Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: May 8, 2014

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEP-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Mark Kjelland

Project Manager – TEP-TPP-3

Proposed Action: 2014 Hawkins-Alvey Impairment

PP&A Project No.: 2942

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3 Routine maintenance

<u>Location</u>: Lane County, Oregon. Refer to Project Location Attachment for transmission lines and corresponding structure locations.

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA has identified a potential safety issue with Hawkins-Alvey No. 1 structure 8/4 to 8/6 with respect to ground to conductor clearance. BPA proposes to increase the height of the conductor by installing taller wood. Replacement will be inkind and will utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. If necessary, an auger will be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement. The work area will not extend beyond the original construction footprint.

Routine access road maintenance will be necessary in several locations to preserve existing infrastructure, maintain roadway safety, and manage storm water run-off. Activities will include light blading of existing road surface and placement of road rock within the existing established road prism. Cross drains, ditches, and culverts will be inspected and cleaned if necessary. Rock will be added around several structures to improve access and crew safety.

The proposed action will allow safe and timely access to the transmission line, which will help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the

definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Andrew B. Chang
Andrew B. Chang
Biological Scientist

Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce DATE: May 8, 2014

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachments:
Project Location
Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project:		2014 Hawkins-Alvey Impairment		
Work Order #:	298907			
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.				
Enviro	nmental Reso	urces	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
 Historic Properties and Cultural Resources Cultural Resource survey and report along with appropriate OR SHPO and tribal concurrence obtained. BPA archaeologist surveyed area and indicated no cultural resources in project area. If CR located during work, work will be suspended and the BPA Archaeologist will be notified for coordination. 				
2. T & E Species.No listed species			ons during the review for Ta	&E, CH or EFH.
3. Floodplains or	wetlands		X	
4. Areas of special Project entirely		erty with no adjacent la	X andowners.	
5. Health & safet	y		X	
6. Prime or uniqu	e farmlands		X	
7. Special sources	s of water		X	
8. Other (describe	e)		X	
List supporting do Effects Determination		attached (if needed):		
Signed: /s/ Andrew Andrew Chang, KE			Date: April 28, 2014	