## **Bonneville Power Administration**

## memorandum

DATE: May 1, 2012

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEP-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Richard Heredia

Supervisory Civil Engineer – TEP-TPP-1

**Proposed Action:** Maupin-Tygh Valley #1 Pole Replacement Project

**Budget Information:** Work Order #00297894

PP&A Project No.: 2196

Categorical Exclusions Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3, Routine maintenance

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

**Location:** Project activities would take place in Wasco County, Oregon, T04S R14E S20

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA proposes to replace one aged and unsound wood pole structure (structure 3/2) on the BPA Maupin-Tygh Valley #1 transmission line. The new structure would be placed in the same location and have the same appearance as the old structure. Guy-wire anchors would be reused if possible; however, some of the anchors may need replacement. Some minor earthwork and the addition of crushed rock may necessary at the pole replacement site to provide a stable base for the equipment. Some light access road work may be necessary between Oak Springs Road and the right-of-way.

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology,

governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements. This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Oden Jahn
Oden Jahn
Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce Date: May 1, 2012

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

**Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions** 

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.		
<b>Environmental Resources</b>	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources On April 5, 2012, the SHPO concurred with BPA's determ received no response from the tribes. If cultural resources work shall cease immediately and an environmental repres the site has been cleared by the SHPO and appropriate trib	s are discovered during the cousentative should be notified. W	irse of construction, all
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s) Known populations of bull trout and northern spotted owl area does not provide the required habitat conditions for the adjacent to any recorded anadromous fish usage, and project would be surface waters. It was determined that this project would be	te above-mentioned species. The activities would not affect to	The project area is not he water quality of nearby
3. Floodplains or wetlands	x	
4. Areas of special designation	x	
5. Health & safety	X	
3. Health & Safety		
6. Prime or unique farmlands	X	
·	X	