**United States Government** 

## memorandum

date: July 30, 2014

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Dorie Welch Project Manager – KEWM-4

Proposed Action: Red Hills Property Acquisition Funding

Fish and Wildlife Project No.: 2011-003-00, BPA-007521

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.25 Real Property transfers for cultural protection, habitat preservation, and wildlife management.

Location: Dundee quadrangle, in Yamhill County, Oregon (near Lafayette, Oregon).

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA is proposing to fund the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon (CTWSRO) to purchase the Red Hills property, a 277.3-acre parcel of land located to the northeast of Lafayette in Yamhill County, Oregon. The property would be placed in a conservation easement to provide long-lasting wildlife benefits as part of a growing network of conservation lands in the Willamette Valley by preventing the conversion of fish and wildlife habitat to other land uses.

Funding the purchase of the property would partially fulfill BPA commitments made in the 2010 "Willamette River Basin Memorandum of Agreement Regarding Wildlife Habitat Protection and Enhancement between the State of Oregon and the Bonneville Power Administration". This is part of BPA's ongoing efforts to mitigate for the impacts to fish and wildlife of the construction and operation of federal flood control facilities in the Willamette River Basin.

The property consists of Willamette Valley riparian habitat. The CTWSRO would provide long-term stewardship of the land and develop a management plan to guide the protection and enhancement of habitat and other resources on the property. The management plan would be reviewed by BPA and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife for consistency with the agreement and purpose of the acquisition. If BPA proposes to fund any activities on the property, additional environmental review would be conducted.

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health,

(ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

/s/ Israel Duran Israel Duran Environmental Protection Specialist – KEC-4

Reviewed by:

<u>/s/ Donald Rose</u> Don Rose Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy Mason</u> Date: <u>July 30, 2014</u> Stacy Mason NEPA Compliance Officer – KEC-4

Attachment: Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

Name of Proposed Project: Red Hills Property Acquisition Funding

**Work Order #:** Project 2011-003-00, Contract # BPA-007521

This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.

| Environmental Resources                       | No Potential for<br>Significance | No Potential, with<br>Conditions (describe) |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| 1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources | X                                |   |
| 2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)         | X                                |   |
| 3. Floodplains or wetlands                    | X                                |   |
| 4. Areas of special designation               | X                                |   |
| 5. Health & safety                            | X                                |   |
| 6. Prime or unique farmlands                  | X                                |   |
| 7. Special sources of water                   | X                                |   |
| 8. Other (describe)                           | X                                |   |

Signed: /s/ Israel Duran

Date: July 30, 2014