet above sea level. The Tualatin River is approximately 1.5 miles to the west of the project area and Butternut Creek, a tributary of the Tualatin River, flows approximately 500 feet between the work areas associated with structures 15/1 and 15/2. Wetland delineations were completed for all proposed work areas in August 2015 and February 2016. Two wetlands were identified in the right-of-way work areas and an additional wetland was identified near a route of travel.

A non-BPA waste disposal area was identified within the right-of-way approximately 100 feet from the proposed structure relocation area at 15/2. The waste disposal site contains electronics, old 55-gallon drums, and other storage containers.

A material storage and contractor staging yard in Hillsboro would be paved, fenced, and surrounded by similar industrial/commercial landuses.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: BPA initiated Area of Potential Effect Office (SHPO), Confederated Tribes of the Grand R on January 7, 2016, and received SHPO responses submitted a revised project APE via a letter dated February 17, 2016.	onde, and Consecrated on January 19, 2016, a	d Tribes of the Siletz Indians of Oregon nd February 1, 2016. BPA then
	Based on two field surveys of the project work are adversely affect archeological or historic resources and email dated April 13, 2016, and April 21, 2016	s. The SHPO concurred	
	No tribal response was received.		
	Mitigation: In the event any archaeological or histofollowing actions shall be taken:	orical material is encou	intered during project activities, the

Stop work in the vicinity and immediately notify the BPA environmental lead, a BPA archaeologist, appropriate BPA project staff, interested tribes, Oregon SHPO, and the appropriate county, state, and

federal agencies.

	 Implement reasonable measures to proceed covering. 	otect the discovery site, including a	ny appropriate stabilization or		
	Take reasonable steps to ensure the co	onfidentiality of the discovery site, in	ncluding restricting access.		
2.	Geology and Soils	~			
	Explanation: A majority of the project area has been previously disturbed by agriculture and transmission line construction. Ground disturbance would occur where existing structure footings are cut (about 12 square feet required to access the top of the footing attachment) and new footings are installed (about 26 square feet for entirely new footing). Minor soil disturbance (such as rutting) would occur within the right-of-way and routes of travel where vehicles travel or equipment is staged. All ground disturbance areas would be restored upon project completion.				
	<u>Mitigation</u> : Erosion control measures would be used during construction to minimize impacts. Excess material would be contoured to match surrounding terrain, track-walked, seeded, and mulched.				
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Vegetation in the area consists of low-growing plants and agricultural crops within a managed right-of-way. There are no documented occurrences of any plants listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and the project would have no effect on ESA-listed plant species.				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: Project disturbance would occur in agricultural and transmission line right-of-way open habitats. Disruption of normal wildlife behavior may occur from temporary elevated noise and human presence and some limited incidental mortality of immobile or slow moving animals may occur from excavation or equipment use. Due to the agricultural nature of the general project area, most wildlife are accustomed to disturbance. There are no documented occurrences or suitable habitat for any wildlife listed under the ESA and the project would have no effect on ESA-listed wildlife species.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)				
	Explanation: There are no waterbodies, floo closest waterbody is Butternut Creek, which				
6.	Wetlands	V			
	Explanation: All wetlands and 50-foot-we construction.	vide wetland buffers would be	demarcated and avoided during		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V			
	Explanation: No new wells or groundwater and countermeasures (SPCC) plan to limit to depth of excavation would be about 9 to 10	he potential for hazardous spills cor	·		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V			
	Explanation: Increased vehicular traffic associated users may be temporarily disrupted diground disturbance. Disruption of agricultumitigation: Property access would be mainted.	uring construction due to noise, pre ural activities may occur.	esence of heavy equipment, and		
		<u> </u>	I		

	construction schedule. A traffic	control plan would be developed in sup	port of obtaining the road use permit.		
	For agricultural lands, damaged market value for any crop damage	drain tiles would be repaired and landow ge.	ners would be compensated at fair		
9.	Visual Quality	~			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Construction equipment may temporarily disrupt the visual quality of the project area. After construction, views of the project area would include slightly higher structures, but the general appearance of the structures would not deviate dramatically from the existing landscape.				
10.	Air Quality	V			
	Explanation: The project area is in the Portland Metropolitan Service District Boundary and the Portland-Vancouver Interstate Air Quality Maintenance Area (AQMA), which are Maintenance Areas for carbon monoxide and ozone, respectively. Dust and vehicle emissions would increase in the local area during construction. Any dust or emission generation would occur over a short time frame and is not predicted to meaningfully contribute to the project area becoming out of attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).				
	Mitigation: Dust abatement, par levels.	ticularly near residences, would be imple	emented when needed to limit dust		
11.	Noise				
	for each structure. The nearest would occur over a short time pe		the workspace. Construction noise		
12.	Human Health and Safety		~		
12.	Explanation: Standard construct	ion safety measures would be implemented and avoided during construction.			
12.	Explanation: Standard construction disposal area would be demarca		ted. An existing landowner waste		
The	Explanation: Standard construction disposal area would be demarca	ted and avoided during construction.	ted. An existing landowner waste		
The	Explanation: Standard construction disposal area would be demarca Proposed project would also measure in the standard construction.	Evaluation of Other Integral Element et conditions that are integral elements of estatutory, regulatory, or permit require	ted. An existing landowner waste ss of the categorical exclusion. The		
The	Explanation: Standard construction disposal area would be demarca group proposed project would also meritate in the proposed project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable	Evaluation of Other Integral Element et conditions that are integral elements of estatutory, regulatory, or permit require	ted. An existing landowner waste ss of the categorical exclusion. The		
The	Explanation: Standard construction disposal area would be demarcal groups of the proposed project would also mediate the proposed project would also mediate the proposed project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable health, or similar requirements of the proposed project would also mediate the proposed project would not: Explanation, if necessary: N/A Require siting and construction of the proposed project would not the project would not th	Evaluation of Other Integral Element et conditions that are integral elements of estatutory, regulatory, or permit require	ted. An existing landowner waste ss of the categorical exclusion. The ments for environment, safety, and posal, recovery, or treatment		
The pro	Explanation: Standard construction disposal area would be demarcal groups of the proposed project would also mediate the proposed project would also mediate the proposed project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable health, or similar requirements of the proposed project would also mediate the proposed project would not: Explanation, if necessary: N/A Require siting and construction of the proposed project would not the project would not th	Evaluation of Other Integral Element et conditions that are integral elements of e statutory, regulatory, or permit require of DOE or Executive Orders.	ted. An existing landowner waste ss of the categorical exclusion. The ments for environment, safety, and posal, recovery, or treatment		
The pro	Explanation: Standard construction disposal area would be demarcally proposed project would also mediect would not: Threaten a violation of applicable health, or similar requirements of Explanation, if necessary: N/A Require siting and construction facilities (including incinerators) Explanation, if necessary: N/A Disturb hazardous substances, products that preexist in the environments.	Evaluation of Other Integral Element et conditions that are integral elements of e statutory, regulatory, or permit require of DOE or Executive Orders. or major expansion of waste storage, dis that are not otherwise categorically excl conditions that there would be unco	ted. An existing landowner waste is of the categorical exclusion. The ments for environment, safety, and posal, recovery, or treatment uded. uded petroleum and natural gas entrolled or unpermitted releases.		

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary: N/A

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA contacted landowners to notify them of the proposed project. BPA will also notify landowners prior to construction.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Katey Grange Katey Grange – ECT-4 Date: *May 5, 2016*