United States Government

memorandum

Bonneville Power Administration

date: July 7, 2014

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEPR-Covington

- SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum
 - то: Olga Hagensen Engineer – TELF-TPP-3

Proposed Action: Sno-King Tap Miles 10-11 Access Road Improvements

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): Appendix B1.3, Routine Maintenance

Location: Snohomish County, Washington

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA is proposing to conduct road maintenance on existing BPA easement access roads within the Sno-King Tap to Echo Lake-Monroe 500-kilovolt right-of-way (ROW). The access road stretches approximately two miles through privately owned lands near Maltby, Washington. The surrounding land use is rural residential and temperate forests on relatively flat to rolling hills. All work is located within Snohomish County.

Project work includes, but is not limited to; blading, reshaping, adding rock, and the installation of cross-drain culverts, drain dips, ditch reliefs, waterbars, gates, and culvert installation. Culvert design meets the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) *Design of Road Culverts for Fish Passage* standards, as well as standards provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). In-water work would be executed during the appropriate in-water work period and in accordance with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers permit issued for the project in June 2014. The proposed project area does not contain threatened or endangered species or critical habitat. No historical properties were identified in the project area during background research or a survey completed in May 2014. DAHP concurrence with the BPA determination of "No Historic Properties Affected" was received on June 10, 2014 (DAHP 033114-17-BPA). No tribal comments were received concerning the project.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, April 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25 (a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively

significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25 (a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

Based on the provisions identified on the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

<u>/s/ Chad Browning</u> Chad Browning Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur: <u>/s/ Stacy Mason</u> Stacy Mason NEPA Compliance Officer DATE: *July 7, 2014*

Attachments: Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project:	Sno-King Tap to Ec Improvements	ho Lake-Monroe Miles 10-	11 Access Road
Work Order #: 00332131			
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.			
Environmental Res	ources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
1. Historic Properties and Cult No historical properties were iden May 2014. DAHP concurrence w letter dated June 10, 2014 (DAHP	tified in the project APE of the the BPA determination	n of "No Historic Properties A	Affected" was received via
2. T & E Species, or their habi No T&E species or habitat present work period. Erosion and sediment turbidity and turbid waters entering	t at project locations. In-	solation BMPs will be in place	
3. Floodplains or wetlands Project access road improvement in ACOE on March 13, 2014 (NWS-20 the final NWP letter.			
4. Areas of special designation None.	1	X	Χ
5. Health & safety		X	
None. 6. Prime or unique farmlands No prime or unique farmland in	mpacted.	X	
7. Special sources of water None.		X	
8. Other (describe)		X	
List supporting documentation ACOE NWP Letter WA DAHP Letter of Concurren			
Signed: /s/ Chad Browning		Date: June 18, 2014	

Chad Browning, KEPR-Covington