## **Bonneville Power Administration**

## memorandum

DATE: April 3, 2013

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: James Hall

Project Manager – TPC-TPP-4

**Proposed Action:** Augspurger Radio Tower Replacement Project

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.19 Mircowave, meteorological, and radio towers

Location: Township 3 North, Range 9 East, Section 21, Skamania County, Washington

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to allow the FAA to remove its aging radio equipment from an existing wood-pole that is attached to BPA's Augspurger communication site control house. The pole is no longer structurally sound and cannot continue to support FAA's equipment. FAA's radio equipment must be removed from the pole and relocated to provide better access to the site during winter storm events.

FAA's equipment would be moved to a proposed new tilt-down tower located between the existing control house and propane tank in a previously disturbed area. A concrete footing would be placed about 6 feet subsurface and attached to three concrete piers to support the base of the proposed tower structure. The tilt-down tower would be 40-feet in height, and would be designed to tilt down so maintenance crews could have better access to the antenna equipment. Construction would also include excavation of a 3-5 foot deep conduit to run from the new tower site to the existing control house. Existing communications and control equipment inside the control house would be replaced with new modern equipment. All work would take place in an area that has been previously disturbed.

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of

applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

Date: April 3, 2013

/s/ Claire McClory
Claire McClory
Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce
Katherine S. Pierce
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

**Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions** 

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.		
Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe
1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resou BPA consulted with interested tribes and SHP no affect to historic properties. No response w	O on its undertaking. SHPO concurred w	ith BPA's determination of
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)	X	
3. Floodplains or wetlands	x	
4. Areas of special designation	x	
5. Health & safety	x	
6. Prime or unique farmlands	x	
7. Special sources of water	x	
8. Other (describe)	X	

Date: April 3, 2013

Signed: /s/ Claire McClory