## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Balancing Authority Area Services Agreement with Georgia-Pacific Consumer

Products (Camas) LLC

Project No.: B0030

**Project Manager:** Deborah Staats, TEP-TPP-1

Location: Multnomah County, Oregon; Clark County, Washington; Spokane County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.1 Contracts, policies, and

marketing and allocation plans for electric power

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to enter into a Balancing Authority Area Services Agreement (BAASA) with Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products (Camas) LLC (Georgia-Pacific). The BAASA establishes operating and reliability requirements that would allow Georgia-Pacific to move the load and generation from its Camas Mill Facility, located in Clark County, Washington, from PacifiCorp's Balancing Authority Area (BAA) to BPA's BAA. The Camas Mill Facility consists of back-pressure steam turbines cable of up to 43 MW total generation, and would ultimately integrate with BPA's BAA through an existing 69-kV distribution line owned by Clatskanie Public Utility District that interconnects at PacifiCorp's Troutdale Substation in Multnomah County, Oregon, which is connected to BPA's Troutdale Substation in Multnomah County, Oregon.

BPA would install and own new generation meters and communications hardware inside the Camas Mill Facility in the same location as PacifiCorp's existing meters. Georgia-Pacific would bring a leased telephone line into the facility to connect to BPA's new meter. BPA would telemeter to existing SCADA RTU (supervisory control and data acquisition remote terminal unit) at Sifton Substation, located in Clark County, Washington, and perform necessary updates at Dittmer and Munroe Control Centers, located respectively in Clark and Spokane counties, Washington. PacifiCorp would provide interchange metering via existing underground cable from PacifiCorp's Troutdale Substation to BPA's Troutdale Substation. All work would take place inside existing facilities and no ground-disturbing activities or building modifications would occur.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

/s/ Hannah Sharp  Hannah Sharp  Contract Environmental Protection Specialist  CorSource Technology Group	
Reviewed by:	
<u>/s/ Gene Lynard</u> Gene Lynard  Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	
<u>/s/ Katherine S. Pierce</u> Katherine S. Pierce  NEPA Compliance Officer	Date: <u>September 16, 2015</u>

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from

further NEPA review.

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Balancing Authority Area Services Agreement with Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products (Camas) LLC

## **Project Site Description**

All work would take place within existing BPA, Georgia-Pacific, and PacifiCorp facilities. No habitat or vegetation is present in the project areas.

## **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Because the project would not entail any ground disturbing activities or building modifications, BPA has determined that these project activities have no potential to affect historic properties provided that the project activities are carried out as described.					
2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: No soil disturbance proposed.					
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	<b>~</b>				
	Explanation: All work in existing facilities. No plan	nts present.				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V				
	Explanation: All work in existing facilities. No hab	itat present.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No in-water work proposed. Project would not be in a floodplain.					
6.	Wetlands					
	Explanation: None present.					

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	Explanation: No soil disturbance proposed.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: All work in existing facilities.				
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : All work in existing facilities. New equipmer conditions.	nt would not be noticeably differen	t from existing		
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: Small amount of dust possible during constr	ruction.			
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: Temporary noise during construction.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: No known soil contamination or hazardous	conditions.			
	Evaluation of Other In	tegral Elements			
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
<b>V</b>	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order		ment, safety, and		
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
<b>~</b>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the				

National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination
Description: Coordination with PacifiCorp and Georgia-Pacific.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: <u>/s/ Hannah Sharp</u>

Hannah Sharp

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

CorSource Technology Group