Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: 15-Minute Transmission Scheduling

<u>Project Manager</u>: William Rimmer, Project Manager – SR-7

Location: Vancouver, WA

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.4 – Power marketing services and activities; B4.5 – Temporary adjustments to river operations

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to offer generators in the region the ability to schedule use of BPA's transmission system every 15 minutes, which is referred to as 15-minute transmission scheduling. Allowing generators to submit transmission schedules for the use of the BPA transmission system in 15-minute increments is expected to help BPA balance loads (or use of electricity) within its Balancing Authority Area with the amount of power being generated to help maintain electrical system reliability. BPA uses dams in the Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) to provide power to balance variations in total generation, particularly from wind projects, which results in automatic adjustments to FCRPS generation to maintain load-generation balance.

Under 15-minute transmission scheduling, generating projects would be expected to operate in a similar manner as they currently operate within normal operating limits and under existing environmental operational constraints. In addition, no changes to the existing transmission system would be required.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow

Jeffrey J. Maslow Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason Date: October 21, 2014

Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: 15-Minute Transmission Scheduling

Project Site Description

The Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) includes the federally owned dams on the Columbia River and its tributaries in Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and Montana.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : No expected effects on historic and cucurrent hydro operations.	ıltural resources beyor	nd those already occurring from overall
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No expected effects on geology and occurring from overall current hydro operations.	soils, including shoreli	ne erosion, beyond those already
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: No expected effects on plants beyond operations.	d those already occurr	ing from overall current hydro
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No expected effects on wildlife beyon operations.	nd those already occur	ring from overall current hydro
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: Because river operations would be merchanisms. FCRPS, implementation would not affect existing of generation sources would continue to be operated particular, the requirements described in the 2008 and 2014 Supplemental Biological Opinions, and Because would continue to be operated, to the extent practicular, standards. To monitor for compliance with	compliance with the End d within current federa B National Marine Fish BPA decision document cticable, within current	ndangered Species Act (ESA). Federal all and state law requirements, in eries Service Biological Opinion, the 2010 its adopting them. FCRPS generation it federal and state Clean Water Act

	season to determine whether BPA is meeting spill percentages outlined in the Annual Fish Operating Plan until NMFS issues another FCRPS Biological Opinion, which is expected in 2018.					
6.	Wetlands	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No expected effects on wetlands beyond operations.	d those already occurring from	overall current hydro			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No expected effects on groundwater and accurrent hydro operations.	quifers beyond those already occu	rring from overall			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No expected effects on land use and special from overall current hydro operations.	lly designated areas beyond those	already occurring			
9.	Visual Quality	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No expected effects on visual quality beyon operations.	nd those already occurring from ov	erall current hydro			
10.	Air Quality	V				
	Explanation: No expected effects on air quality beyond those already occurring from overall current hydro operations.					
11.	Noise	V				
	Explanation: No expected effects on noise beyond those already occurring from overall current hydro operations.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	<u> </u>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No expected effects on human health and safety beyond those already occurring from or current hydro operations.					
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants,	or CERCLA excluded petroleum ar	nd natural gas			

products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: No landowners would be affected by 15-minute transmission scheduling.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow Date: October 21, 2014