Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: August 14, 2014

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEPR-Covington

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Steve Scott

Lineman Foreman III - TFNF-Snohomish

Proposed Action: Snohomish-Beverly Park No. 3 and No. 4 Line Structure Replacements

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3 Routine Maintenance

<u>Location</u>: Snohomish County, Washington; Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) Snohomish District

Proposed by: BPA

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to perform routine wood pole replacements on two H-frame wood pole transmission line structures on the Snohomish-Beverly Park No. 3 and No. 4 115-kilovolt transmission lines in Snohomish, Washington. The two structures, structure 4/5 (No. 3 line) and 5/3 (No. 4 line), are both located in an existing, multiple-line shared right-of-way corridor on private property. The land use in the area is rural residential and agricultural, and this section of the transmission line corridor traverses relatively flat terrain between the Snohomish River and Puget Sound.

The proposed work is necessary to ensure ongoing safe and reliable operation of the transmission lines to minimize risk of outages and maintain power delivery in the region. The proposed work is scheduled to occur in August-September 2014.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, April 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25 (a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25 (a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled

or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

Based on the provisions identified on the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Makary A. Hutson
Makary A. Hutson
Biological Scientist (Environmental)

Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce DATE: August 14, 2014

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachments:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.		
Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe
1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources According to the scope of the proposed work, the activity is r or use of historic properties, if any such historic properties ar action is considered to be routine access road maintenance ne roadway safety, and manage stormwater run-off and will be I and prism and structure footprints in the cleared transmission active farm field and rural-residential area.	re located in the area of pote ecessary to preserve existing imited to maintenance on a	ential effects. The proposed g infrastructure, maintain n existing access road cut
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s) Erosion and sediment control and work area isolation BMP's discharge from site during and following construction. The closest convergence of the sediment of the control of the sediment	losest ESA-listed species and species and other physicals.	re in the Snohomish River, all barriers separating the
3. Floodplains or wetlands Background research shows that structure 4/5 is located within a Work will be performed during the dry season, no removal/fill w permanent fill/removal is included in the proposed action. Wetla	vill occur, and all impacts wi	ll be temporary. No
4. Areas of special designation N/A	X	
5. Health & safety N/A	X	
6. Prime or unique farmlands No prime or unique farmland impacted.	X	
7. Special sources of water N/A	X	
8. Other (describe) N/A	X	
List supporting documentation attached (if needed):		