Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: March 20, 2013

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEPR-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Richard Heredia

Project Manager – TEP- TPP- 1

Proposed Action: Sundial Island Transmission Tower Relocation

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.6 Additions and modifications to transmission facilities

Location: Multnomah County, OR

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to relocate two transmission towers located on Sundial Island in the Sandy River Delta Recreation Area (SRD). The transmission towers, Big Eddy-Troutdale No. 1 230-kilovolt (kV) tower 77/1 and Ostrander-Troutdale No. 1 500-kV tower 24/1, are being compromised by stream bank erosion.

Sundial Island is located within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area (NSA) and is a partially forested, multi-use, recreation area. The island is located just north of the mainland SRD and is accessed by using a dam that crosses a side channel of the Sandy River (the side channel was, historically, the main stem of the Sandy River). Both towers require relocation based on their proximity to the rapidly eroding west side of Sundial Island. Tower 77/1 and tower 24/1 are currently located approximately 15 feet and 60 feet from the bank, respectively. As a result of this rapid erosion, it is proposed to relocate each tower 50-75 feet back-on-line (BOL) or inland from the stream bank. Additionally, the towers will be rebuilt from traditional four-leg lattice steel towers to single pole steel structures with single footings 80-100 feet in depth. These towers are designed as such in order to allow the towers to remain in place regardless of future erosion and hydrologic changes to the river. The new towers will require lighting based on their proximity to the Troutdale Airport and associated Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) requirements. Thus, BPA will bury conductor underground to power this lighting. The conductor will remain underground, but within BPA's right-of-way (ROW), at all times.

In addition to the tower relocation, BPA proposes to remove a revetment structure located just offshore of the Big Eddy-Troutdale No. 1 77/1 transmission tower. BPA engineers have determined that the in-water structure is exacerbating the erosion occurring at the tower site. Once removed, erosion activities at the site should return to a natural process.

As a final step on this project, BPA will be performing maintenance activities on several transmission towers located along both the Big Eddy-Troutdale No. 1 and Ostrander-Troutdale

No. 1 transmission lines. The maintenance activities include the replacement of insulators and other hardware at towers 76/2, 76/3, and 76/4 on the Big Eddy-Troutdale No. 1 line, and towers 23/2, 23/3, 23/4 and 24/2 on the Ostrander-Troutdale No. 1 line. All maintenance activities will be performed on BPA's ROW.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements. This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

Aaron Shurtliff Aaron Shurtliff Environmental Engineer	
Environmental Engineer	
Concur: /s/ Stacy Mason Stacy Mason NEPA Compliance Officer	DATE: <u>March 20, 2013</u>

Attachments:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Work Order #:308514, 308513			
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.			
Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)	
Historic Properties and Cultural Resources No historic properties affected. SHPO concurrence with cu	X altural survey results received	11/13/12.	
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s) No species or habitat will be impacted by this project. ESA within the project area, but the revetment removal will take 31). No shade trees will be removed. Potential sedimental use of appropriate erosion control BMPs, and as described Operating Procedures for Endangered Species biological of General and Regional conditions will be used to perform in	e place within the in-water wo tion will be controlled by wate in the US Army Corps. Of En pinion. Nationwide Permit No	rk window (July 15-Aug er quality monitoring and by gineers Standard Local	
3. Floodplains or wetlands No impact to floodplains.	X		
4. Areas of special designation An initial letter from the USFS stating consistency with the second consistency letter from the USFS was received on removal of the revetment structure and installation of three	11/19/12 regarding the revised	scope that included	
5. Health & safety None.	X		
6. Prime or unique farmlands None.	X		
7. Special sources of water None.	X		
8. Other (describe) None.	X		
List supporting documentation attached (if needed):			
Signed /s/ Aaron Shurtliff Aaron Shurtliff , KEPR-4	Date <i>March 1, 2013</i>		